

Dualizing the relative higher index and almost flat bundles

Yosuke KUBOTA

iTHEMS, RIKEN

Boston University/Keio University Workshop 2017
Geometry and Mathematical Physics,
Boston University
June 29, 2017

Outlines

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Almost flat vector bundles
- 3 Dual of the Baum–Connes assembly map
- 4 Relative version

Introduction

Homotopy invariance of the rational Pontrjagin class

$\varphi: M \rightarrow N$: homotopy equivalence of closed manifolds.

Q. $\varphi^* p_k(TN) = p_k(TM) \in H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$?

A. No.

e.g.) $S(E) \sim S^4 \times S^j$ (E is a \mathbb{R} -vector bundle on S^4)

Theorem (Hirzebruch Signature theorem)

$$\langle L(M), [M] \rangle = \text{Sign}(M)$$

In particular it is homotopy invariant.

Let $f: M \rightarrow B\pi_1(M)$.

Conjecture (Noivikov conjecture)

$f_*(L(M) \cap [M]) \in H_*(B\pi_1(M); \mathbb{Q})$ is homotopy invariant.

Existence of a metric with positive scalar curvature (psc)

Theorem (Kazdan–Warner)

One of the following holds.

- 1 $\forall s \in C^\infty(M) \exists g$ a metric s.t. $\text{Scal}_g = s$.
- 2 $\exists g$ a metric s.t. $\text{Scal}_g = s$ iff $\exists x \in M$ s.t. $s(x) < 0$ or $s \equiv 0$.
- 3 $\exists g$ a metric s.t. $\text{Scal}_g = s$ iff $\exists x \in M$ s.t. $s(x) < 0$.

Let M be a closed spin manifold.

Theorem (Atiyah–Singer index theorem)

If M admits a psc metric, then $\langle \hat{A}(M), [M] \rangle = 0$.

Conjecture (Gromov–Lawson conjecture)

If M admits a psc metric, then $f_(\hat{A}(M) \cap [M]) = 0$.*

More precisely, one should use the Real K-theory instead of cohomology. (Another question: is it necessary and sufficient? (GLR conjecture))

Almost flat vector bundles

Almost flat element of the K^0 -group

(M, g) : closed Riemannian manifold

Definition

An ε -flat vector bundle on M is a hermitian vector bundle E on M such that E admits a connection ∇ with the curvature satisfies

$$\|R^\nabla\| := \sup_{x \in M} \sup_{X, Y \in T_x M} \frac{\|R^\nabla(X, Y)\|_{\text{End}(E_x)}}{\|X\| \|Y\|} < \varepsilon.$$

For $\alpha \in K^0(M)$,

$$\text{K-area}(M, g, \alpha) := \sup_{\alpha = [E^+] - [E^-]} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\|R^{\nabla^+}\|}, \frac{1}{\|R^{\nabla^-}\|} \right\}.$$

α is almost flat iff $\text{K-area}(M, g, \alpha) = \infty$.

Almost flatness and higher genus

Theorem (Connes–Gromov–Moscovici'91)

$x \in K^0(M)$: *almost flat*. Then,

$$\text{Sign}_x(M) = \langle \text{ch}(x)L(M), [M] \rangle$$

is homotopy invariant.

More precisely, for $f: N \rightarrow M$: homotopy equivalence

$$\langle f^* \text{ch}(x)L(N), [N] \rangle = \langle \text{ch}(x)L(M), [M] \rangle.$$

Theorem

$x = [E] - [\mathbb{C}_M^n] \in K^0(M)$: *almost flat and M admits a psc metric*. Then,

$$0 = \text{Index}(D_E) = \langle \text{ch}(E)p\hat{A}(M), [M] \rangle$$

Q. Does $\text{Im}(f^*: K^*(B\pi_1(M)) \rightarrow K^*(M))$ coincide with the subgroup consisting of all almost flat elements?

Enlargeability

Definition (Gromov–Lawson)

M^n is *enlargeable* if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite cover \overline{M} and a ε -contracting map $f: \overline{M} \rightarrow S^n$ of non-zero degree.

- A typical example is \mathbb{T}^n .
- Any manifold admitting a metric with non-positive sectional curvature is enlargeable (Gromov–Lawson).

E : vector bundle on S^{2n} corresponding to the Bott generator

Then,

$$\pi_!(f^*E) := \bigsqcup_{x \in M} \bigoplus_{\pi(\overline{x})=x} E_{f(\overline{x})}$$

is a ε -flat vector bundle on M .

