Random Holonomy and Algebraic Structures

Steve Rosenberg (with Michael Murray and Raymond Vozzo)

Boston University, U. of Adelaide

June 30, 2017

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

QFT/QM computes the time evolution of a system by Schrödinger operators

$$e^{it\Delta}:\mathcal{H}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

for some operator Δ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

QFT/QM computes the time evolution of a system by Schrödinger operators

$$e^{it\Delta}:\mathcal{H}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

for some operator Δ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Formally, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g
angle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} e^{itL(\gamma)}d\mu(\gamma),$$

where \mathcal{P}_t is the space of paths starting at f and ending at g at time t, and $d\mu(\gamma)$ is some measure.

QFT/QM computes the time evolution of a system by Schrödinger operators

$$e^{it\Delta}:\mathcal{H}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

for some operator Δ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Formally, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} e^{itL(\gamma)}d\mu(\gamma),$$

where \mathcal{P}_t is the space of paths starting at f and ending at g at time t, and $d\mu(\gamma)$ is some measure.

Problem: $d\mu$ probably doesn't exist. Even if $d\mu$ exists as some Wiener measure, the integral doesn't exist in general.

QFT/QM computes the time evolution of a system by Schrödinger operators

$$e^{it\Delta}:\mathcal{H}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

for some operator Δ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Formally, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} e^{itL(\gamma)}d\mu(\gamma),$$

where \mathcal{P}_t is the space of paths starting at f and ending at g at time t, and $d\mu(\gamma)$ is some measure.

Problem: $d\mu$ probably doesn't exist. Even if $d\mu$ exists as some Wiener measure, the integral doesn't exist in general.

Partial solution: TQFT extracts meaningful information from formal path integrals. We treat the mysterious path integral as a functor Z.

QFT/QM computes the time evolution of a system by Schrödinger operators

$$e^{it\Delta}:\mathcal{H}
ightarrow\mathcal{H}$$

for some operator Δ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Formally, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle e^{it\Delta}f,g\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} e^{itL(\gamma)}d\mu(\gamma),$$

where \mathcal{P}_t is the space of paths starting at f and ending at g at time t, and $d\mu(\gamma)$ is some measure.

Problem: $d\mu$ probably doesn't exist. Even if $d\mu$ exists as some Wiener measure, the integral doesn't exist in general.

Partial solution: TQFT extracts meaningful information from formal path integrals. We treat the mysterious path integral as a functor Z.

In this talk, we'll try to put back some of the analysis into the TQFT picture. We choose a particular Z, and find algebraic structures in the theory.

Let C be the category whose objects are 0-dimensional oriented manifolds and whose morphisms are oriented bordisms I between points.

$$\dot{p}_{-}$$
 l \dot{p}_{+}

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Let C be the category whose objects are 0-dimensional oriented manifolds and whose morphisms are oriented bordisms I between points.

Let \mathcal{V} be the category of vector spaces and homomorphisms.

Define the functor $Z : C \to \mathcal{V}$ by: $Z(p_+) = \mathcal{H}, Z(p_-) = \mathcal{H}^*$. Set $Z(I) = e^{itH}$, whatever that is, so $Z(I) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}$.



$$Z(I) \in Z(p_-) \otimes Z(p_+) := Z(\lbrace p_- \rbrace \cup \lbrace p_+ \rbrace) = Z(\partial I)$$

A 2d TQFT is a functor Z which assigns to an oriented 1d closed manifold $kS^1 = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k S^1$ a vector space $Z(kS^1) = V_k$ and to each oriented 2d manifold with boundary (Σ, kS^1) a vector $Z(\Sigma) \in V_k = Z(\partial \Sigma)$. The axioms

$$Z(S_{-}^{1}) = Z(S_{+}^{1})^{*}, \ Z(S^{1} \sqcup S^{1}) = Z(S^{1}) \otimes Z(S^{1})$$

imply that pairs of pants give rise to products and coproducts:

A 2d TQFT is a functor Z which assigns to an oriented 1d closed manifold $kS^1 = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k S^1$ a vector space $Z(kS^1) = V_k$ and to each oriented 2d manifold with boundary (Σ, kS^1) a vector $Z(\Sigma) \in V_k = Z(\partial \Sigma)$. The axioms

$$Z(S_{-}^{1}) = Z(S_{+}^{1})^{*}, \ Z(S^{1} \sqcup S^{1}) = Z(S^{1}) \otimes Z(S^{1})$$

imply that pairs of pants give rise to products and coproducts:



String theory and pairs of pants

String theory: The main object is $Maps(\Sigma^2, M)$. A map $f : \Sigma^2 \to M$ is thought of as a circle/string moving inside M:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

t

String theory and pairs of pants

String theory: The main object is $Maps(\Sigma^2, M)$. A map $f : \Sigma^2 \to M$ is thought of as a circle/string moving inside M:



A map decomposes into maps of pairs of pants into M:



Pairs of pants in loop space





Pairs of pants in loop space



A pair of pants in the loop space $LM = Maps(S^1, M)$ looks like:



▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

Choosing Z: Holonomy as a (failed) 2d TQFT

Start with a complex Hermitian bundle (E, ∇) with connection over LM.

