Linus Hamann

Princeton University

October 2020

# Table of Contents

### 1 The Main Theorem

- **2** The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$
- 3 Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### 4 Proof of the Key Proposition

└─ The Main Theorem

# Table of Contents

### 1 The Main Theorem

- **2** The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$
- 3 Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications
- 4 Proof of the Key Proposition

# Notation

### We let:

- **1** p and  $\ell$  be distinct primes.
- **2**  $G/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a connected reductive group.
- 3  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  be the Weil group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$
- 4  $\hat{G}$  the Langlands dual group of G viewed as a reductive group over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$

$$5 \ ^LG := W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \ltimes \hat{G}$$

6  $i: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{C}$  and  $j: \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{C}$  be fixed isomorphisms.

# Notation

### We set:

- **1**  $\Pi(G)$  to be isomorphism classes of smooth irreducible representations of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .
- **2**  $\Phi(G)$  to be the set of conjugacy classes of admissible homomorphisms:

$$\phi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times SL(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to {}^L G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

3  $\Phi^W(G)$  to be the set of conjugacy classes of continuous semisimple homomorphisms:

$$\phi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to {}^L G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

### Theorem (Harris-Taylor/Henniart/Scholze)

Let  $G = GL_n$  then, for every  $n \ge 1$ , there exists a unique bijection:

$$\Pi(G) \xrightarrow{LLC_n} \Phi(G)$$

### $\pi \mapsto \phi_{\pi}$

generalizing local class field theory and characterized by the preservation of character twists, L,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\gamma$  factors.

### Theorem (Fargues-Scholze)

For any G, there exists a map:

$$\Pi(G) \xrightarrow{LLC_G^{FS}} \Phi^W(G)$$
$$\pi \mapsto \phi_\pi^{FS}$$

enjoying the following properties:

- 1 It is compatible with tensor product of representations.
- 2 It is is compatible with parabolic induction of representations.
- 3 It is compatible with the correspondence of Harris-Taylor/Henniart for  $G = GL_n$  and its inner forms.

#### Question:

Can we show that the Fargues-Scholze Local Langlands correspondence is compatible with other instances of the correspondence? Namely, given a "known local Langlands correspondence":

 $\Pi(G) \xrightarrow{LLC_G} \Phi(G)$ 

We expect a commutative diagram of the form:



where the right horizontal arrow precomposes the map  $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ with  $g \in W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mapsto (g, \begin{pmatrix} |g|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0\\ 0 & |g|^{\frac{-1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}) \in W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times SL(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ 

### Theorem (Gan-Takeda/Gan-Tantono)

- **1** Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension.
- 2  $G = Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}GSp_4$  or  $G = Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}GU_2(D)$ , where D is the quaternion division algebra over L.
- **3** Up to the choice of the fixed isomorphism *i*, there exists a unique map:

$$LLC_G: \Pi(G) \to \Phi(G)$$

 $\pi \mapsto \{\phi_{\pi}: W_L \times SL(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \to \hat{G}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) = GSpin_5(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \simeq GSp_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})\}$ 

characterized by preservation of character twists, L,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\gamma$  factors, and a condition on the Plancharel measure of a family of induced representations.

### Remarks

- **1** For the representations  $\pi$  contained in an *L*-packet with no supercuspidals, compatibility with the Fargues-Scholze LLC follows formally from known properties of the Fargues-Scholze correspondence.
- 2 We will be interested in the case where a representation  $\pi$  of G is in an L-packet containing only supercuspidals, which implies that  $\phi_{\pi}$  is supercuspidal. I.e. the restriction to the  $SL(2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  factor is trivial and the induced parameter:

$$\phi_{\pi}: W_L \to GSp_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

is irreducible.

#### Remarks

- Given a supercuspidal parameter  $\phi: W_L \to GSp_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ , the *L*-packet  $\Pi_\phi := LLC_G^{-1}(\phi)$  has size 1 or 2, we say that  $\phi$  is stable or endoscopic, respectively.
- **2** Let  $std: GSp_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}) \to GL_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  be the standard embedding.
  - (stable)  $std \circ \phi$  is irreducible.
  - (endoscopic)  $std \circ \phi \simeq \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ , with  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  distinct irreducible 2-dimensional reps of  $GL_2$  such that  $det(\phi_1) = det(\phi_2)$ .