Corollary

If a spin manifold M is enlargeable, then M does not admit a psc metric.

Almost flat bundles vs Quasi-representations

Recall the one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{flat (herm) vector bundle on } M\} &\leftrightarrow \{(\text{unitary}) \text{ representation of } \pi_1(M)\} \\ (E, \nabla) &\mapsto \text{monodromy representation,} \\ \tilde{M} \times_{\pi} V &\leftarrow (\pi, V). \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Γ : discrete group.

$\mathcal{G} \subset \Gamma$: finite subset

$\pi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $(\mathcal{G}, \varepsilon)$ -quasirepresentation if

$$\|\pi(s)\pi(t) - \pi(st)\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $s, t, st \in \mathcal{G}$.

Roughly, quasi-representations of $\pi_1(M)$ one-to-one correspond to almost flat bundles on M .

(Mishchenko–Maruilov'95, Dadarlat'14, Carrion–Dadarlat'15)

Dual of the Baum–Connes assembly map

KK-theory

There is an additive category $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}$ such that

- $\text{Obj}(\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{K}) = (\text{separable } C^*\text{-algebras}),$
- the morphism set (denoted by $\text{KK}(A, B)$) is

$$\text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, A) \cong K_0(A) = G\{\text{fin. gen. proj. } A\text{-module}\},$$

$$\text{KK}(A, \mathbb{C}) \cong K^0(A) = \text{"\{elliptic operator on } A\text{"},$$

- the composition (Kasparov product)

$$\otimes_{C(M)}: \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C(M)) \otimes \text{KK}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

is nothing but the index pairing $\langle [E], [D] \rangle = \text{Index}(D_E)$.

A : C^* -algebra.

\mathcal{E} : fin. gen. proj. A -module bundle over M .

Then, $[\mathcal{E}] \in K_0(C(M) \otimes A)$ and the composition

$$\otimes_{C(M)}: \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C(M) \otimes A) \otimes \text{KK}(C(M), \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, A) = K_0(A)$$

is called the index pairing with coefficient in A .

The Mishchenko–Fomenko higher index

M : even dim closed (Spin^c) manifold. $\Gamma := \pi_1(M)$.

$C^*\Gamma := \overline{\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]}$: (full) group C^* -algebra. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}_\Gamma^{\text{MF}} := \tilde{M} \times_\Gamma C^*\Gamma$$

is a flat $C^*\Gamma$ -module bundle. For a Dirac-type operator D on M ,

$$\beta_M([D]) = \text{Ind}_{\text{MF}} D := [\mathcal{E}_\Gamma^{\text{MF}}] \otimes_{C(M)} [D] \in K_0(C^*\Gamma)$$

is called the *Mishchenko–Fomenko higher index*.

- The MF higher signature is homotopy invariant (Kaminker).
- M doesn't admit a psc metric unless the MF higher \hat{A} -genus vanishes.
- The MF higher index map is functorial. In particular,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(M) & \xrightarrow{\beta_M} & K_0(C^*\Gamma) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists \beta & \\ K_0(B\Gamma) & & \end{array}$$

Dualizing the higher index

$$\alpha_M := [\mathcal{E}_\Gamma^{\text{MF}}] \otimes_{C^*\Gamma} \cdot : \text{KK}(C^*\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C(M))$$

is the adjoint of β_M , i.e. $\langle \alpha_M(x), y \rangle = \langle x, \beta_M(y) \rangle$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_0(C(M)) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_M} & K^0(C^*\Gamma) & \in x \\
 \otimes & & \otimes & \\
 y \ni K^0(C(M)) & \xrightarrow{\beta_M} & K_0(C^*\Gamma) & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathbb{Z} & & \mathbb{Z} &
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, by functoriality of α_M ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K^0(M) & \xleftarrow{\alpha_M} & K^0(C^*\Gamma) \\
 \uparrow f^* & \swarrow \exists \alpha & \\
 K^0(B\Gamma) & &
 \end{array}$$

If Γ satisfies the ‘strong Novikov conjecture’, $\text{Im}(\alpha_M) = \text{Im}(f^*)$.

K-homology of the group C^* -algebra

An element of $K^0(C^*\Gamma)$ is a 'difference' of two (infinite dimensional) unitary representations. i.e. (π_i, \mathcal{H}_i) ($i = 0, 1$) of Γ and

$$F: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1 \text{ Fredholm op. s.t. } F\pi_0(g) - \pi_1(g)F \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Observation

$\alpha_M([\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, F])$ is the 'associated Fredholm bundle'

$$" \tilde{F}: \tilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{M} \times_{\Gamma} \mathcal{H}_1 "$$

This Fredholm bundle is 'flat modulo compact'.

