

# Math 876: PDE Seminar 2022

## Week 3: Attractors and $C_0$ -Semigroups

February 8 & 10, 2022

**General:** This week we finish up attractors, looking at conditions that will guarantee the existence of a global attractor. These conditions are still fairly abstract and at this point it is not clear how to verify them for a specific system. For example, how does one go from a PDE to defining a semiflow? How does one show that a semiflow is  $\kappa$ -contracting or point dissipative?

In Chapter 3 we begin to answer these questions, starting with  $C_0$ -semigroups defined by linear evolutionary equations  $\partial_t u = Au$ . The finite dimensional analog of this is the matrix exponential  $e^{At}$ . The main, and quite nontrivial, difference though is that we wish to consider unbounded, densely defined operators  $A$ . In part to address this we introduce different notions of solutions (classical vs mild).

**Required Reading:** Sell & You §2.3.5 - 2.3.7, §3.0 - 3.5

**Supplementary Reading:** Fejér's proof of a continuous function whose Fourier series diverges at a point, in "Trigonometric Series Vol. 1" by Zygmund .

**Important Concepts:** Existence and robustness of attractors;  $C_0$ -semigroup; classical solutions and mild solutions.

**Reading Questions:** Email me at least 3 questions on the reading at least an hour before class on Tuesday.

**Presentations:**

**Existence of Global Attractors (Tu):** Tell us about the Existence Theorem 23.12 and sketch its proof.

**An Illustrative Example (Tu):** Walk us through the illustrative example in §3.2 of constructing the  $C_0$ -semigroup. The assumptions made on the operator  $A$  are somewhat restrictive; highlight where each property gets used. Can some of the hypotheses be relaxed? How would that affect the proof?

**Problems:**

**FitzHugh-Nagumo:** Consider the FitzHugh-Nagumo equation PDE:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u &= \partial_{xx} u + f(u) - v \\ \partial_t v &= \delta \partial_{xx} v + \beta u - \gamma v\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

taking  $x \in [0, 2\pi L]$  with periodic boundary conditions. This PDE is a heuristic model of neurons and other excitable media, cf §5.1.3.

Typically one uses the function  $f(u) = u - u^3/3$ . However in this problem, we will be considering the linearization at 0. Throughout, let us fix  $f(u) = u$ .

(a) Let  $X = L^2([0, 2\pi L], \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $Y = \ell_{sym}^2 \times \ell_{sym}^2$  where

$$\ell_{sym}^2 = \{ \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2 : a_{-k} = \bar{a}_k \}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow Y$  denote the Fourier transform. Define a linear operator  $A : Y \rightarrow Y$  so that

$$\partial_t c = Ac, \quad c \in Y$$

corresponds to the PDE in (1).

(b) For parts (b)-(f), fix the parameters  $\delta = 1/10$ ,  $L = 10$ ,  $\beta = 1/2$  and  $\gamma = 1/4$ .

Compute the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $A$ . (I recommend using *Mathematica*).

(c) Is  $A$  self-adjoint? Does  $A$  have a compact resolvent? What is  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ ? Is  $A$  bounded below? i.e., is there an  $a > 0$  such that  $a < \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}(A); c \neq 0} \|Ac\|/\|c\|$ .

(d) Show that  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T(t)$ , and describe the map  $c \mapsto T(t)c$  for  $c \in Y$ .

(e) Is  $T(t)$  a compact semigroup? Is it a  $\kappa$ -contracting semigroup?

(f) How many unstable eigenvalues does  $A$  have? (Be mindful of our boundary conditions.) What does this tell us about the dimension of the global attractor in the nonlinear FitzHugh-Nagumo PDE (supposing that it does exist)?

(g) Answer (b)-(f) again, but this time take  $\delta = 0$ .

## Numerically computing the spectrum of an operator:

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{2N+1}$  where  $x = (x_{-N}, \dots, x_N)$ , recall the discrete convolution  $x * y \in \mathbb{R}^{2N+1}$  defined component-wise by

$$(x * y)_n := \sum_{\substack{k=-N \\ |n-k| \leq N}}^N x_{n-k} y_k.$$

If we have some symmetries, (such as when working with a real cosine series where  $x_{-k} = \bar{x}_k$  and  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ ) then we may want to work with a lower dimensional space. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , the even discrete convolution  $x *_e y \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  is defined component-wise by

$$(x *_e y)_n := \sum_{\substack{k=-N \\ |n-k| \leq N}}^N x_{|n-k|} y_{|k|}$$

for  $n = 0, \dots, N$ . Note that  $x *_e y = y *_e x$ .

(a) Fix a vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  and define the map  $B : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  by

$$Bx := b *_e x$$

Show that  $B$  is a linear operator and write  $B$  as a finite dimensional matrix.

(b) Define  $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  by

$$b_n := |n|e^{-|n|/2}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N \quad (2)$$

and define a linear operator  $A : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$  by

$$(Ax)_n = -n^2 x_n + (b *_e x)_n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}; \quad n = 0, \dots, N$$

for  $n = 0 \dots N$ .

Using computer software, write a matrix representation of  $A$  for arbitrary  $N$ . For  $N = 10$ , compute the eigenvalues and plot them. What can you say about the  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by  $A$ ? Do the eigenvalues/eigenvectors seem to converge as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ?

(c) Define the function  $w : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$w(x) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \cos(kx)$$

where the  $b_k$  are given by (2). Define the linear operator  $C$  by

$$[Cu](x) = \partial_{xx}u(x) + w(x)u(x),$$

where  $u \in L^2([0, \pi])$  and  $0 = \partial_x u(0) = \partial_x u(\pi)$ . Using your results from (b) and the arguments from §3.2, what can you say about the  $C_0$ -semigroup generated by  $C$ ?

**Book problems:** 21.2; 23.1; 23.3; 23.10; 31.2; 31.3;

## Hints:

- 23.1: A hint for this is given in the commentary of Chapter 2.
- 23.3: I think this one is hard, but maybe you can find a more elegant proof. I'd suggest thinking about something like the semiflow generated by  $u_t = u_{xx}$  defined on  $L^\infty([0, 2\pi])$ , and also recall that there are continuous functions whose Fourier series diverge at a point (cf Fejér's proof in the supplemental reading).
- 31.3 (2). Show that for  $\rho > 0$  small enough, then the infinitesimal generator is given by  $(T(\rho) - I) \left( \int_0^\rho T(s) ds \right)^{-1}$ . The proof for this is in Pazy (1983)