# The Main Theorem

### Theorem (H)

- Assume  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is an unramified extension.
- $\bullet \ p>2.$
- $\pi$  is a representation of  $G = GSp_4$  or  $GU_2(D)$  such that the Gan-Takeda or Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\pi}$  is supercuspidal, respectively.
- Then we have an equality:  $\phi_{\pi} = \phi_{\pi}^{FS}$

#### Remark

The assumption that  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified and that p > 2 is needed to apply basic uniformization for Shimura varieties of abelian type, as proven by Shen.

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

### Table of Contents

### 1 The Main Theorem

### **2** The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for $G = GL_n$

### 3 Compatibility for $G = GSp_4$ and $GU_2(D)$ and Applications

#### 4 Proof of the Key Proposition

# Rappaport-Zink Spaces

A key insight of Harris and Taylor was to geometrically realize the Local Langlands correspondence for  $G = GL_n$  in the cohomology of Rapoport-Zink spaces. The generic fiber of these spaces at infinite level can be reinterpreted as shtuka spaces, which in turn relate to the Fargues-Scholze LLC.

#### Definition

We set  $k := \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p := W(k)$ . We consider  $\mathbb{X}$  a *p*-divisible group over k of dimension d and height n. We consider the formal scheme:

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{X}}/Spf(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ 

parametrizing, for  $S/Spf(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , pairs  $(X, \rho)$ , where X/S is a p-divisible group, and  $\rho: X \times_S \overline{S} \to \mathbb{X} \times_k \overline{S}$  is a quasi-isogeny, where  $\overline{S} = S \times_{Spf(\mathbb{Z}_p)} Spec(k)$  is the special fiber.

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Lubin-Tate Space

#### Example

In the case, that d = 1, we recover the Lubin-Tate space parametrizing  $\mathbb{Z}$  disjoint copies of the space of deformations of a 1-dimensional formal group with height n:

$$LT_n := \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{X}} \simeq \sqcup_{\mathbb{Z}} Spf(\check{\mathbb{Z}}_p[|T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}|])$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{X},\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ , the adic generic fiber of this moduli space, and let  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{X},\infty,\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$  be the moduli space at infinite level. Scholze-Weinstein provide a moduli interpretation of this space in terms of shtuka spaces on the Fargues-Fontaine curve.

#### Definition

- Let *Perf* be the category of perfectoid spaces in characteristic *p*.
- 2 For any  $S \in Ob(Perf)$ , we have an associated relative Fargues-Fontaine curve  $X_S$ .

#### Remark

 $X_S$  has the property that its primitive Cartier divisors correspond to characteristic 0 untilts of S.

### Theorem (Fargues)

- **1** Fix an algebraically closed complete field F of characteristic p.
- 2 Then G-bundles on  $X := X_F$  correspond to elements of the Kottwitz set  $B(G) := G(\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/(b \sim gb\sigma(g)^{-1})$ , where  $\sigma$  is the Frobenius on  $\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . In other words, we have an isomorphism:

$$B(G) \xrightarrow{\simeq} |Bun_G|$$
$$b \mapsto |Bun_G^b| = *$$

#### Remark

Elements of B(G) parametrize G-isocrystals over k

### Definition

- Let  $\mu \in X_*(G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p})^+$  be a minuscule cocharacter with reflex field E.
- 2 Let  $b \in B(G,\mu) \subset B(G)$  be the unique basic element. We call the triple  $(G,b,\mu)$  a local Shimura datum.
- $\breve{E} = E\breve{\mathbb{Q}}_p$
- 4 Let  $\mathcal{E}_b$  be the bundle on X corresponding to  $b \in B(G)$ .
- 5 We define the diamond  $Sh(G, b, \mu)_{\infty}/Spd(\check{E})$ , parametrizing for  $S \in Ob(Perf)$ , with a map  $S \to Spd(\check{E})$  and associated untilt  $S^{\sharp}$  over  $\check{E}$ , modifications:

$$\mathcal{E}_{0,S} \to \mathcal{E}_{b,S}$$

at the Cartier divisor  $S^{\sharp}$  with meromorphy bounded by  $\mu$ .

