Distribution of zeros of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function and its relations to zeros of the zeta function itself

> Ade Irma Suriajaya (Chacha) - a joint work with Fan Ge -

> > Kyushu University

- Faculty of Mathematics -

BU-Keio Workshop 2019

Boston University, June 26, 2019

This work was partly supported by RIKEN iTHEMS and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K13400. The older parts were mainly supported by Nitori International Scholarship Foundation. Further, a part of this work was conducted under RIKEN SPDR program.

Riemann zeta function

The *Riemann zeta function* $\zeta(s)$ is the analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad \text{when } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \tag{1}$$

Remarks

• $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at s = 1 as its only singularity.

Riemann zeta function

The *Riemann zeta function* $\zeta(s)$ is the analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad \text{when } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \tag{1}$$

Remarks

- $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at s = 1 as its only singularity.
- ► The equality $\sum_{n} n^{-s} = \prod_{p} (1 p^{-s})^{-1}$ tells us that $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Riemann zeta function

The *Riemann zeta function* $\zeta(s)$ is the analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ satisfying

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \qquad \text{when } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1. \tag{1}$$

Remarks

• $\zeta(s)$ has a simple pole at s = 1 as its only singularity.

► The equality $\sum_{n} n^{-s} = \prod_{p} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ tells us that $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros in $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

▶ Subtracting the term $(s-1)^{-1}$ from the Dirichlet series (1) and using its integral representation, we find that $\zeta(s)$ can be analytically continued to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ $(s \neq 1)$.

Functional equation and trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$

 $\zeta(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s).$$
(2)

From this we can deduce:

Since $\zeta(s)$ is analytic on Re(s) > 0 (s \neq 1), sin $(\pi s/2)\Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$ is too.

Functional equation and trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$

 $\zeta(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s).$$
(2)

From this we can deduce:

Since $\zeta(s)$ is analytic on $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ $(s \neq 1)$, $\sin(\pi s/2)\Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$ is too.

• At
$$s = 2, 4, 6, \ldots$$
, $sin(\pi s/2) = 0$ cancels out poles of $\Gamma(1-s)$.

Functional equation and trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$

 $\zeta(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s).$$
(2)

From this we can deduce:

Since $\zeta(s)$ is analytic on Re(s) > 0 $(s \neq 1)$, sin $(\pi s/2)\Gamma(1-s)\zeta(1-s)$ is too.

• At
$$s = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$
, $sin(\pi s/2) = 0$ cancels out poles of $\Gamma(1-s)$.

• $\zeta(1-s)$ has simple zeros at s = 3, 5, 7, ... due to poles of $\Gamma(1-s)$.

Hence $\zeta(s)$ has trivial zeros at $s = -2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \dots$

From

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p: \text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \qquad (\text{Re}(s) > 1),$$

we immediately find that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

From

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p: \text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \qquad (\text{Re}(s) > 1),$$

we immediately find that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

From the functional equation (2), $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$, except when $s = -2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \ldots$

From

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p: \text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \qquad (\text{Re}(s) > 1),$$

we immediately find that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

From the functional equation (2), $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ when Re(s) < 0, except when $s = -2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \ldots$

Hence, zeros of $\zeta(s)$ other than $s = -2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \ldots$, if exist, should lie within $0 \leq \text{Re}(s) \leq 1$.



Counting prime numbers (I)

$$\begin{split} \zeta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}, & \text{Re}(s) > 1, \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \cdots, & \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{5^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{7^s}} \cdots, & \text{Re}(s) > 1. \end{split}$$

Counting prime numbers (I)

$$\begin{split} \zeta(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p:\text{prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}, & \text{Re}(s) > 1, \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \cdots, & \text{Re}(s) > 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{5^s}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{7^s}} \cdots, & \text{Re}(s) > 1. \end{split}$$

 \rightsquigarrow There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Counting prime numbers (II)

$2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, \ldots$

Let $\pi(x)$ count the number of prime numbers up to x and

$$\operatorname{Li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

Counting prime numbers (II)

$2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, \ldots$

Let $\pi(x)$ count the number of prime numbers up to x and

$$\mathsf{Li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

 $\zeta(1+it) \neq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \pi(x) \sim \operatorname{Li}(x).$

 $\{-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \cdots\}$ = the set of all *trivial* zeros of $\zeta(s)$

