Cohomology of the Drinfeld tower, a family affair joint with Pierre Colmez and Wiesława Nizioł

Gabriel Dospinescu

CNRS, ENS Lyon

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶

(1) Fix a prime p and  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite, "large enough" coefficient field, with residue field  $k_L$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- (1) Fix a prime p and  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite, "large enough" coefficient field, with residue field  $k_L$ .
- (II) For  $K \leq \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$  compact open, Y(K) := open modular curve  $/\mathbb{Q}$  of level K.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Fix a prime p and L/Q<sub>p</sub> finite, "large enough" coefficient field, with residue field k<sub>L</sub>.
- (II) For  $K \leq \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$  compact open, Y(K) := open modular curve  $/\mathbb{Q}$  of level K.

(III) Let 
$$\mathcal{K}^{p} = \mathbb{GL}_{2}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^{p})$$
 and  
 $\hat{H}^{1,\mathrm{gl}} = \varprojlim_{n} (\varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}_{p}} \mathcal{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{et}}(Y(\mathcal{K}_{p}\mathcal{K}^{p})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}_{L}/p^{n}))$   
 $= p - \mathrm{adic} \text{ completion of } \varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}_{p}} \mathcal{H}^{1}_{\mathrm{et}}(Y(\mathcal{K}_{p}\mathcal{K}^{p})_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{O}_{L}),$   
a  $\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \mathbb{GL}_{2}(\mathbb{Q}_{p})$ -module.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(I) Key extra symmetry: big spherical Hecke algebra  ${\mathbb T}$ 

$$\hat{H}^{1,\mathrm{gl}} = igoplus_{m\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{T})} \hat{H}^{1,\mathrm{gl}}_m.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) Key extra symmetry: big spherical Hecke algebra  ${\mathbb T}$ 

$$\hat{H}^{1,\mathrm{gl}} = igoplus_{m\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{T})} \hat{H}^{1,\mathrm{gl}}_m.$$

(II) Each *m* comes with a  $\bar{\rho}_m$  :  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q},\{p\}} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(k_L)$ . For  $\bar{\rho}_m$  absolutely irreducible, it lifts to

$$\rho_m : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_m)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

with specified traces of Frobenius at primes  $\neq p$ .

(I) The key global result:

Theorem (Emerton, idealised) As  $\mathbb{T}_m[\mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \operatorname{Gal}_\mathbb{Q}]$ -modules

$$\hat{H}_m^{1,\mathrm{gl}} \simeq \Pi(\rho_m|_{\mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{T}_m} \mathbb{T}_m^* \otimes_{\mathbb{T}_m} \rho_m,$$

where

• 
$$\mathbb{T}_m^* = \mathscr{O}_L$$
-dual of  $\mathbb{T}_m$ .

•  $\rho \to \Pi(\rho)$  is the *p*-adic local Langlands correspondence.

(I) This has many deep consequences, e.g.

• for  $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(L)$  odd and absolutely irreducible (plus technical hypotheses)

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\rho, \hat{H}^{1, \operatorname{gl}}) \simeq \Pi(\rho|_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}}).$$

• (under suitable assumptions on  $\bar{
ho}$ )

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(\bar{\rho}, \varinjlim_{\mathcal{K}_{\rho}} H^{1}_{\operatorname{et}}(Y(\mathcal{K}^{\rho}\mathcal{K}_{\rho})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}, k_{L})) = \pi(\bar{\rho}|_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\rho}}}),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

so LHS has finite length, highly nontrivial!

(I) Key facts used (all fail very badly in the local context):

• finiteness of  $H^1_{\text{et}}(Y(K^pK_p)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},?) \rightsquigarrow$  admissibility of  $\hat{H}^1$ , nice topological behaviour.

•  $H^2 = 0$  for  $Y(K^p K_p)_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightsquigarrow$  easy passage char 0- char p, good representation theoretic properties of  $\hat{H}_m^1$ .

• link between  $\mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -algebraic vectors and crystalline Galois representations.

# The Drinfeld tower

(I) Work over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Let

$$\mathscr{M}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

with the natural action of  $G := \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

# The Drinfeld tower

(I) Work over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Let

$$\mathscr{M}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

with the natural action of  $G := \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

(II) Drinfeld: tower of finite étale G-equivariant coverings

$$\dots \to \mathscr{M}_1 \to \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{M}_0$$

The spaces  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are Stein curves, defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (after taking a suitable quotient).