Example: Given a complex Hermitian bundle (E', ∇') with connection over M, we get a "loopified" infinite rank bundle $E = LE' \rightarrow LM$, with fiber $LE'_{\gamma} = \{$ the sections of E' above $\gamma \}$. There is an associated L^2 connection on LE.

Example: If $E' = T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, then $LE' = T_{\mathbb{C}}LM$.

Choosing Z: Holonomy as a (failed) 2d TQFT

Start with a complex Hermitian bundle (E, ∇) with connection over LM.

Example: Given a complex Hermitian bundle (E', ∇') with connection over M, we get a "loopified" infinite rank bundle $E = LE' \rightarrow LM$, with fiber $LE'_{\gamma} = \{$ the sections of E' above $\gamma \}$. There is an associated L^2 connection on LE.

Example: If $E' = T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, then $LE' = T_{\mathbb{C}}LM$.

For $Z(kS^1)$, choose k fixed loops in M, i.e. k points x_1, \ldots, x_k in LM. Set $Z(x_i) = E_{x_i}$. This is already not a TQFT.

Choosing Z: Holonomy as a (failed) 2d TQFT

Start with a complex Hermitian bundle (E, ∇) with connection over LM.

Example: Given a complex Hermitian bundle (E', ∇') with connection over M, we get a "loopified" infinite rank bundle $E = LE' \rightarrow LM$, with fiber $LE'_{\gamma} = \{$ the sections of E' above $\gamma \}$. There is an associated L^2 connection on LE.

Example: If $E' = T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, then $LE' = T_{\mathbb{C}}LM$.

For $Z(kS^1)$, choose k fixed loops in M, i.e. k points x_1, \ldots, x_k in LM. Set $Z(x_i) = E_{x_i}$. This is already not a TQFT.

For k = 2, define

Z(bordism between k = 2 points)

by choosing a curve ℓ in *LM* between the points, i.e. a cylinder between loops x_1, x_2 in *M*. Set $Z(\ell) \in \text{Hom}(E_{x_1}, E_{x_2})$ to be the holonomy along the cylinder.



Holonomy as a 2d TQFT II

Assume E is an LM-groupoid.

For k = 3, Z(pair of pants) should be a product $E_{x_1} \otimes E_{x_2} \rightarrow E_{x_3}$.



Here $w = \gamma_1 \cdot ||v_2 + ||v_1 \cdot \gamma_2$. So $Z(\text{pair of pants})(v_1, v_2) = ||w = v_3$.

Holonomy as a 2d TQFT II

Assume E is an LM-groupoid.

For k = 3, Z(pair of pants) should be a product $E_{x_1} \otimes E_{x_2} \rightarrow E_{x_3}$.



Here $w = \gamma_1 \cdot ||v_2 + ||v_1 \cdot \gamma_2$. So $Z(\text{pair of pants})(v_1, v_2) = ||w = v_3$.

Reading left to right, the product takes $E_{x_1} \otimes E_{x_2}$ to E_{x_3} . Reading right to left, we see a coproduct.

Holonomy as a 2d TQFT II

Assume E is an LM-groupoid.

For k = 3, Z(pair of pants) should be a product $E_{x_1} \otimes E_{x_2} \rightarrow E_{x_3}$.



Here $w = \gamma_1 \cdot ||v_2 + ||v_1 \cdot \gamma_2$. So $Z(\text{pair of pants})(v_1, v_2) = ||w = v_3$.

Reading left to right, the product takes $E_{x_1} \otimes E_{x_2}$ to E_{x_3} . Reading right to left, we see a coproduct.

Problem: In string theory, we want to integrate over/average over all pairs of pants. What is the measure?

Holonomy on a Lie group



This picture is fine if G is a Lie group and (E, ∇) is a G-bundle.

The "broken tuning fork" is no longer a bordism. We're moving away from TQFT formalism.

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本 - 日本

We want to average over $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ and over all paths. How to do this?

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold M

Let $W_{t,x}$ be Wiener (probability) measure on the path space

$$P_{t,x} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

For $A \subset P_{t,x}$, $W_{t,x}(A) = \int_{P_{t,x}} \chi_A \ dW_{t,x} = \int_A dW_{t,x}$ is the probability $\mathbb{P}[\gamma \in A]$ that a random path lies in A.

Similarly, there is a pinned Wiener measure W_{t,x_1,x_2} on the path space

$$P_{t,x_1,x_2} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x_1, \gamma(t) = x_2, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold M

Let $W_{t,x}$ be Wiener (probability) measure on the path space

$$P_{t,x} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

For $A \subset P_{t,x}$, $W_{t,x}(A) = \int_{P_{t,x}} \chi_A \ dW_{t,x} = \int_A dW_{t,x}$ is the probability $\mathbb{P}[\gamma \in A]$ that a random path lies in A.

