

MA 575 Linear Models:

Cedric E. Ginestet, Boston University

Individual Tests for Estimators

Week 4, Lecture 1



1 Testing for Specific Estimators

1.1 Distribution of the Estimators

Recall that

$$\widehat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \widehat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}, \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\beta}_1 = \frac{SXY}{SXX} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i,$$

where $c_i := (x_i - \bar{x})/SXX$. We have shown that these estimators are *unbiased*, in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\beta}_0|X] = \beta_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\widehat{\beta}_1|X] = \beta_1,$$

for every β_0 and β_1 . Moreover, we have also computed the variances of these estimators, which are

$$\text{Var}[\widehat{\beta}_0|X] = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{SXX} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}[\widehat{\beta}_1|X] = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{SXX} \right).$$

If in addition, we now assume that the errors are iid draws from a normal distribution, $N(0, \sigma^2)$, we obtain the following distribution for our estimators,

$$\widehat{\beta}_0|X \sim N \left(\beta_0, \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{SXX} \right) \right), \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\beta}_1|X \sim N \left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma^2}{SXX} \right). \quad (1)$$

Finally, we have also considered the following sample estimator of the error variance for simple regression,

$$\widehat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \widehat{y}_i)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\widehat{y}_i := \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 x_i$ are the fitted values. Moreover, this estimator is also unbiased, $\mathbb{E}[\widehat{\sigma}^2|X] = \sigma^2$. When we assume that $E_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$, it can be shown that the estimator, $\widehat{\sigma}^2$ has a χ^2 -square distribution.

1.2 CIs and *t*-tests for Regression Coefficients

If we were to know the variance of the error terms, σ^2 , it would follow from equation (1) that the **standardized scores** or ***z*-scores** of the estimator of the *y*-intercept would have the following confidence interval,

$$-z(\alpha/2) \leq \frac{\widehat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{sd}(\widehat{\beta}_0)} \leq z(\alpha/2),$$

where for $\alpha = 0.05$, we define $z(\alpha/2)$ as the 97.5th-percentile of a standard normal distribution, $N(0, 1)$, which is formally defined as

$$z(\alpha/2) := \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2),$$

where Φ and Φ^{-1} are the CDF and QDF of a standard Normal variate, respectively. Thus, 95% of all such intervals will contain the true value, β_0 . In other words, 95% of all samples drawn from this population will produce a CI, which contains the true value. That is, such a CI is *random*.

However, the standard deviation of $\hat{\beta}_0$, denoted $\text{sd}(\hat{\beta}_0)$, is unknown, since it depends on σ^2 . That is,

$$\text{sd}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \left(\text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_0|X] \right)^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

Thus, we need to use a sample estimator for this quantity, and we select the estimator of the variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$, denoted $\widehat{\text{Var}}[\hat{\beta}_0|X]$, which is based on $\hat{\sigma}^2$. Thus, the **standard error** of $\hat{\beta}_0$ is then given by

$$\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \left(\widehat{\text{Var}}[\hat{\beta}_0|X] \right)^{1/2} = \hat{\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\text{SXX}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, because the two random quantities of interest: the numerator $\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0$ and the denominator $\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)$ are **independent**, it then follows that the ratio of a normal and a ξ^2 distribution produces,

$$-t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2\right) \leq \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \leq t\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-2\right),$$

where again for $\alpha = 0.05$, the notation $t(\alpha/2, n-2)$ refers to the 97.5th-percentile of a t -distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom. Here, the **standard error** is simply the squared root of the estimator of the variance of $\hat{\beta}_0$.

Using these relationships, we can construct a t -test for the null hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H}_0 &: \beta_0 = \beta_0^*, \\ \text{H}_1 &: \beta_0 \neq \beta_0^*; \end{aligned}$$

That is, we wish to test whether this particular regression coefficient is equal to a particular value, β_0^* . Statistical inference can then be conducted by observing that under our distributional assumption on the error terms, we have

$$t_0 := \frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0^*}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_0)} \sim t(n-2).$$

For the y -intercept, the choice of β_0^* may vary depending on the nature of the problem at hand. In the Forbes' data set, for instance, one may choose $\beta_0^* = -35$. In general, this will be informed by previous knowledge about the data at hand.