# The Drinfeld tower

(I) Work over  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Let

$$\mathscr{M}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

with the natural action of  $G := \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

(II) Drinfeld: tower of finite étale G-equivariant coverings

$$\dots \to \mathscr{M}_1 \to \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{M}_0$$

The spaces  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are Stein curves, defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (after taking a suitable quotient).

(III) The "limit"  $\mathscr{M}_{\infty}$  is perfectoid (Scholze and Weinstein) and  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathscr{M}_{\infty}/\mathscr{M}_0) = D^{\times},$ 

where D = quaternion division algebra  $/\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

# And its subtleties...

- (I) What happens if we replace the Y(K<sup>p</sup>K<sub>p</sub>)'s by the M<sub>n</sub>'s? Issues:
  - $\mathcal{M}_n$  not qc, no "reasonable" compactification known.
  - $H^1_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{M}_n, L)$  is huge, except for n = 0.
  - no reasonable (co-)admissibility property.
  - not clear/known if they are invariant under complete alg. closed extensions of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

• topology is a nightmare!

#### And its subtleties...

 Passage char 0− char p hard: no control on H<sup>2</sup><sub>et</sub>(M<sub>n</sub>, k<sub>L</sub>). If nonzero (⇔ Pic(M<sub>n</sub>) not p-divisible), this space is an awful mess!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

#### And its subtleties...

 Passage char 0− char p hard: no control on H<sup>2</sup><sub>et</sub>(M<sub>n</sub>, k<sub>L</sub>). If nonzero (⇔ Pic(M<sub>n</sub>) not p-divisible), this space is an awful mess!

(II) Contrast to:

- $H^2_{\text{et}}(X, k_L) = 0$  for X perfectoid quasi-Stein, e.g.  $\mathcal{M}_{\infty}$  (Scholze+ Artin-Schreier+ Kedlaya-Liu).
- $H^2_{\text{et}}(X, \mathcal{O}^+/p)$  is almost 0 for a Stein curve X (Hansen).

•  $\exists$  Stein curves X for which  $H^2_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{F}_p) \neq 0$ , e.g. open unit disc  $/\mathbb{C}_p$  (but not over its spherical completion!).

# Previous work

(I) Still:

Theorem (CDN) For absolutely irreducible  $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(L)$ 

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\rho, \varinjlim_n H^1_{\operatorname{et}}(\mathscr{M}_n, L(1))) = \begin{cases} JL(\rho) \otimes \Pi(\rho)^*, \text{ if } \rho \text{ is nice} \\ 0 \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

nice: de Rham with weights 0, 1,  $WD(\rho)$  irreducible. Also

 $JL(\rho) := JL(LL(WD(\rho))) \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\operatorname{sm}}(D^{\times}).$ 

# Pending questions

(I) A few natural questions:

- integral or mod *p* analogue?
- description of  $H^1_{\text{et}}(\mathcal{M}_n, L)$  "à la Emerton"?
- where are the other Galois representations???

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(I) The proof of the previous th. gives no clue:

- replace étale by pro-étale coh.
- describe pro-étale coh. via coherent and Hyodo-Kato coh.

$$0 \to \frac{\mathscr{O}(\mathscr{M}_n)}{\mathrm{cst}} \to H^1_{\mathrm{proet}}(\mathscr{M}_n, L(1)) \to (B^+_{\mathrm{st}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^1_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n))^{\varphi = p, N = 0} \to \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{cst}}$$

•  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_n) \longleftrightarrow \Pi(\rho)$  via the Breuil-Strauch conjecture (Le Bras-D).

• HK coh. computed by *p*-adic uniformisation and *l*-adic  $(l \neq p, \text{ sic!})$  non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory.

(I) Harder for étale coh:

$$H^1_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(\mathscr{M}_n, L(1)) \simeq (B^+_{\mathrm{st}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^{1, \mathcal{G}-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n))^{\varphi=p, N=0} \cap \Omega^{1, \mathcal{G}-\mathrm{bd}}(\mathscr{M}_n),$$
  
but

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・ ヨー うへぐ

•  $H^{1,G-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n) \longleftrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}$  with  $\Pi$  discrete series rep. of G, and  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is huge.

(I) Harder for étale coh:

$$H^1_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(\mathscr{M}_n, L(1)) \simeq (B^+_{\mathrm{st}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_p} H^{1, \mathcal{G}-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n))^{\varphi=p, N=0} \cap \Omega^{1, \mathcal{G}-\mathrm{bd}}(\mathscr{M}_n),$$
  
but

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・ ヨー うへぐ

•  $H^{1,G-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n) \longleftrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}$  with  $\Pi$  discrete series rep. of G, and  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is huge.