Similarly, there is a pinned Wiener measure W_{t,x_1,x_2} on the path space

$$P_{t,x_1,x_2} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x_1, \gamma(t) = x_2, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Example: For $U \subset M$, and for $A = \{\gamma : \gamma(t) \in U\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \in A] = \mathbb{P}[\gamma(t) \in U] = W_{t,x}(A) = \int_U K_t(x,y) \mathrm{dvol}(y),$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

where $K_t(x, y)$ is the heat kernel on M.

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold M

Let $W_{t,x}$ be Wiener (probability) measure on the path space

$$P_{t,x} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

For $A \subset P_{t,x}$, $W_{t,x}(A) = \int_{P_{t,x}} \chi_A \ dW_{t,x} = \int_A dW_{t,x}$ is the probability $\mathbb{P}[\gamma \in A]$ that a random path lies in A.

Similarly, there is a pinned Wiener measure W_{t,x_1,x_2} on the path space

$$P_{t,x_1,x_2} = \{\gamma : [0,t] \to M : \gamma(0) = x_1, \gamma(t) = x_2, \gamma \text{ continuous}\}.$$

Example: For $U \subset M$, and for $A = \{\gamma : \gamma(t) \in U\}$,

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma \in A] = \mathbb{P}[\gamma(t) \in U] = W_{t,x}(A) = \int_U K_t(x,y) \mathrm{dvol}(y),$$

where $K_t(x, y)$ is the heat kernel on M.

Here the time t heat flow of an initial temperature distribution $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ is given by

$$(e^{-t\Delta}f)(x) = \int_{M} K_t(x,y)f(y) \operatorname{dvol}(y).$$

The heat kernel looks like

$$K_t(x,y) = e^{-d^2(x,y)/4t}(c_0t^{-\dim(M)/2} + c_1t^{-(\dim(M)/2)+1} + \ldots).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ♥ ♥ ♥

So for small t, $K_t(x, y)$ is very large if x = y, and very small if $x \neq y$.

The heat kernel looks like

$$K_t(x,y) = e^{-d^2(x,y)/4t} (c_0 t^{-\dim(M)/2} + c_1 t^{-(\dim(M)/2)+1} + \ldots).$$

So for small t, $K_t(x, y)$ is very large if x = y, and very small if $x \neq y$.

$$\mathbb{P}[\gamma(t) \in U] = \int_U K_t(x, y) \mathrm{dvol}(y)$$

For a path starting at x, $K_t(x, y)$ is small if $x \notin U$ and t is small: there is a low probability of traveling from x to U in a short time, and this probability is even lower if d(x, U) is big.

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold II

As a warm-up, consider the k = 2 case. We want the average holonomy over paths from x_1 to x_2



▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ● のへで

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold II

As a warm-up, consider the k = 2 case. We want the average holonomy over paths from x_1 to x_2



The average holonomy is $\int_{P_{t,x_1,x_2}} \|_{\gamma} v \ dW_{t,x_1,x_2}(\gamma)$, for the case of M.

Average holonomy on a Riemannian manifold II

As a warm-up, consider the k = 2 case. We want the average holonomy over paths from x_1 to x_2



The average holonomy is $\int_{P_{t,x_1,x_2}} \|_{\gamma} v \ dW_{t,x_1,x_2}(\gamma)$, for the case of M.

Note: 1) It is unknown if LM has a Wiener measure in general. LG might be ok. 2) Parallel transport exists along continuous paths by solving a stochastic ODE.

Average holonomy for pairs of pants: disintegration

Recall the Fubini theorem for a rectangle:

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dx}{b-a} \frac{dy}{d-c} = \int_{[a,b]} \left(\int_{[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dy}{d-c} \right) \left(\frac{dx}{(b-a)} \right)$$
$$= \int_{[a,b]} \left(\int_{[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dy}{d-c} \right) \pi_* \left(\frac{dx \ dy}{(b-a)(d-c)} \right),$$
ere $\pi : (x,y) \mapsto x$ and $\pi_*(...) = dx/(b-a)$. The support of each $dy/(d-c)$ is

where $\pi : (x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\pi_*(...) = dx/(b-a)$. The support of each dy/(d-c) is in $\pi^{-1}(x)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Average holonomy for pairs of pants: disintegration

Recall the Fubini theorem for a rectangle:

$$\int_{[a,b]\times[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dx}{b-a} \frac{dy}{d-c} = \int_{[a,b]} \left(\int_{[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dy}{d-c} \right) \left(\frac{dx}{(b-a)} \right)$$
$$= \int_{[a,b]} \left(\int_{[c,d]} f(x,y) \frac{dy}{d-c} \right) \pi_* \left(\frac{dx \ dy}{(b-a)(d-c)} \right),$$

where $\pi : (x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\pi_*(...) = dx/(b-a)$. The support of each dy/(d-c) is in $\pi^{-1}(x)$.