 $\{-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \cdots\}$ = the set of all *trivial* zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \rho \notin -2\mathbb{N} \}$$

= the set of all *nontrivial* zeros of $\zeta(s)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \{-2,-4,-6,-8,-10,\cdots\} = \text{the set of all } trivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s) \\ \mathcal{Z} := \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \rho \notin -2\mathbb{N}\} \\ = \text{the set of all } nontrivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s) \\ \rho \in \mathcal{Z} : \\ 1. \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0, \qquad 2. \ 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\rho) < 1, \\ 3. \ \zeta(\overline{\rho}) = 0, \qquad 4. \ \zeta(1-\overline{\rho}) = 0. \end{array}$$

$$\{-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \cdots\} = \text{the set of all } trivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\mathcal{Z} := \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \rho \notin -2\mathbb{N}\}$$
$$= \text{the set of all } nontrivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\rho \in \mathcal{Z}:$$
$$1. \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0, \qquad 2. \ 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\rho) < 1,$$
$$3. \ \zeta(\overline{\rho}) = 0, \qquad 4. \ \zeta(1 - \overline{\rho}) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0 \} \\ &= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\{-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \cdots\} = \text{the set of all trivial zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\mathcal{Z} := \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \rho \notin -2\mathbb{N}\} = \text{the set of all nontrivial zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\rho \in \mathcal{Z}:$$
$$1. \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0, \qquad 2. \ 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\rho) < 1, \\ 3. \ \zeta(\overline{\rho}) = 0, \qquad 4. \ \zeta(1 - \overline{\rho}) = 0.$$
$$\mathcal{Z} = \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0\} = \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0\}$$

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\text{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$.

$$\{-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, \cdots\} = \text{the set of all } trivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\mathcal{Z} := \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \rho \notin -2\mathbb{N}\}$$
$$= \text{the set of all } nontrivial \text{ zeros of } \zeta(s)$$
$$\rho \in \mathcal{Z}:$$
$$1. \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0, \qquad 2. \ 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\rho) < 1,$$
$$3. \ \zeta(\overline{\rho}) = 0, \qquad 4. \ \zeta(1 - \overline{\rho}) = 0.$$
$$\mathcal{Z} = \{\rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0\}$$

 $= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0 \}$ $= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0 \}$

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, Re(ρ) = 1/2.

Theorem (H. Koch, 1900)

RH holds $\iff \pi(x) = \operatorname{Li}(x) + O(x^{1/2} \log x)$ is best possible.

Properties of zeros of $\zeta(s)$





(by Matthew R. Watkins)

Equivalence for RH

Theorem (Speiser, 1935) RH

$$\zeta(s)
eq 0$$
 in $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$

is equivalent to

$$\zeta'(s)
eq 0$$
 in $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$.

Equivalence for RH

Theorem (Speiser, 1935)

RH

$$\zeta(s) \neq 0$$
 in $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$

is equivalent to

$$\zeta'(s)
eq 0$$
 in $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$

Theorem (Levinson and Montgomery, 1974)

 $N^{-}(T)$ (resp. $N_{1}^{-}(T)$) := the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ (resp. $\zeta'(s)$) in { $\sigma + it \mid 0 < \sigma < 1/2, 0 < t < T$ }, counted w/ multiplicity. For $T \ge 2$ we have

$$N^{-}(T) = N_{1}^{-}(T) + O(\log T).$$

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\text{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$.

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, Re $(\rho) = 1/2$.

N(T) := the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity $N_0(T) :=$ the number of zeros $\rho_0 = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$, counted with multiplicity

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$.

N(T) := the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity $N_0(T) :=$ the number of zeros $\rho_0 = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$, counted with multiplicity

Riemann hypothesis (RH): $N(T) = N_0(T)$ for all T.

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$.

N(T) := the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity $N_0(T) :=$ the number of zeros $\rho_0 = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$, counted with multiplicity

Riemann hypothesis (RH): $N(T) = N_0(T)$ for all T.

Theorem (Hardy, 1914)

$$N_0(T) o \infty$$
 as $T o \infty$.

Riemann hypothesis (RH): For any $\rho \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) = 1/2$.

N(T) := the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity $N_0(T) :=$ the number of zeros $\rho_0 = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$, counted with multiplicity

Riemann hypothesis (RH): $N(T) = N_0(T)$ for all T.