#### Remark

The space  $Sh(G, b, \mu)_{\infty}$  has commuting actions by  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) = Aut(\mathcal{E}_0)$  and  $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = Aut(\mathcal{E}_b)$ , where  $J_b$  is the  $\sigma$ -centralizer of  $b \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . We have similar actions on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{X},\infty,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

#### Theorem (Scholze-Weinstein)

For the fixed *p*-divisible group  $\mathbb{X}/k$  of dimension *d* and height *n* as before, let  $\mu = (1, \ldots, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$  be the minisicule cocharacter of  $GL_n$  with *d* 1s and  $b \in B(G)$  be the element corresponding to the isogeny class of  $\mathbb{X}/k$ . Then we have a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -equivariant isomorphism of diamonds over  $Spd(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ :

$$\mathcal{M}^{\diamond}_{\mathbb{X},\infty,\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \simeq Sh(G,\mu,b)_{\infty}$$

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# The Cohomology of the Lubin-Tate Tower

#### Example

Suppose that X has dimension 1 and height n, so that  $\mu = (1, 0..., 0)$ , then  $LT_{n,\infty}^{\diamond} \simeq Sh(GL_n, \mu, b)_{\infty}$  parametrizes injections of the form:

$$\mathcal{O}_X^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})$$

with cokernel of length 1 supported at a single closed point of X, where  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})$  is the rank n bundle on X of slope  $\frac{1}{n}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is the trivial bundle of rank n. In this case,  $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = D^*_{1/n}$ , where  $D_{1/n}$  is the division algebra of invariant 1/n.

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# The Cohomology of the Lubin-Tate Tower

#### Definition

- **1** Let  $(G, b, \mu)$  be a local Shimura datum.
- **2** Let  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$  and  $\rho \in \Pi(J_b)$ .
- 3 Let  $\mathcal{H}(G) := C_c^{\infty}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$  and  $\mathcal{H}(J_b) := C_c^{\infty}(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ denote the usual smooth Hecke algebras.
- 4 Set  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu) := R\Gamma_c(Sh(G, b, \mu)_{\infty}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}).$
- **5** Set  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi] := R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} \pi$  and  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho] := R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(J_b)}^{\mathbb{L}} \rho.$

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# The Cohomology of the Lubin-Tate Tower

#### Theorem (Harris-Taylor)

- Let  $(GL_n, b, (1, 0, ..., 0))$  be the Local Shimura datum defining the Lubin-Tate tower.
- **2** Let  $\pi$  be a supercuspidal representation of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

**3** Let 
$$\rho := JL^{-1}(\pi) \in \Pi(D^*_{\frac{1}{n}}).$$

4 Then  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi]$  is concentrated in middle degree n-1, and its cohomology decomposes as a  $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ representation as:

$$\rho \boxtimes \phi_{\pi} \otimes |\cdot|^{(1-n)/2}$$

where  $\phi_{\pi} \in \Phi^{W}(G)$  is the semi-simplified Weil parameter associated to  $\pi$  by Harris-Taylor.

#### Remarks

- **1** The idea behind the proof of this Theorem is to relate this cohomology to the cohomology of a global Shimura variety associated to an inner form of  $GL_n/\mathbb{Q}$ , via basic uniformization, and appeal to Global Results.
- 2 Using this dictionary between Shtukas and *p*-divisible groups, we will see that this theorem gives rise to the compatibility with the Fargues-Scholze correspondence.
- 3 In a similar fashion, we will realize the Gan-Takeda/Gan-Tantono parameter in the cohomology of  $R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)$ , where  $G = GSp_4$  and  $\mu = (1,1,0,0)$  is the Siegel cocharacter.

# Function-Sheaf Dictionary

To a diamond or v-stack X, Fargues-Scholze define a triangulated category  $D(X):=D_{lis}(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ 

### Proposition (Fargues-Scholze)

- **1** For a connected reductive group  $G/\mathbb{Q}_p$ , define the *v*-stack  $BG(\mathbb{Q}_p) := [*/G(\mathbb{Q}_p)].$
- 2 We have an equivalence of categories:

$$D(B(G(\mathbb{Q}_p))) \xrightarrow{\simeq} D(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$$

where the RHS is the unbounded derived category of smooth  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ -representations of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and Verdier duality corresponds to smooth duality.