This is the basic example of a disintegration formula: For $\pi : (Y, \mu) \to (X, \pi_*\mu)$ and $f : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ a measurable map on a probability space, in many cases there are probability measures μ_x supported in $\pi^{-1}(x)$ such that

$$\int_Y f(y)d\mu(y) = \int_X \left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)} f(y)d\mu_x(y)\right) \pi_*\mu(x).$$

Disintegration and Wiener measures

$$\pi:(Y,\mu)\to(X,\pi_*\mu),\ \int_Y f(y)d\mu(y)=\int_X\left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)}f(y)d\mu_x(y)\right)\pi_*\mu(x).$$

Example: $(Y, \mu) = (P_{t,x}, W_{t,x}), X = M, \pi = ev_t : Y \to M$, where $ev_t(\gamma) = \gamma(t)$.

Then $(ev_{t,*}W_{t,x})(y) = K_t(x, y)dvol(y)$, $ev_t^{-1}(y) = \{\gamma : \gamma(t) = y\} = P_{t,x,y}$. For $f : P_{t,x} \to \mathbb{R}$, the average value of f is

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \int_{P_{t,x}} f \ dW_{t,x} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} \right) K_t(x,y) \ dy.$$

Disintegration and Wiener measures

$$\pi:(Y,\mu)\to(X,\pi_*\mu),\ \int_Y f(y)d\mu(y)=\int_X\left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)}f(y)d\mu_x(y)\right)\pi_*\mu(x).$$

Example: $(Y, \mu) = (P_{t,x}, W_{t,x}), X = M, \pi = ev_t : Y \to M$, where $ev_t(\gamma) = \gamma(t)$.

Then $(ev_{t,*}W_{t,x})(y) = K_t(x, y)dvol(y)$, $ev_t^{-1}(y) = \{\gamma : \gamma(t) = y\} = P_{t,x,y}$. For $f : P_{t,x} \to \mathbb{R}$, the average value of f is

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \int_{P_{t,x}} f \ dW_{t,x} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} \right) K_t(x,y) \ dy.$$

Example: $Y = P_{t,x,y}, X = M, \pi = ev_s$ for $s \in (0, t)$ some intermediate time. Then $ev_s^{-1}(z) = \{\gamma : \gamma(s) = z\} = P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}$ and

$$\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}} f \ d(W_{s,x,z} \times W_{t-s,z,y}) \right) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y}.$$

Disintegration and Wiener measures

$$\pi:(Y,\mu)\to(X,\pi_*\mu),\ \int_Y f(y)d\mu(y)=\int_X\left(\int_{\pi^{-1}(x)}f(y)d\mu_x(y)\right)\pi_*\mu(x).$$

Example: $(Y, \mu) = (P_{t,x}, W_{t,x}), X = M, \pi = ev_t : Y \to M$, where $ev_t(\gamma) = \gamma(t)$.

Then $(ev_{t,*}W_{t,x})(y) = K_t(x, y)dvol(y)$, $ev_t^{-1}(y) = \{\gamma : \gamma(t) = y\} = P_{t,x,y}$. For $f : P_{t,x} \to \mathbb{R}$, the average value of f is

$$\mathbb{E}[f] = \int_{P_{t,x}} f \ dW_{t,x} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} \right) K_t(x,y) \ dy.$$

Example: $Y = P_{t,x,y}, X = M, \pi = ev_s$ for $s \in (0, t)$ some intermediate time. Then $ev_s^{-1}(z) = \{\gamma : \gamma(s) = z\} = P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}$ and

$$\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}} f \ d(W_{s,x,z} \times W_{t-s,z,y}) \right) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y}.$$

All these measures can be expressed in terms of the heat kernel.

Average holonomy and disintegration

From the average holonomy formula $\int_{P_{t,x,y}} \|_{\gamma} v \ dW_{t,x,y}(\gamma)$ and the disintegration formula

$$\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}} f \ d(W_{s,x,z} \times W_{t-s,z,y}) \right) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

we get a holonomy disintegration formula

Average holonomy and disintegration

From the average holonomy formula $\int_{P_{t,x,y}} \|_{\gamma} v \ dW_{t,x,y}(\gamma)$ and the disintegration formula

$$\int_{P_{t,x,y}} f \ dW_{t,x,y} = \int_M \left(\int_{P_{s,x,z} \times P_{t-s,z,y}} f \ d(W_{s,x,z} \times W_{t-s,z,y}) \right) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y},$$

we get a holonomy disintegration formula

$$\int_{P_{t,x,y}} \|_{t,x\to y}^{\gamma} v \ dW_{t,x,y}(\gamma)$$

$$= \int_{M} (\operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y})(z) \left(\int_{P_{t-s,z,y}} dW_{t-s,z,y} \|_{t-s,z,y}^{\gamma_{2}} \left(\int_{P_{s,x,z}} dW_{s,x,z} \|_{s,x\to z}^{\gamma_{1}} v \right) \right).$$

$$\underbrace{X \qquad \gamma_{1} \qquad z = \gamma_{1}(s) \qquad \gamma_{2} \qquad y}_{\gamma}$$
Average holonomy and coproducts