Theorem (Hardy, 1914)

$$N_0(T) o \infty$$
 as $T o \infty$.

Theorem (Hardy and Littlewood, 1921)

 $N_0(T) \gg T$

Theorem (Selberg, 1942)

There exists c > 0 (effective) such that $N_0(T) > c N(T)$.

Theorem (Selberg, 1942)

There exists c > 0 (effective) such that $N_0(T) > c N(T)$.

```
Theorem (Levinson, 1974)
```

 $N_0(T) \ge 0.3474 N(T)$

Theorem (Selberg, 1942)

There exists c > 0 (effective) such that $N_0(T) > c N(T)$.

```
Theorem (Levinson, 1974)
```

 $N_0(T) \ge 0.3474 N(T)$

 $N_0^*(T) :=$ the number of zeros $ho_0 = 1/2 + i\gamma$ of $\zeta(s)$ with $0 < \gamma < T$ where $\zeta'(
ho_0) \neq 0$

Theorem (Levinson, 1974)

 $N_0^*(T) \ge 0.3474 N(T)$

Theorem (Conrey, 1989)

 $N_0(T) \ge 0.4088 N(T)$ $N_0^*(T) \ge 0.4013 N(T)$

Theorem (Bui, Conrey and Young, 2011)

 $N_0(T) \ge 0.4105 N(T)$

 $N_0^*(T) \ge 0.4058 N(T)$

Theorem (Feng, 2014(?))

 $N_0(T) \ge 0.4109 N(T)$

Shifting zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$ by $\zeta'(s)$

1. Study the change of argument of

$$f(s) = \zeta(s) + \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\log t}.$$

2. The number of zeros of f(s) is essentially that of $\zeta(s)$ in $\{\sigma + it \mid 1/2 < \sigma < 1, \ 0 < t < T\}.$

Nontrivial (= non-real) zeros of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$

A zero-free region of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$:



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0 \} \\ \mathcal{Z}^{(k)} &:= \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta^{(k)}(\rho) = 0, \ \operatorname{Im}(\rho) \neq 0 \} \\ &= \text{the set of all nontrivial zeros of } \zeta^{(k)}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, $\zeta'(s)$, $\zeta''(s)$



R. Spira, Zero-free regions of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (1965), p. 681

```
RH and zeros of \zeta''(s) \& \zeta'''(s)
```

Theorem (Yıldırım, 1996)

RH implies

$$\zeta''(s)
eq 0$$
 and $\zeta'''(s)
eq 0$ in $0 \le \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$.

Theorem (Yıldırım, 1996)

 $\zeta''(s)$ and $\zeta'''(s)$ have only one pair of non-real zeros in $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$.

RH and zeros of $\zeta''(s) \& \zeta'''(s)$

Theorem (Yıldırım, 1996)

RH implies

$$\zeta''(s)
eq 0$$
 and $\zeta'''(s)
eq 0$ in $0 \le \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$.

Theorem (Yıldırım, 1996)

 $\zeta''(s)$ and $\zeta'''(s)$ have only one pair of non-real zeros in ${
m Re}(s) < 0.$

Corollary (Yıldırım, 1996)

RH implies

 $\zeta''(s)$ and $\zeta'''(s)$ have only one pair of non-real zeros in ${
m Re}(s) < 1/2.$

RH and non-real zeros of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$

Theorem (Levinson and Montgomery, 1974) Let $m \ge 0$.

 $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ has only finitely many non-real zeros in $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$ \Rightarrow $\zeta^{(m+j)}(s)$ $(j \ge 1)$ also has only finitely many non-real zeros in

Re(s) < 1/2.

RH and non-real zeros of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$

Theorem (Levinson and Montgomery, 1974) Let $m \ge 0$.