1.3 Relationship with F -test

For the slope coefficient, it is natural to simply test for the null hypothesis stating that $\beta_1 = 0$, such that we have the following t -statistic,

$$t_1 := \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \sim t(n-2),$$

which tests whether

$$\begin{aligned} \text{H}_0 &: \beta_1 = 0, \\ \text{H}_1 &: \beta_1 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, recall that the formula for the F -statistic evaluating whether a regression model with a slope parameter is better than a model which solely contains a y -intercept, were given by

$$F := \frac{\text{SSreg}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{\text{SXY}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 \text{SXX}},$$

using the fact,

$$\text{SSreg} = \text{RSS}_1(\hat{\beta}_0) - \text{RSS}_2(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\text{SXY}^2}{\text{SXX}}.$$

Then, taking the square of the t -statistic for $\hat{\beta}_1$, we have,

$$t_1^2 = \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\text{se}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \right)^2 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \text{SXX}} = \frac{\text{SXY}^2 / \text{SXX}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \text{SXX}} = \frac{\text{SXY}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 \text{SXX}} = F,$$

using $\hat{\beta}_1 = \text{SXY} / \text{SXX}$. Therefore, for simple regression, the F -statistic is equivalent to the square of the t -statistic for the slope coefficient. In fact, these two tests are exactly equivalent, because for any random variable $X \sim t(\text{df})$, we have $X^2 \sim F(1, \text{df})$.

2 Fitted and Predicted Values

2.1 Fitted Values

In addition, we also can compute the distribution for the fitted values, $\hat{y}_i := \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$, under a normal assumption on the error terms. Firstly, observe that this estimator is unbiased since

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{Y}_i | X] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i | X] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_0 | X] + x_i \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}_1 | X] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i.$$

Moreover, the variance of the fitted values can be obtained from the variance of the two regression estimators, such that

$$\text{Var}[\hat{Y}_i | X] = \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i | X] = \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_0 | X] + x_i^2 \text{Var}[\hat{\beta}_1 | X] + 2x_i \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1 | X], \quad (4)$$

where the cross-product is obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] &= \text{Cov}[\bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] \\ &= \text{Cov}[\bar{Y}, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] - \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] \\ &= \text{Cov} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i Y_i \middle| X \right] - \bar{x} \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i - \bar{x} \text{Cov}[\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_1 | X] \\ &= -\frac{\bar{x} \sigma^2}{\text{SXX}}. \end{aligned}$$

When combining this result with the one in equation (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\hat{Y}_i | X] &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\text{SXX}} \right) + x_i^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{\text{SXX}} - 2x_i \frac{\bar{x} \sigma^2}{\text{SXX}}, \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\text{SXX}} + \frac{x_i^2}{\text{SXX}} - \frac{2x_i \bar{x}}{\text{SXX}} \right), \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\text{SXX}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will now use the moments of the fitted values to compute the CIs of a prediction.

2.2 Variance and CIs of a Prediction

For any new value of X , denoted x_* , we may wish to evaluate the value taken by Y , given x_* .

$$Y_* = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_*.$$

Given the estimates of β_0 and β_1 , based on the observed (random) values Y_1, \dots, Y_n , this prediction can be estimated by

$$\tilde{Y}_* = \hat{\beta}_0(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) + \hat{\beta}_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)x_*,$$

where \tilde{Y}_* predicts the, as yet unobserved, value Y_* . The variance of this prediction is the one of a fitted value at x_* plus a penalty for estimating an unobserved point. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\tilde{Y}_* | X = x_*] &= \text{Var}[\hat{Y} | X = x_*] + \sigma^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\text{SXX}} \right) + \sigma^2, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the standard error for a prediction is

$$\text{se}(\tilde{Y}_*) = \hat{\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\text{SXX}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

One can also obtain a $(1 - \alpha)100\%$, or in general the 95% confidence interval for the fitted values,

$$-t \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 2 \right) \leq \frac{\tilde{Y}_* - Y_*}{\text{se}(\tilde{Y}_*)} \leq t \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, n - 2 \right),$$

where $\tilde{Y}_* = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_*$.