

# MA542 Lecture

Timothy Kohl

Boston University

March 19, 2025

Last time, we showed that if  $F$  is a perfect field then all irreducible polynomials in  $F[x]$  are separable.

Recall that  $F$  is a perfect field if  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  or, if  $\text{char}(F) = p$  that  $F^p = F$ .

Perfect or not, we can say something quite general about the multiplicities of roots of irreducible polynomials in  $F[x]$ .

## Theorem

*Let  $f(x)$  be irreducible in  $F[x]$  for  $F$  a field, and let  $E$  be a splitting field for  $f(x)$  over  $F$ . Then all the zeros of  $f(x)$  in  $E$  have the same multiplicity.*

PROOF:

If  $a$  and  $b$  are roots of  $f(x)$  in a splitting field  $E/F$  of  $f(x)$ , then there is an isomorphism  $\psi : F(a) \rightarrow F(b)$ , induced by  $\psi(a) = b$  and  $\psi(c) = c$  for  $c \in F$ , so, in particular  $\psi(c_{n-1}a^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1a + c_0) = c_{n-1}\psi(a^{n-1}) + \cdots + c_1\psi(a) + c_0 = c_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1b + c_0$  where  $c_i \in F$ .

So if  $a$  has multiplicity  $m$  then  $f(x) = (x - a)^m g(x) \in E[x]$  (where  $g(a) \neq 0$ ) and  $\psi(f(x)) = (x - \psi(a))^m \psi(g(x)) = (x - b)^m \psi(g(x))$  which means  $b$  has multiplicity *at least*  $m$  but if we exchange ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' then we deduce that the multiplicity of  $a$  is greater than or equal to that of  $a$  as well, so they're the same. □

So we conclude that  $f(x) = c(x - a_1)^m(x - a_2)^m \cdots (x - a_r)^m$  for some  $c \in F$  where  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  are the distinct roots of  $f(x)$ .

We finish by considering a 'non-perfect' field.

Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_p(t) = \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[t])$  which is the field of fractions where numerator and denominator are polynomials in ' $t$ ' with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , what we term a 'field of rational functions'.

What we find is that the function  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(t)$  given  $\frac{f(t)}{g(t)} \mapsto \frac{f(t)^p}{g(t)^p}$  is not onto.

To see this, realize that  $\phi(t) = t^p$  which means  $t \notin \phi(\mathbb{Z}_p(t))$  since  $t$  is not the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of any element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p(t)$ .

Now  $f(x) = x^p - t \in \mathbb{Z}_p(t)[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial, basically since  $\mathbb{Z}_p(t)$  does not contain  $t^{1/p}$ .

So now, if  $s = t^{1/p}$  then we can adjoin  $s$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p(t)$  to obtain an extension field and in this field  $(x - s)^p = x^p - s^p = x^p - t$  since the field has characteristic  $p$ .

So we conclude that  $f(x) = x^p - t$  is an irreducible polynomial that is not separable.

# Algebraic Extensions

## Definition

Let  $E$  be an extension field of  $F$  and let  $a \in E$ . We call  $a$  algebraic over  $F$  if  $a$  is the zero of some non-zero polynomial in  $F[x]$ .

If ' $a$ ' is not algebraic, then it is called transcendental.

An extension  $E$  of a field  $F$  is called algebraic if every element of  $E$  is algebraic over  $F$ , otherwise  $E$  is a transcendental extension of  $F$ .

An extension of  $F$  of the form  $F(a)$  is called a simple extension.

Examples:

$\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since  $\sqrt{2}$  is a root of  $x^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

The field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is algebraic, and we can show this by looking at a typical element  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{2}$ .

If  $b = 0$  then  $\alpha = a \in \mathbb{Q}$  which is root of  $x - a \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  (indeed all the elements of  $F$  are algebraic over  $F$  for any field).

If  $b \neq 0$  then consider  $\alpha - a = b\sqrt{2}$  so  $(\alpha - a)^2 = 2b^2$  so  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f(x) = x^2 - 2ax + (a^2 - 2b) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

In contrast,  $\pi$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as was proved by Lindemann in 1882.

Similarly  $e$  is also known to be transcendental, as was proved by Hermite in 1873.

As it turns out, it's rather difficult to prove a number is transcendental.

Another famous example is the so-called Liouville constant:

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 10^{-n!} = 10^{-1} + 10^{-2} + 10^{-6} + \dots = 0.110001000\dots$$

where a given digit is 1 if its 'place' is  $n!$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

For perspective, we can consider the fact that the set of all real numbers which are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , namely the roots of any polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is a countable set since there are only countably many polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ , each of which has only finitely many roots.

The difference of these two sets is uncountable, meaning that **most** real numbers are transcendental but the subtlety is in actually *proving* a given real number is transcendental.



If we take a transcendental number like say  $\pi$  then  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$  contains all possible  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of powers of  $\pi$ , i.e  $f(\pi)$  where  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

The key difference between  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$  is that  $\pi$  is not the root of a polynomial, unlike  $\sqrt{2}$ , and the difference is that  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$  is not a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(i.e.  $\sqrt{2}$  being a root of  $x^2 - 2$  means all elements of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  are of the form  $a + b\sqrt{2}$ , but the same is not true for  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ )

Indeed  $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \left\{ \frac{f(\pi)}{g(\pi)} \mid f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x], g(x) \neq 0 \right\}$  namely all rational functions with  $\pi$  substituted in for  $x$ .