 $\zeta^{(m)}(s)$ has only finitely many non-real zeros in $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1/2$

 $\zeta^{(m+j)}(s)$ $(j \ge 1)$ also has only finitely many non-real zeros in ${
m Re}(s) < 1/2.$

Corollary (Levinson and Montgomery, 1974)

 $\mathsf{RH} \Rightarrow$

 $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ has at most finitely many non-real zeros in ${\sf Re}(s) < 1/2.$

Number of nontrivial zeros of $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$ (under RH)

N(T) (resp. $N_k(T)$) := the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ (resp. $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$) with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity

$$g(T) := rac{T}{2\pi} \log rac{T}{2\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi}, \quad h(T) := rac{T}{2\pi} \log rac{T}{4\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi}$$

	N(T)	$N_k(T)$
unconditional	$g(T) + O(\log T)$	$h(T) + O_k(\log T)$
	[von Mangoldt, 1905]	[Berndt, 1970]
under RH	$g(T) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)$	$h(T) + O_k\left(\frac{\log T}{(\log\log T)^{1/2}}\right)$
	[Littlewood, 1924]	k=1 : [Akatsuka, 2012]
		$k \ge 2$: [A.I.S., 2015]

An improvement by Fan Ge (under RH)

N(T) (*resp.* $N_k(T)$) = the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ (*resp.* $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$) with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity

$$g(T) = rac{T}{2\pi} \log rac{T}{2\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi}, \quad h(T) = rac{T}{2\pi} \log rac{T}{4\pi} - rac{T}{2\pi}$$

	N(T)	$N_k(T)$
unconditional	$g(T) + O(\log T)$	$h(T) + O_k(\log T)$
	[von Mangoldt, 1905]	[Berndt, 1970]
under RH	$g(T) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)$	$h(T) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)$
	[Littlewood, 1924]	k = 1 : [Ge, 2017]
		<i>k</i> ≥ 2 : XoX

Theorem 1 (under RH)

N(T) (*resp.* $N_k(T)$) = the number of nontrivial zeros ρ of $\zeta(s)$ (*resp.* $\zeta^{(k)}(s)$) with $0 < \text{Im}(\rho) < T$, counted with multiplicity

$$g(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi}, \quad h(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi}$$

	N(T)	$N_k(T)$
uncon-	$g(T) + O(\log T)$	$h(T) + O_k(\log T)$
ditional	[von Mangoldt, 1905]	[Berndt, 1970]
under	$g(T) + O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)$	$h(T) + O_k\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right)$
RH	[Littlewood, 1924]	<i>k</i> = 1 : [Ge, 2017]
		$k \ge 2$: [Ge and A.I.S., 2019+]

A more general statement (under RH)

Suppose that the error term bound in N(T)

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + E_0(T)$$

is $E_0(T) = O(\Phi(T))$ for some increasing function log log $T \ll \Phi(T) \ll \log T$.

Theorem 2 (Ge and A.I.S., 2019+)

Assume RH. Then

$$N_k(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O_k \left(\max \left\{ \Phi(2T), \sqrt{\log T} \log \log T \right\} \right).$$

A preliminary lemma

Assume RH. Let

$$G_k(s) := (-1)^k \frac{2^s}{(\log 2)^k} \zeta^{(k)}(s)$$

Let $T \ge 2$ satisfy $\zeta(\sigma + iT) \ne 0$, $\zeta^{(k)}(\sigma + iT) \ne 0$ ($\forall \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$). Then

$$N_k(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arg G_k\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arg \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) + O_k(1).$$

The arguments are taken such that $\log \zeta(s)$ and $\log G_k(s)$ tend to 0 as $\sigma \to \infty$, and are holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\rho + \lambda \mid \zeta(\rho) = 0 \text{ or } \infty, \ \lambda \leq 0\}$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\rho + \lambda \mid \zeta^{(k)}(\rho) = 0 \text{ or } \infty, \ \lambda \leq 0\}$, respectively.

Sketch of proof

Assume RH. Recall the estimate

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arg \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) + O(1).$$

To simplify we only consider the case when

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\arg\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+iT\right)=O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right).$$

Hence taking into acccount

$$N_k(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arg G_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \arg \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) + O_k(1),$$

it suffices to show that

$$\arg G_k\left(\frac{1}{2}+iT\right) = O_k\left(\frac{\log T}{\log\log T}\right).$$

Akatsuka's method

$$rg G_1(\sigma+iT)=O\left(rac{(\log T)^{2(1-\sigma)}}{(\log\log T)^{1/2}}
ight), \qquad rac{1}{2}\leq\sigma\leqrac{3}{4},$$

which gives us

$$N_1(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O\left(\frac{\log T}{(\log \log T)^{1/2}}\right).$$