(I) Harder for étale coh:

$$\begin{aligned} & H^{1}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}(\mathscr{M}_{n}, L(1)) \simeq (B^{+}_{\mathrm{st}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}} H^{1, \mathsf{G}-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_{n}))^{\varphi=p, \mathsf{N}=0} \cap \Omega^{1, \mathsf{G}-\mathrm{bd}}(\mathscr{M}_{n}), \\ & \mathsf{but} \end{aligned}$$

•  $H^{1,G-\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathrm{HK}}(\mathscr{M}_n) \longleftrightarrow \widehat{\Pi}$  with  $\Pi$  discrete series rep. of G, and  $\widehat{\Pi}$  is huge.

(II) Contrary to  $\Omega^1(\mathcal{M}_n)$  (described by DL),  $\Omega^{1,G-\mathrm{bd}}(\mathcal{M}_n)$  is quite mysterious (not coadmissible).

## The key new result

(I) Saw: Hom $(\rho, H^1(\mathcal{M}_n, L))$  = dual of a finite length Banach *G*-representation. More delicate:

Theorem (CDN)  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}(\bar{\rho}, H^1(\mathscr{M}_n, k_L))$  is the dual of a finite length smooth *G*-module  $\forall \bar{\rho} : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(k_L)$ .

The method of proof is completely different and quite indirect.

(I) Paskūnas:

$$\mathscr{C} = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathscr{O}_L}^{\mathrm{sm,l.f.l}}(G)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

can be described in terms of *p*-adic local Langlands.

(I) Paskūnas:

$$\mathscr{C} = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathscr{O}_L}^{\operatorname{sm,l.f.l}}(G)$$

can be described in terms of *p*-adic local Langlands.

(II) Gabriel's theory  $\rightsquigarrow$ 

$$\mathscr{C} = \prod_{B} \mathscr{C}_{B}, \ \{ blocs \ \mathsf{B} \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \bar{\rho} : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \to \mathbb{GL}_{2}(k_{L}) ss \},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) Paskūnas:

$$\mathscr{C} = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathscr{O}_L}^{\mathrm{sm,l.f.l}}(G)$$

can be described in terms of *p*-adic local Langlands.

(II) Gabriel's theory  $\rightsquigarrow$ 

$$\mathscr{C} = \prod_{B} \mathscr{C}_{B}, \ \{ blocs \ \mathsf{B} \} \longleftrightarrow \{ \bar{\rho} : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} \to \mathbb{GL}_{2}(k_{L}) ss \},$$

(III) Each B is finite and

 $\mathscr{C}_B \simeq ext{compact } E_B - ext{modules},$  $\pi o ext{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{P}_B, \pi^{ee}), \ \mathcal{M} \to (\mathcal{M} \otimes_{E_B} \mathcal{P}_B)^{ee}.$ 

where

$$E_B = \operatorname{End}_G(P_B), \ P_B = (\text{inj. envelope of } \oplus_{\pi \in B} \pi)^{\vee}.$$

(I) Paskūnas:  $E_B \longleftrightarrow$  Galois deformation rings,  $P_B \longleftrightarrow p$ -adic local Langlands. So  $P_B, E_B$  are "understood".

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

(I) Paskūnas:  $E_B \longleftrightarrow$  Galois deformation rings,  $P_B \longleftrightarrow p$ -adic local Langlands. So  $P_B, E_B$  are "understood".

(II) "Simplest" example:

 $\bar{\rho}: \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(k_L) \text{ abs. irr } \rightsquigarrow B = B_{\bar{\rho}} = \{\pi(\bar{\rho})\}.$ 

 $ho^{\mathrm{un}}: \mathrm{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} 
ightarrow \mathbb{GL}_2(R_{ar{
ho}}) = \mathsf{universal} \ \mathsf{deformation} \ \mathsf{of} \ ar{
ho}$ 

$$E_B=R_{ar
ho}, \ P_B=R_{ar
ho}-{\sf dual} \ {\sf of} \ \Pi(
ho^{{
m un}}).$$

#### (I) Define

$$H^1_{k_L} = \varinjlim_j H^1(\mathscr{M}_j, k_L)^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} - \operatorname{sm}}$$

The finiteness theorem+previous discussion  $\rightsquigarrow$ 

$$H^1_{k_L} = igoplus_{ar{
ho}: \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} o \mathbb{GL}_2(k_L)} \overline{JL}_{ar{
ho}} \otimes_{E_{ar{
ho}}} P_{ar{
ho}},$$

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・ ヨー うへぐ

with  $\overline{JL}_{\overline{\rho}}$  a smooth  $D^{\times}$ -module with action of  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\rho}}$ .