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# **Function-Sheaf Dictionary**

• We have an open immersion:

$$j: B\underline{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \simeq Bun_G^1 \hookrightarrow Bun_G$$

given by the inclusion of the HN-strata of  $Bun_G$  corresponding to the trivial bundle.

Given  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$ , we can use the previous proposition to construct a sheaf:

$$j_!(\mathcal{F}_\pi) \in D(Bun_G)$$

• Following V.Lafforgue, Fargues-Scholze construct an excursion algebra acting on  $D(Bun_G)$ , which acts on this sheaf via eigenvalues determined by the parameter  $\phi_{\pi}$ .

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

### Hecke Operators

- For any finite set *I*, let *X<sup>I</sup>* be the product of *I*-copies of the diamond *X* = Spd(Ŏ<sub>p</sub>)/Frob<sup>Z</sup>.
- We then have the Hecke-Stack:



parametrzing for  $S \in Ob(Perf)$  triples  $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, j, S_i^{\sharp} i \in I)$ , where  $j : \mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}_1$  is a modification of two *G*-bundles on  $X_S$ away from the Cartier divisors defined by the untilts  $S_i^{\sharp}$  for  $i \in I$  of *S*.

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Hecke Operators

- Given  $W \in Rep_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}}({}^{L}G^{I})$ , Geometric Satake furnishes a sheaf  $S_{W}$  on Hck.
- We then define the Hecke operator:

$$T_W: D(Bun_G) \to D(Bun_G \times X^I)$$

 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto R(h^{\to} \times supp)_*(h^{\leftarrow *}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{S}_W)$ 

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Drinfeld's Lemma

Theorem (Fargues-Scholze)

The natural map:

$$Spd(\check{\mathbb{Q}}_p)/Frob^{\mathbb{Z}} = X \to [*/W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]$$

induces a pullback map:

$$D(Bun_G \times [*/W^I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}]) \to D(Bun_G \times X^I)$$

is fully faithful and an equivalence if I is a singleton. The functor  $T_W$  takes values in:

$$D(Bun_G \times [*/W^I_{\mathbb{Q}_p}])$$

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# **Excursion** Operators

### Definition

**1** Let  $(I, W, (\gamma_i)_{i \in I}, \beta, \alpha)$  be the datum of:

- A finite set I
- A representation  $W \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{e}}({}^{L}G^{I})$
- A tuple of elements  $(\gamma_i)_{i \in I} \in W^I_{\mathbb{Q}_n}$
- Maps of representations:  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Delta^* W \xrightarrow{\beta} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$
- 2 Given such a datum, one defines the excursion operator on  $D(Bun_G)$  to be the endomorphism of the identity functor:

$$id = T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} T_{\Delta^*W} = T_W \xrightarrow{(\gamma_i)_{i \in I}} T_W = T_{\Delta^*W} \xrightarrow{\beta} T_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}} = id$$

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# **Excursion** Operators

Via looking at the action of this natural transformation on the triangulated sub-category:

$$D(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq D(B\underline{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)) \subset D(Bun_G)$$

we can apply V. Lafforgue's reconstruction theorem to deduce:

#### Theorem (Fargues-Scholze)

Given  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$ , there is a unique continuous semisimple map:

$$\phi_{\pi}^{FS}: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \to^L G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$$

characterized by the property that the action on  $\pi$  by an excursion operator is the composite:

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Delta^* W = W \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\pi}^{FS}(\gamma_i))_{i \in I}} W = \Delta^* W \xrightarrow{\beta} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$$

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Upshot

- Let's fix  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$  and let  $(G, b, \mu)$  be a local Shimura datum with E the reflex field of  $\mu$ .
- Consider the case where  $I = \{*\}$  and  $W = V_{\mu}$  is a highest weight rep of highest weight  $\mu$ . The sheaf  $S_W$  is then supported on  $Hck_{\mu}$  the subspace parametrizing modifications of type  $\mu$ ,  $S_W \simeq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}[d](\frac{d}{2})$ , where  $d = \langle 2\rho_G, \mu \rangle$ .
- We look at the Hecke-Correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Hck_{\mu} & & & \\ & & & & \\ Bun_{G}^{1} \xrightarrow{j} Bun_{G} & & & \\ Bun_{G} \times X_{E} \xleftarrow{} Bun_{G}^{b} \times X_{E} \end{array}$$