Remark

$$\arg G_1(\sigma + iT) = O\left(\frac{(\log T)^{2(1-\sigma)}}{\log \log T}\right), \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(\log \log T)^2}{\log T} \le \sigma \le \frac{3}{4}$$

Fan Ge's method

Write

$$Y := \frac{(\log \log T)^3}{\log T}, \quad U := \frac{Y}{\log \log T} = \frac{(\log \log T)^2}{\log T}$$

and set

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1 &:= \mathop{\Delta}_{\infty+iT \to 1/2+U+iT} \arg G_1(\sigma+iT), \\ \Delta_2 &:= \mathop{\Delta}_{1/2+U+iT \to 1/2+iT} \arg G_1(\sigma+iT). \end{split}$$

Fan Ge's method

Write

$$Y := \frac{(\log \log T)^3}{\log T}, \quad U := \frac{Y}{\log \log T} = \frac{(\log \log T)^2}{\log T}$$

and set

$$\begin{split} \Delta_1 &:= \mathop{\Delta}_{\infty+iT \to 1/2+U+iT} \arg G_1(\sigma+iT), \\ \Delta_2 &:= \mathop{\Delta}_{1/2+U+iT \to 1/2+iT} \arg G_1(\sigma+iT). \end{split}$$

Then from

$$\arg G_1(\sigma + iT) = O\left(rac{(\log T)^{2(1-\sigma)}}{\log\log T}
ight), \quad rac{1}{2} + U \leq \sigma \leq rac{3}{4},$$

we easily deduce

$$\Delta_1 \ll rac{\log T}{\log\log T}.$$

$$T_{+Y} = \frac{Z}{l_{e3} T} R \left(\frac{(l_{e3}T)^{24L-\sigma}}{l_{e3} l_{e3}T} + \frac{(l_{e3}l_{e3}T)^{3}}{l_{e3} l_{e3}T} + \frac{(l_{e3}l_{e3}T)^{3}}{l_{e3}} + \frac{(l_{e3}l_{e3}T$$

$$= \frac{G'_1}{G_1}(s) = \sum_{\substack{|\ln(\rho_1) - t| < 1, \\ \zeta'(\rho_1) = 0}} \frac{1}{s - \rho_1}, \frac{1}{s_{\text{solution}}}, \frac{1}{s_{\text{solu$$

$$\longrightarrow \Delta_2 = O\left(\frac{\log T}{\log \sqrt{T}}\right)$$

Extending to higher derivatives - Akatsuka's method

$$rg G_k(\sigma+iT)=O_k\left(rac{(\log T)^{2(1-\sigma)}}{(\log\log T)^{1/2}}
ight), \qquad rac{1}{2}\leq\sigma\leqrac{3}{4}.$$

which again gives us

$$N_k(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{4\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi} + O_k\left(\frac{\log T}{(\log \log T)^{1/2}}\right).$$

Remark

$$rg rac{G_k}{\zeta}(\sigma+iT) = O_k\left(rac{\log\log T}{\sigma-rac{1}{2}}
ight), \quad rac{1}{2} + rac{(\log\log T)^2}{\log T} < \sigma < 1$$

Extending to higher derivatives - Ge's method

We again need:

► For sufficiently large *t*,

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}} rac{\zeta^{(k)}}{\zeta^{(k-1)}}(\sigma+it) < 0, \qquad 0 < \sigma \leq 1/2, \ \zeta^{(k-1)}(\sigma+it)
eq 0.$$

For
$$s = \sigma + it$$
, $1/2 \le \sigma \le 1$,

$$\frac{G'_k}{G_k}(s) = \sum_{\substack{|\, \mathrm{Im}(\rho_k) - t| < 1, \\ \zeta^{(k)}(\rho_k) = 0}} \frac{1}{s - \rho_k} + O_k(\log t).$$

$$\begin{array}{c} T_{k1} \\ T_{k1$$

Tak 感謝 Merci Баярлалаа 감사합니다 Tack Ευχαριστώ cảm ơn ban Vielen Dank Labai ačiū Obrigado **Kiitos** நன்றி ขอบคุณค่ะ Спасибо धन्यवाद् Terima kasih ধন্যবাদ Thank you 谢谢 Matur nuwun Gracias tesekkür ederim شكرا Köszönöm Хвала вам بہت شکریہ Grazie ありがとうございます תודה Takk 多謝 Salamat po Dziękuję

keep updating ...