(I) Can define similarly  $\hat{H}^1$  for the Drinfeld tower and

$$\hat{H}^1 = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\bar{\rho} \text{ ss}}} JL_{\bar{\rho}} \widehat{\otimes}_{E_{\bar{\rho}}} P_{\bar{\rho}}.$$

・ロト・日本・モン・モン・ ヨー うへぐ

(I) Can define similarly  $\hat{H}^1$  for the Drinfeld tower and

$$\hat{H}^1 = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\bar{\rho} \text{ ss}}} JL_{\bar{\rho}} \widehat{\otimes}_{E_{\bar{\rho}}} P_{\bar{\rho}}.$$

(II) What is  $JL_{\bar{\rho}}$ ? Need to "compute"

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\boldsymbol{G}}(\pi^{\vee}, H^1_{\operatorname{et}}(\mathscr{M}_n, \mathscr{O}_L/p^k)^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} - \operatorname{sm}})$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

for  $\pi$  a smooth finite length *G*-module.

(I) Rationally: "easy". Proof: *p*-adic comparison theorems+Breuil-Strauch conjecture, as before.

Theorem (CDN) For  $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(L)$  absolutely irreducible

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\Pi(\rho)^*, \varinjlim_n H^1_{\operatorname{et}}(\mathscr{M}_n, L(1))) \simeq \begin{cases} JL(\rho) \otimes \rho, \text{ if } \rho \text{ is nice} \\ 0 \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Rationally: "easy". Proof: *p*-adic comparison theorems+Breuil-Strauch conjecture, as before.

Theorem (CDN) For  $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(L)$  absolutely irreducible

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\Pi(\rho)^{*}, \varinjlim_{n} H^{1}_{\operatorname{et}}(\mathscr{M}_{n}, L(1))) \simeq \begin{cases} JL(\rho) \otimes \rho, \text{ if } \rho \text{ is nice} \\ 0 \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

(II) For  $\pi$  smooth modulo p: p-adic uniformisation+LGC  $\rightsquigarrow$ Hom<sub>G</sub> $(\pi^*, H^1_{et}(\mathcal{M}_n, k_L(1)))$  is linked to Scholze's functor.

(I) Duality isomorphism (Faltings, Fargues, Scholze, Weinstein)

$$\mathscr{M}_{\infty} \simeq LT_{\infty}$$

with the infinite level Lubin-Tate space, a pro-étale G-torsor of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ :

$$f: LT_{\infty} \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(I) Duality isomorphism (Faltings, Fargues, Scholze, Weinstein)

$$\mathscr{M}_{\infty} \simeq \mathrm{LT}_{\infty}$$

with the infinite level Lubin-Tate space, a pro-étale *G*-torsor of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ :

$$f: LT_{\infty} \to \mathbb{P}^1.$$

(II) Scholze:  $\pi$  smooth mod p G-rep.  $\rightsquigarrow$  smooth  $D^{\times}$ -modules with continuous  $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action

$$S^i(\pi) = H^i(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{F}_\pi), \ \mathscr{F}_\pi = (f_*\underline{\pi})^{\mathsf{G}}.$$

(I) The construction extends to smooth  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -torsion modules, to *p*-adic Banach reps, and works in families.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem (Hansen, Ludwig, Scholze)

a)  $\pi 
ightarrow S^i(\pi)$  preserves admissibility.

b)  $S^2(\pi) = 0$  if  $\pi \pmod{p}$  is principal series or supersingular.

(I) The construction extends to smooth  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -torsion modules, to *p*-adic Banach reps, and works in families.

Theorem (Hansen, Ludwig, Scholze)

a)  $\pi 
ightarrow S^i(\pi)$  preserves admissibility.

b)  $S^2(\pi) = 0$  if  $\pi \pmod{p}$  is principal series or supersingular.

(II) Paskūnas used this to study  $S^1(\Pi)$  when  $\Pi$  is a Banach representation.

Paskūnas, Schraen, D. (in progress):  $S^1(\Pi)$  has finite length if  $\Pi$  is irreducible and corresponds to a Galois representation whose difference of Hodge-Tate weights  $\notin \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### (I) The link to Scholze's functor:

Theorem (CDN) If  $\pi$  is a locally finite length smooth representation of G, killed by  $p^k$  and belonging to a generic bloc, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(\pi^{\vee}, H^{1}(\mathscr{M}_{\infty}, \mathscr{O}_{L}/p^{k})) \simeq S^{1}(\pi).$$

Simple idea: analyse the Cech spectral sequence for the covering  $f : LT_{\infty} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ . Problem: describe  $H^i(LT_{\infty} \times G^k, \underline{\pi})$ , which comes down to controlling certain  $R^1$ lim.