For a pair of pants "going right" in G:



Average holonomy and coproducts

For a pair of pants "going right" in G:

the average holonomy of $v \in E_x$ is $\Delta v \in E_{y_1} \otimes E_{y_2}$ given by $\Delta v = L_1(v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_2(v)$, with

$$\begin{split} L_{1}(v) &= \\ \int_{(g_{1},g_{2}) \in G \times G} \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y_{1}g_{2}}(g_{1}) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,g_{1}y_{2}}(g_{2}) \\ & \left(\int_{P_{t-s,g_{1},y_{1}}} dW_{t-s,g_{1},y_{1}} \left\| \left(\left[\int_{P_{s,x,g_{1}g_{2}}} \|v(x)dW_{s,x,g_{1}g_{2}} \right] \cdot g_{2}^{-1} \right) \right) \right. \end{split}$$

Average holonomy and coproducts II



$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{2}(v) &= \\ \int_{(g_{1},g_{2}) \atop \in G \times G} \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,y_{1}g_{2}}(g_{1}) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t,x,g_{1}y_{2}}(g_{2}) \\ & \left(\int_{P_{t-s,g_{2},y_{2}}} dW_{t-s,g_{2},y_{2}} \left\| \left(g_{1}^{-1} \cdot \left[\int_{P_{s,x,g_{1}g_{2}}} \| v(x) dW_{s,x,g_{1}g_{2}} \right] \right) \right) \right. \end{split}$$

Note that $\Delta v = L_1(v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_2(v)$ means that $\Delta v \in \mathcal{T}E_{y_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}E_{y_2}$. Extending by $\Delta(v_1 \otimes v_2) = \Delta(v_1) \otimes \Delta(v_2)$ gives $\Delta : \mathcal{T}E_x \to \mathcal{T}E_{y_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}E_{y_2}$.

Average holonomy and products

The product $*: \mathcal{T}E_{x_1} \otimes \mathcal{T}E_{x_2} \to \mathcal{T}E_y$ is given similarly:



$$\begin{aligned} v_1 * v_2 &= v_1 *_{s,t} v_2 \\ &= \int_{\substack{(g_1, g_2) \\ \in G \times G}} \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t, x_1, y g_2^{-1}}(g_1) \operatorname{ev}_{s,*} W_{t, x_2, g_1^{-1} y}(g_2) \\ &\int_{P_{t-s, g_1 g_2, y}} \| \left[\left(\int_{P_{s, x_1, g_1}} \| v_1 \ dW_{s, x_1, g_1} \right) \cdot g_2 \right] dW_{t-s, g_1 g_2, y} \\ &\otimes (\text{similar with } g_1 \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

▲ロ▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 ― 釣�@

Time for examples

Example:
$$G = \mathbb{C}^n, (E, \nabla) = (T\mathbb{C}^n, d).$$

 $\|^{\gamma}v = v$ for all γ . Thus $L_1 = L_2 = \text{Id}$, and the product on $T\mathbb{C}^n$ is the usual $(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_k) * (w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_\ell) = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_k \otimes w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_\ell.$

 $\Delta v = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ induces the standard shuffle product on \mathcal{TC}^n :

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{Sh_{p,n-p}} (v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (v_{\sigma(p+1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Time for examples

Example:
$$G = \mathbb{C}^n$$
, $(E, \nabla) = (T\mathbb{C}^n, d)$.
 $\|^{\gamma}v = v$ for all γ . Thus $L_1 = L_2 = \text{Id}$, and the product on $T\mathbb{C}^n$ is the usual $(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) * (w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k) = v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k$.

 $\Delta v = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ induces the standard shuffle product on \mathcal{TC}^n :

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{Sh_{p,n-p}} (v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (v_{\sigma(p+1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)})$$

The product/coproduct form a Hopf algebra: there is a compatibility condition between the associative product and coassociative coproduct, there are units and counts, there is an antipode $v_1 \otimes \ldots v_k \mapsto (-1)^k v_1 \otimes \ldots v_k$.

Time for examples

Example:
$$G = \mathbb{C}^n, (E, \nabla) = (T\mathbb{C}^n, d).$$

 $\|^{\gamma}v = v$ for all γ . Thus $L_1 = L_2 = \text{Id}$, and the product on $\mathcal{T}\mathbb{C}^n$ is the usual
 $(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_k) * (w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_\ell) = v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_k \otimes w_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w_\ell.$

 $\Delta v = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ induces the standard shuffle product on \mathcal{TC}^n :

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{p=0}^n \sum_{Sh_{p,n-p}} (v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(p)}) \otimes (v_{\sigma(p+1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)})$$

The product/coproduct form a Hopf algebra: there is a compatibility condition between the associative product and coassociative coproduct, there are units and counts, there is an antipode $v_1 \otimes \ldots v_k \mapsto (-1)^k v_1 \otimes \ldots v_k$.