Question

When is $\alpha_M[\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1, F]$ almost flat as an element of $K^0(M)$?

Quasi-diagonality

Definition

A C^* -algebra is *quasi-diagonal* (QD) if some (any) faithful representation π admits an increasing sequence of finite rank projections p_k such that $[p_k, \pi(a)] \rightarrow 0$ for any $a \in A$.

- All amenable group C^* -algebras are QD (Tikuisis–White–Winter'16).
- A residually finite group algebra has a QD completion.

In these cases, (π, \mathcal{H}) can be approximated by infinite direct sums of finite dimensional quasi-representations.

Corollary (Dadarlat'14, K.)

If Γ is

- *amenable or*
 - *residually finite and coarsely embeddable into Hilbert space,*
- then all element in $K^0(B\Gamma)$ is almost flat.*

Relative version

Relative almost flat bundle

Let M be a compact manifold with the boundary $\partial M = N$.

Definition

An ε -flat relative vector bundle on (M, N) is a triple (E, E', u) where

- E and E' are hermitian vector bundles on M with the connections ∇ and ∇' ,
- $u: E|_N \rightarrow E'|_N$ is a unitary bundle isomorphism

such that

- their curvature satisfies $\|R^\nabla\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|R^{\nabla'}\| < \varepsilon$ and
- $u^* \nabla'_N u = \nabla_N$,

(where ∇_N denotes the restriction of ∇ on N .)

An element $\alpha \in K^0(M, N)$ is almost flat if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a ε -flat vector bundle (E, E', u) such that $\alpha = [E, E', u]$.

Chang–Weinberger–Yu relative assembly map

M : manifold with boundary $\partial M = N$.

Assume $\Lambda := \pi_1(N) \subset \pi_1(M) =: \Gamma$.

Recently, Chang–Weinberger–Yu gives a definition of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \longrightarrow & K_0(N) & \longrightarrow & K_0(M) & \longrightarrow & K_0(M, N) & \longrightarrow \\
 & \downarrow \mu_N & & \downarrow \mu_M & & \downarrow \mu_{M,N} & \\
 \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & K_0(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)) & \longrightarrow
 \end{array}$$

For long exactness of the second row,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda) &= \text{SCone}(C^*\Lambda \rightarrow C^*\Gamma) \\
 &= \left\{ f: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow C^*\Gamma \mid \begin{array}{l} f(t, 1) \in C^*\Lambda, \\ f(0, s) = f(1, s) = f(t, 0) = 0 \end{array} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Deeley–Goffeng'17)

$$\mu_{M,N}([D]) = \text{Index}_{\text{APS}}(D, P) + \text{sf}(P)$$

MF index description of the relative assembly map

Theorem (K. in preparation)

There is a ‘relative Mishchenko–Fomenko bundle’ $\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \Lambda}^{\text{MF}}$, which determines an element

$$[\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \Lambda}^{\text{MF}}] \in \text{KK}(\mathbb{C}, C_0(M^o) \otimes C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$$

and the Kasparov product

$$\otimes [\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \Lambda}^{\text{MF}}]: K_0(M, N) \rightarrow K_0(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$$

coincides with the relative assembly map $\mu_{\Gamma, \Lambda}$.

By using this description of $\mu_{\Gamma, \Lambda}$, we get the dual assembly map

$$K^0(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda)) \rightarrow K^0(M, N)$$

Relative quasi-representation

Theorem (K. in preparation)

An element in $K_0(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$ is given by a pair (π_i, \mathcal{H}_i) of unitary representations of Γ and a Fredholm operator $F: \mathcal{H}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1$ s.t.

- $F\pi_0(g) - \pi_1(g)F \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $g \in \Gamma$,
- $F\pi_0(g) = \pi_1(g)F$ for all $g \in \Lambda$.

In particular, if Γ has a QD completion, then any element of $K^0(C^*(\Gamma, \Lambda))$ gives a pair of quasi-representations of Γ which are unitary equivalent after restricted to Λ .

Corollary

Let Γ be

- amenable, or
- residually finite and coarsely embeddable into a Hilbert space,

then all element in $K^0(B\Gamma, B\Lambda)$ is almost flat.

Thank you!