(I) One gets a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{cont}}(\pi^{\vee}, H^q(\mathscr{M}_{\infty}, \mathscr{O}_L/p^k))) \Longrightarrow S^{p+q}(\pi).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) One gets a spectral sequence

 $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{cont}}(\pi^{\vee}, H^q(\mathscr{M}_{\infty}, \mathscr{O}_L/p^k))) \Longrightarrow S^{p+q}(\pi).$ 

(II)  $E_2^{p,0}$ -terms controlled by:

• Strauch's description of  $\pi_0(\mathscr{M}_\infty)$ 

• Fust's comparison theorem between continuous cohomology and Ext groups

• results of Paskūnas to kill these Ext groups.

(I) One gets a spectral sequence

 $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{cont}}(\pi^{\vee}, H^q(\mathscr{M}_{\infty}, \mathscr{O}_L/p^k))) \Longrightarrow S^{p+q}(\pi).$ 

(II)  $E_2^{p,0}$ -terms controlled by:

• Strauch's description of  $\pi_0(\mathscr{M}_\infty)$ 

• Fust's comparison theorem between continuous cohomology and Ext groups

- results of Paskūnas to kill these Ext groups.
- (III) Example (Paulina Fust):  $H^2(SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \pi) = 0$  for  $\pi$  supersingular.

(I) The "simplest" case:

Theorem (CDN) If  $\rho : \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_L)$  has absolutely irreducible reduction mod p, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\bar{\rho}, \varinjlim_{n} H^{1}(\mathscr{M}_{n}, k_{L})) = \pi(\bar{\rho})^{*} \otimes_{k_{L}} \operatorname{Hom}(\bar{\rho}, S^{1}(\pi(\bar{\rho})))$$

and

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\rho, \hat{H}^{1}) = \Pi(\rho)^{*} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}_{L}} \operatorname{Hom}(\rho, S^{1}(\Pi(\rho)))).$$

The proof is quite tricky (in particular uses the compatibility of Scholze's functor and patching to avoid the problem of  $H^2(\mathcal{M}_n, k_L)$  being unmanageable).

# Link with potentially crystalline deformation rings

(I) Fix *n* and an irreducible *L*-representation  $\sigma$  of Gal $(\mathcal{M}_n/\mathcal{M}_0)$ , of dimension > 1. If *X* is a  $D^{\times}$ -module write

 $X[\sigma] = \operatorname{Hom}_{D^{\times}}(\sigma, X).$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Link with potentially crystalline deformation rings

(I) Fix *n* and an irreducible *L*-representation  $\sigma$  of Gal $(\mathcal{M}_n/\mathcal{M}_0)$ , of dimension > 1. If X is a  $D^{\times}$ -module write

 $X[\sigma] = \operatorname{Hom}_{D^{\times}}(\sigma, X).$ 

(II) Define

$$\tilde{H}^{1}(\mathcal{M}_{n}, L(1)) = (\varprojlim_{k} H^{1}(\mathcal{M}_{n}, \mathcal{O}_{L}/p^{k}(1))^{\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}} - \operatorname{sm}})[1/p],$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

a sort of completed cohomology of the tower  $\mathcal{M}_n/F$  for  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  finite.

# Link with potentially crystalline deformation rings

#### (I) One gets:

Theorem (CDN) For each semi-simple  $\bar{\rho}: \operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to \mathbb{GL}_2(k_L)$  there is a quotient  $R_{\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\rho})}^{\operatorname{ps}}[1/p] \to R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\sigma}$  and a rank 2 Galois representation  $V_{\bar{\rho}}^{\sigma}$  over  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\sigma}$  such that

$$\widetilde{H}^1(\mathscr{M}_n,L(1))[\sigma] = \widehat{\bigoplus}_{\overline{\rho}} \Pi(V^{\sigma}_{\overline{\rho}})^* \widehat{\otimes}_{R_{\overline{\rho}}} R^*_{\overline{\rho}} \otimes_{R_{\overline{\rho}}} V^{\sigma}_{\overline{\rho}}.$$

For  $\bar{\rho}$  absolutely irreducible  $V_{\bar{\rho}}^{\sigma}$  is the universal potentially crystalline deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$  with Hodge-Tate weights 0, 1 and Weil-Deligne type determined by  $\sigma$ .