A classic example of a Hopf algebra: $H^*(G)$ with product given by \cup , and the multiplication $m: G \times G \to G$ induces the coproduct

$$m^*: H^*(G) \to H^*(G \times G) \simeq H^*(G) \otimes H^*(G).$$

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d)$. Again, we get the tensor Hopf algebra. $v_1 * v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d)$. Again, we get the tensor Hopf algebra. $v_1 * v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2$.

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d_{\psi})$, where d_{ψ} is the flat U(1)-connection with holonomy $e^{i\psi}$.

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d)$. Again, we get the tensor Hopf algebra. $v_1 * v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2$.

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d_{\psi})$, where d_{ψ} is the flat U(1)-connection with holonomy $e^{i\psi}$.

Set $x_1 = x_2 = y = 1 \in S^1$. Let

 $\mu_{s,e^{i\theta_1}}^k = W_{s,1,e^{i\theta_1}}(\{\text{paths that wrap } k \text{ times around } S^1\}).$

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d)$. Again, we get the tensor Hopf algebra. $v_1 * v_2 = v_1 \otimes v_2$.

Example: $G = S^1$, $(E, \nabla) = (S^1 \times \mathbb{C}, d_{\psi})$, where d_{ψ} is the flat U(1)-connection with holonomy $e^{i\psi}$.

Set $x_1 = x_2 = y = 1 \in S^1$. Let $\mu_{s,e^{i\theta_1}}^k = W_{s,1,e^{i\theta_1}}(\{\text{paths that wrap } k \text{ times around } S^1\}).$ Then

$$v_{1} * v_{2} = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \in T^{2}} d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} \ K_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) K_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{2}}, e^{i\theta_{1}}) K_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}})$$

$$K_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) K_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) K_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}})$$

$$\sum_{\ell} e^{i\psi(\ell + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})/2\pi)} \mu_{t-s, e^{i\theta_{1}}e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{1}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{1}}}^{k} v_{1} \right)$$

$$\otimes \sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{2}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{k} v_{2} \right).$$

Deformations of the Hopf algebra

The product

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{v}_{1} * \mathsf{v}_{2} &= \frac{1}{Z} \int_{(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \in \mathcal{T}^{2}} d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} \ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{2}}, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \\ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \\ &\sum_{\ell} e^{i\psi(\ell + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})/2\pi)} \mu_{t-s, e^{i\theta_{1}}e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{1}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{1}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{1} \\ &\otimes \sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{2}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{2} \right), \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

with

Z =the red stuff,

Deformations of the Hopf algebra

The product

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{v}_{1} * \mathsf{v}_{2} &= \frac{1}{Z} \int_{(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \in \mathcal{T}^{2}} d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} \ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{2}}, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \\ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \\ &\sum_{\ell} e^{i\psi(\ell + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})/2\pi)} \mu_{t-s, e^{i\theta_{1}}e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{1}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{1}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{1} \\ &\otimes \sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{2}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{2} \right), \end{split}$$

with

Z =the red stuff,

is determined by the deformation of the flat connection on $E = S^1 \times \mathbb{C} \to S^1$ to the flat connection with holonomy $e^{i\psi}$. So we've deformed the Hopf tensor algebra to some product/coproduct structures, which are parametrized by the space of S^1 -connections, i.e. in general by $\mathfrak{g} \times GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Deformations of the Hopf algebra

The product

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{v}_{1} * \mathsf{v}_{2} &= \frac{1}{Z} \int_{(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \in \mathcal{T}^{2}} d\theta_{1} d\theta_{2} \ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{2}}, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{1}}) \\ \mathsf{K}_{s}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t-s}(e^{i\theta_{1}}, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \mathsf{K}_{t}^{-1}(1, e^{i\theta_{2}}) \\ &\sum_{\ell} e^{i\psi(\ell + (\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})/2\pi)} \mu_{t-s, e^{i\theta_{1}}e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{1}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{1}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{1} \\ &\otimes \sum_{k} e^{i\psi(k + \theta_{2}/2\pi)} \mu_{s, e^{i\theta_{2}}}^{k} \mathsf{v}_{2} \right), \end{split}$$

with

Z =the red stuff,

is determined by the deformation of the flat connection on $E = S^1 \times \mathbb{C} \to S^1$ to the flat connection with holonomy $e^{i\psi}$. So we've deformed the Hopf tensor algebra to some product/coproduct structures, which are parametrized by the space of S^1 -connections, i.e. in general by $\mathfrak{g} \times GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

Question: What is the algebraic structure on the deformed product/coproduct?