For any field  $F$ , we have  $F(t) = \text{Frac}(F[t])$  which is the field of rational functions in one variable ' $t$ ' with coefficients in  $F$ .

We see that  $F(t)$  is a transcendental extension of  $F$  since there is no polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(t) = 0$ .

### Theorem

*For a field  $F$ , and  $a$  a transcendental element over  $F$  we have that  $F(a) \cong F(t)$ .*

*If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$  then  $F(\alpha) \cong F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  for an irreducible polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  of minimal degree for which  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .*

We examine the second claim above.

If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$  then consider

$$I = \{f(x) \in F[x] \mid f(\alpha) = 0\}$$

and observe that  $f(x), g(x) \in I$  implies  $f(x) + g(x) \in I$  too since  $f(\alpha) + g(\alpha) = 0 + 0 = 0$  and if  $h(x) \in F[x]$  and  $f(x) \in I$  then for  $f(x)h(x)$  we have  $f(\alpha)h(\alpha) = 0h(\alpha) = 0$  so  $h(x)f(x) \in I$ , that is,  $I$  is an ideal.

And being an ideal in  $F[x]$ , it is principal so it means that  $I = \langle p(x) \rangle$  for some  $p(x) \in F[x]$ , and moreover since the degrees of elements in  $I$  are natural numbers, the degree of  $p(x)$  is the minimal degree of the degrees of all the elements of  $I$ .

It follows that  $p(x)$  must be irreducible. Why?

Define  $\psi : F[x]/I \rightarrow F(\alpha)$  by  $h(x) + I \mapsto h(\alpha)$  and if  $h_1(x) + I = h_2(x) + I$  then  $h_1(x) - h_2(x) \in I$  and so  $h_1(\alpha) - h_2(\alpha) = 0$  which means  $h_1(\alpha) = h_2(\alpha)$  so  $\psi$  is well defined, and a homomorphism.

It is clear that  $\psi$  is onto since every element of  $F(\alpha)$  is of the form  $h(\alpha)$  for some  $h(x) \in F[x]$  and as we saw above  $h_1(\alpha) = h_2(\alpha)$  implies  $h_1(x) + I = h_2(x) + I$  so  $\psi$  is one-to-one and so  $F[x]/I \cong F(\alpha)$  which means that  $I$  is a maximal ideal.

As such, for that  $p(x)$  such that  $I = \langle p(x) \rangle$  we must have that  $p(x)$  is irreducible.

The reason is that if  $q(x) \mid p(x)$  (where  $\deg(q(x)) < \deg(p(x))$ ) then  $\langle p(x) \rangle \subsetneq \langle q(x) \rangle$  but since  $\langle p(x) \rangle$  is maximal this means  $\langle q(x) \rangle = F[x]$  so that  $q(x)$  is a non-zero constant (i.e. a unit).  $\square$

We have the following somewhat refined version of the above result.

### Theorem

*If  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $F$  then there is a unique monic (leading term 1) irreducible polynomial  $p(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .*

The ideal  $I$  of polynomials in  $F[x]$  which have  $\alpha$  as a root are generated by an irreducible polynomial, i.e.  $I = \langle p(x) \rangle$ .

And any other polynomial which generates this ideal is a unit multiple of  $p(x)$ , so if  $p(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$  then  $up(x) = ua_n x^n + ua_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + ua_1 + ua_0$  and so  $up(x)$  is monic only if  $u = a_n^{-1}$  i.e. it's unique.

We call this unique monic irreducible polynomial the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  over  $F$  and denote it  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F)$ .

The assumptions we will make about the fields under study make the following definitions from the text somewhat moot, but we include them nonetheless.

### Definition

For a field  $F$ , an extension field  $E/F$  is called a separable extension if for every  $\alpha \in E$ , one has that  $\text{irr}(\alpha, F)$  is a separable polynomial.

An extension  $E/F$  is a normal extension if  $E$  is a separable splitting field of some polynomial in  $F[x]$ .

These definitions are made if one makes **no** assumptions about the base field  $F$ .

However, if  $F$  is perfect (which we shall usually assume) then any extension field  $E/F$  is automatically separable.

And as to normal extensions, again assuming the base field  $F$  is perfect, then 'normal extension' is equivalent to 'splitting field'.

And indeed, we shall have good reasons for distinguishing between splitting fields/extensions, such as  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ , versus those which aren't such as  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ .

Here are some basic examples of  $\text{irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q})$ .

- $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$  implies  $\text{irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}) = x^2 - 2$
- $\alpha = i$  implies  $\text{irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}) = x^2 + 1$
- $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$  implies  $\text{irr}(\alpha, \mathbb{Q}) = x^3 - 2$

These are relatively obvious, but what about more complicated examples?

We'll consider these next time, as the problem is in *proving* that the polynomial you find is actually irreducible.