## LECTURE MARCH 25: SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

1. The system Ax = b

You already learned how to solve systems of linear equations. The purpose of this section is to connect what we have learned so far about vector spaces and ranks of matrices, to solutions of systems of linear equations.

The most general system of linear equations takes this form:

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$
  

$$a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

This is a system of m equations in n unknowns. We would like to know if it has solutions, and if so, how many, and how to describe them.

**Definition 1.1.** The solution set of the above system is the set of vectors  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  which satisfy the equation. It is a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Two systems are equivalent if they have the same solution set. If the solution set is empty, we call the system inconsistent. Otherwise, it's consistent.

Examples: 2x = 8 is consistent, with solution set {4}. This system is equivalent to 3x = 12. The system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x+y &=& 2\\ y &=& 4 \end{array}$$

has a unique solution, (-2, 4). The sole equation x + y = 2 has infinitely many solutions,  $\{(x, 2 - x) | x \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . Finally,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2x & = & 8 \\ x & = & 3 \end{array}$$

is inconsistent, as is 0x = 5. Note that all inconsistent systems are equivalent!

The first order of business is to recognize that any system of linear equations can be written using matrices and vectors. More precisely, the system

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$
  

$$a_{21}x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$
  

$$\vdots$$
  

$$a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix},$$

or just

$$Ax = b$$
,

where A is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $(a_{ij})$ , and b is the column vector consisting of the  $b_i$ . The solution set is then a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** Let Ax = b be a system of linear equations, where A is an  $m \times n$  matrix. If E is an invertible  $m \times m$  matrix, then Ax = b is equivalent to EAx = Eb.

*Proof.* We need to show that the solution set of Ax = b is the same as the solution set of EAx = Eb.

First, assume that  $x_0$  is a solution to the first system, so that  $Ax_0 = b$ . Then  $E(Ax_0) = Eb$ , or  $EAx_0 = Eb$ , so that  $x_0$  is also a solution to the second system.

In the other direction, suppose  $x_0$  is a solution to  $EAx_0 = Eb$ . Because E is invertible,  $E^{-1}$  exists, and I can multiply both sides on the left by it:  $E^{-1}EAx_0 = E^{-1}Eb$ , or  $Ax_0 = b$ .

(It's kind of similar to saying that the solution set to x + y = 4 is the same as the solution set to x + y + 3 = 7, because adding 3 to both sides is invertible. However, the solution set to x + y = 4 is not the same as the solution set to  $(x + y)^2 = 16$ , because the latter leaves open the possibility that x + y = -4.

## 2. Homogeneous systems

**Definition 2.1.** A system Ax = b is homogeneous if b = 0. Otherwise it is inhomogeneous.

A homogeneous system Ax = 0 always has at least one solution, namely x = 0. This is the trivial solution. We have already studied how to solve Ax = 0. The solution set has a name, the null space or kernel of A. We write N(A) for this. This is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (The rank-nullity theorem). Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank r. The dimension of N(A) is n-r.

So r is the rank. If k is the dimension of the null space (the nullity), then r + k = n, where n is the dimension of x.

As a corollary: if m < n, then we must have  $r \leq m < n$ . Thus n - r is positive, and so Ax = 0 has nontrivial solutions.

Let's review the process for describing N(A) using row-reduction. The idea is that for an elementary matrix E, the systems Ax = 0 and EAx = 0 are equivalent. So we can just keep applying row operations to A to bring it into echelon form; the solution set will remain the same.

**Example 2.3.** Describe the solution set of Ax = 0, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 7 \\ 4 & 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution: row reducing gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & -2 & -6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This last matrix is is in *reduced* row echelon form. (What is the rank of this matrix? Answer: 2. Therefore the nullity is 3-2=1, and the solution set should be one-dimensional.) So  $x_3$  is the independent variable, let's rename it  $x_3 = t$ . We have  $x_1 + 2t = 0$ , and  $x_2 + 3t = 0$ . Thus  $x_1 = -2t$ ,  $x_2 = -3t$ ,  $x_3 = t$ .

The solution set is  $\{(-2t, -3t, t) | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . This is a one-dimensional figure in three-dimensional space, it is a line.

## 3. INHOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

If  $b \neq 0$ , then the system Ax = b is inhomogeneous. The solution set of Ax = b is then not a vector space, because it doesn't contain the zero vector.

The study of Ax = b is related to Ax = 0, the corresponding homogeneous system.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let Ax = b be a system of linear equations. Suppose there exists a solution  $x_0$ . Then the solution set of Ax = b is

$$x_0 + N(A) = \left\{ x_0 + y \mid y \in N(A) \right\}.$$

Here N(A) is the null space of A.

It may seem strange to write  $x_0 + N(A)$ , since  $x_0$  is a vector and N(A) is a whole subspace. You can think of it as the subspace N(A), but translated (or displaced) by the vector  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose x is a solution to Ax = b. Then consider  $y = x - x_0$ . I compute

$$Ay = A(x - x_0) = Ax - Ax_0 = b - b = 0.$$

Therefore  $y \in N(A)$ , and so  $x = x_0 + y \in x_0 + N(A)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $y \in N(A)$ . Then let  $x = x_0 + y$ , and we get

$$Ax = A(x_0 + y) = Ax_0 + Ay = b + 0 = b$$

Therefore x lies in the solution set of Ax = b.

**Theorem 3.2.** Any system of equations Ax = b has either zero, one, or infinitely many solutions.

*Proof.* If there are zero solutions, the system is inconsistent. If there is at least one solution  $x_0$ , then the solution set is  $x_0 + N(A)$ . If  $N(A) = \{0\}$ , then our solution set is just  $\{x_0\}$ . Otherwise, N(A) has positive dimension, in which case the solution set must be infinite.

We still need to know how to find one solution to Ax = b. The idea now is that Ax = b is equivalent to EAx = Eb for any elementary matrix E, so that (A|b) has the same solution set as (EA|Eb). Now keep applying row operations until A is in row echelon form.

Key fact: Ax = b is inconsistent exactly when the following happens: you row reduce (A|b), and you see a row of the form

$$(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 | *)$$

where  $* \neq 0$ . Seeing such a row means that  $0x_1 + \cdots + 0x_n = * \neq 0$ , which is impossible.

**Example 3.3.** Solve the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & | & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We row reduce the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since we see a row of 0s followed by a 1, the system is inconsistent. There are no solutions. By the way, the rank of the matrix is 2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose Ax = b is a system of linear equations, where A is an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix. Then the system has a unique solution, namely  $x = A^{-1}b$ .

*Proof.* The system Ax = b is equivalent to  $A^{-1}Ax = A^{-1}b$ . This is the same as  $x = A^{-1}b$ .