From now on, set $x = y_1 = y_2$, so the product/coproduct takes $\mathcal{T}E_x$ to $\mathcal{T}E_x$.



From now on, set $x = y_1 = y_2$, so the product/coproduct takes $\mathcal{T}E_x$ to $\mathcal{T}E_x$. Is the deformed product associative? No.

$$(a * b) * c = L_1^2 a \otimes L_1 L_2 b \otimes L_2 c$$
, $a * (b * c) = L_1 a \otimes L_2 L_1 b \otimes L_2^2 c$.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

From now on, set $x = y_1 = y_2$, so the product/coproduct takes $\mathcal{T}E_x$ to $\mathcal{T}E_x$. Is the deformed product associative? No.

$$(a * b) * c = L_1^2 a \otimes L_1 L_2 b \otimes L_2 c$$
, $a * (b * c) = L_1 a \otimes L_2 L_1 b \otimes L_2^2 c$.

Is the deformed coproduct coassociative? No.

From now on, set $x = y_1 = y_2$, so the product/coproduct takes $\mathcal{T}E_x$ to $\mathcal{T}E_x$. Is the deformed product associative? No.

$$(a * b) * c = L_1^2 a \otimes L_1 L_2 b \otimes L_2 c$$
, $a * (b * c) = L_1 a \otimes L_2 L_1 b \otimes L_2^2 c$.

Is the deformed coproduct coassociative? No.

Are the product and coproduct compatible? No.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Example: Take a fiber E_x of E with the *-product on $\mathcal{T}E_x$ as the algebra. Then

$$g_3(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$$

measures nonassociativity.

 $g_3 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E).$

Example: Take a fiber E_x of E with the *-product on $\mathcal{T}E_x$ as the algebra. Then

$$g_3(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$$

measures nonassociativity.

$$g_3 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E).$$

If $\mathcal{T}E$ is graded and has a differential d (?!), then d induces a differential ∂ on $\operatorname{Hom}^*(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E)$. If $g_3 = \partial m_3$ for some $m_3 \in \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E)$, then the *-product induces an associative product on $(H^*(\operatorname{Hom}(\ldots), \partial))$.

Example: Take a fiber E_x of E with the *-product on $\mathcal{T}E_x$ as the algebra. Then

$$g_3(a \otimes b \otimes c) = (a * b) * c - a * (b * c)$$

measures nonassociativity.

$$g_3 \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E).$$

If $\mathcal{T}E$ is graded and has a differential d (?!), then d induces a differential ∂ on $\operatorname{Hom}^*(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E)$. If $g_3 = \partial m_3$ for some $m_3 \in \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}E^{\otimes 3}, \mathcal{T}E)$, then the *-product induces an associative product on $(H^*(\operatorname{Hom}(\ldots), \partial))$.

Similarly, we want to keep track of all products a * (b * (c * r)), (a * b) * (c * r), ...in an explicit expression $g_4(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes r)$ and solve $\partial m_4 = g_4$. etc.

An A_{∞} -algebra is a differential graded algebra with $g_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, measuring failure of associativity of k-fold products, and operations $m_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{2-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

An A_{∞} -algebra is a differential graded algebra with $g_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, measuring failure of associativity of k-fold products, and operations $m_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{2-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$.

Examples: The singular chain complex for the based loop space ΩM . The Fukaya A_{∞} -category on the symplectic side of mirror symmetry.

An A_{∞} -algebra is a differential graded algebra with $g_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, measuring failure of associativity of k-fold products, and operations $m_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{2-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$.

Examples: The singular chain complex for the based loop space ΩM . The Fukaya A_{∞} -category on the symplectic side of mirror symmetry.

We need a differential on $\mathcal{T}E$. As a trick, we replace $\mathcal{T}E$ with

$$A = \Lambda^* E^* \otimes \mathcal{T} E,$$

the Fock space of E.

$$(\alpha \otimes \mathbf{v}) * (\beta \otimes \mathbf{w}) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes (\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{w})$$

An A_{∞} -algebra is a differential graded algebra with $g_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{1-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, measuring failure of associativity of k-fold products, and operations $m_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{2-k}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$.

Examples: The singular chain complex for the based loop space ΩM . The Fukaya A_{∞} -category on the symplectic side of mirror symmetry.

We need a differential on $\mathcal{T}E$. As a trick, we replace $\mathcal{T}E$ with

$$A = \Lambda^* E^* \otimes \mathcal{T} E,$$

the Fock space of E.

$$(\alpha \otimes \mathbf{v}) * (\beta \otimes \mathbf{w}) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes (\mathbf{v} * \mathbf{w})$$

This has a differential on the exterior algebra part: take a nonzero vector $v \in E^*$ and set

$$d_v(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k \otimes (a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_r)) = v \wedge v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_k \otimes (a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_r)).$$

We want to find $m_k \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$. We certainly need $\partial g_k = 0$.

・ロト・4回ト・モニト・モー・シュル

We want to find $m_k \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$. We certainly need $\partial g_k = 0$. Examples: (1) $m_3(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \imath_{v^{\sharp}} La \otimes L^2 b \otimes L^2 c - (-1)^{|a|+|b|} L^2 a \otimes L^2 b \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} Lc$. (Here $L = L_1$ or L_2 .)

We want to find $m_k \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with $\partial m_k = g_k$. We certainly need $\partial g_k = 0$. Examples: (1) $m_3(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \imath_{v^{\sharp}} La \otimes L^2 b \otimes L^2 c - (-1)^{|a|+|b|} L^2 a \otimes L^2 b \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} Lc$. (Here $L = L_1$ or L_2 .) (2)

$$\begin{split} m_{4}(a \otimes b \otimes c \otimes d) \\ &= -(-1)^{|c|} L^{3} a \otimes L^{3} b \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} c \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L d + (-1)^{|a|+|b|+|c|} \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L a \otimes L^{3} b \otimes L^{3} c \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} d \\ &+ (-1)^{|a|+|b|} \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} a \otimes L^{3} b \otimes L^{3} c \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L d - (-1)^{|a|} \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L a \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} b \otimes L^{3} c \otimes L^{3} d \\ &- (-1)^{|a|+|b|} \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} a \otimes L^{2} b \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} c \otimes L^{2} d - (-1)^{|b|+|c|} L^{2} a \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} b \otimes L^{2} c \otimes \imath_{v^{\sharp}} L^{2} d \end{split}$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Main algebraic result

Theorem

If $\partial g_k = 0$, then there exists an explicit solution to the equation $\partial m_k = g_k$. Thus if $\partial g_k = 0$ for all k,

 $A = \Lambda^* E \otimes \mathcal{T} E$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

is an A_{∞} -algebra.

Main algebraic result

Theorem

If $\partial g_k = 0$, then there exists an explicit solution to the equation $\partial m_k = g_k$. Thus if $\partial g_k = 0$ for all k,

$$A = \Lambda^* E \otimes \mathcal{T} E$$

is an A_{∞} -algebra.

Proof: There is a homotopy operator $H = H_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with

$$\partial H + H\partial = k \cdot \mathrm{Id}.$$

For $\partial = v \wedge$, *H* is essentially the interior product with v^{\sharp} . Thus

$$g_k = \frac{1}{k} (\partial H + H \partial) g_k = \partial \left(\frac{1}{k} H g_k \right).$$

Main algebraic result

Theorem

If $\partial g_k = 0$, then there exists an explicit solution to the equation $\partial m_k = g_k$. Thus if $\partial g_k = 0$ for all k,

$$A = \Lambda^* E \otimes \mathcal{T} E$$

is an A_{∞} -algebra.

Proof: There is a homotopy operator $H = H_k \in \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}(A^{\otimes k}, A)$ with

$$\partial H + H\partial = k \cdot \mathrm{Id}.$$

For $\partial = v \wedge$, *H* is essentially the interior product with v^{\sharp} . Thus

$$g_k = \frac{1}{k} (\partial H + H \partial) g_k = \partial \left(\frac{1}{k} H g_k \right).$$

Moral: An endomorphism of an inner product space and a choice of a nonzero vector should give rise to an A_{∞} -algebra on the Fock space. These algebras are isomorphic for different vectors, so we should have an A_{∞} -algebra associated to an endomorphism.

First order deformations of A_{∞} -algebras are characterized by elements of the Hochschild cohomology $HH^*(A, A)$. First order deformations of Hopf algebras are characterized by elements in H^2 of a triple complex.

First order deformations of A_{∞} -algebras are characterized by elements of the Hochschild cohomology $HH^*(A, A)$. First order deformations of Hopf algebras are characterized by elements in H^2 of a triple complex.

Questions: (1) What cohomology theory do our deformations lie in?

(2) Which path integrals can be treated by this method? Maybe QM?

First order deformations of A_{∞} -algebras are characterized by elements of the Hochschild cohomology $HH^*(A, A)$. First order deformations of Hopf algebras are characterized by elements in H^2 of a triple complex.

Questions: (1) What cohomology theory do our deformations lie in?

(2) Which path integrals can be treated by this method? Maybe QM? Maybe not
From Brownian motion and a *G*-bundle *E* over a Lie group, we produce a product on a fiber E_x which conjecturally has an A_∞ -structure. These give deformations of the standard Hopf tensor algebra parametrized by *G*-connections on *E*. We should also have A_∞ -coproduct structures with compatibility. So we should have an " A_∞ -Hopf algebra."

First order deformations of A_{∞} -algebras are characterized by elements of the Hochschild cohomology $HH^*(A, A)$. First order deformations of Hopf algebras are characterized by elements in H^2 of a triple complex.

Questions: (1) What cohomology theory do our deformations lie in?

(2) Which path integrals can be treated by this method? Maybe QM? Maybe not

Thank you!