We consider the sheaf:

 $(j_b \times id)^* T_W(j_!(\mathcal{F}_\pi)) \in D(J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ 

 $\Box$  The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Upshot

The simultaneous fibers of Hck<sub>µ</sub> over Bun<sup>1</sup><sub>G</sub> and Bun<sup>b</sup><sub>G</sub> is given by:

$$[Gr^b_{G,\mu}/\underline{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}]$$

where  $Gr^b_{G,\mu} \subset Gr_{G,\mu}$  is the open Newton strata in the Schubert cell of the  $B^+_{dR}$  -affine Grassmanian parametrizing modifications  $\mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E}$  of type  $\mu$ , where  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}_b$ , after pulling back to each geometric point.

■ The Shtuka space sits as  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -torsor over this space:

$$Sh(G, b, \mu)_{\infty} \to [Gr^b_{G, \mu}/\underline{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}]$$

This gives rise to the key identification:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\pi][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq (j_b \times id)^* T_W(j_!(\mathcal{F}_{\pi}))$$

The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

# Compatibility for $G = GL_n$

• Let  $(G, b, \mu) = (GL_n, b, (1, 0, \dots, 0))$  be the Shimura datum defining the Lubin-Tate tower. Then d = n - 1 and the work of Harris-Taylor combined with the previous result gives us an isomorphism:

$$(j_b \times id)^* T_W(j_!(\mathcal{F}_\pi)) \simeq R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq \rho \boxtimes \phi_\pi$$

where  $\rho := JL^{-1}(\pi)$ .

• However, it follows from the Fargues-Scholze construction that the LHS should be valued in the category generated by  $\phi_{\pi}^{FS}$ , so in particular  $\phi_{\pi}^{FS} = \phi_{\pi}$ .

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Table of Contents

### 1 The Main Theorem

### **2** The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for $G = GL_n$

### **3** Compatibility for $G = GSp_4$ and $GU_2(D)$ and Applications

### 4 Proof of the Key Proposition

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Compatibility for $G = GSp_4$

- Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension and  $(G, b, \mu) := (Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}(GSp_4), b, (1, 1, 0, 0))$ , where  $b \in B(G, \mu)$  is the unique basic element.
- $J_b = Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} GU_2(D)$ , where D is the quaternionic division algebra over L.
- Note that the rep  $\hat{G} \simeq GSp_4$  induced by  $\mu$  is the representation:

$$std: GSp_4 \to GL_4$$

and that  $\langle 2\rho_G, \mu \rangle = 3$ .

Given  $\pi \in \Pi(GSp_4)$ , with supercuspidal Gan-Takeda parameter  $\phi_{\pi}$ , compatibility should follow from showing that:

$$R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi]$$

is concentrated in degree 3 and is  $std \circ \phi_{\pi} \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ -isotypic.

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Comaptibility for $G = GSp_4$

- One issue is that std ∘ φ<sub>π</sub> is not enough to uniquely determine the parameter φ<sub>π</sub>. However, if one also includes the datum of a W<sub>L</sub>-invariant symplectic similitude pairing on std ∘ φ<sub>π</sub> it is.
- Moreover, note that, since the excursion algebra is acting on  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi]$  as a complex of  $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations via scalars, it suffices to identify  $std \circ \phi_{\pi}$  with its symplectic similitude pairing on a non-zero sub-quotient.
$\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

This leads us to the key Proposition:

Assume from now on that p>2 and  $L/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is unramified.

### Proposition (H)

- Assume that  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  has supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$ .
- Let  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  denote the sub-complex where  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acts via a supercuspidal representation.
- $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  is non-zero concentrated in degree 3 and is  $std \circ \phi_\rho \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ -isotypic as a  $W_L$ -representation.
- $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  carries a non-degenerate  $W_L$ -invariant symplectic similitude form.

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

- The previous proposition, through the formal considerations sketched before, gives compatibility for  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  with supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter.
- We would like to apply this compatibility together with the previous description of the  $W_L$ -action to give a full description of  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ .

We set:

 $R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,b,\mu)[\rho] := R\mathcal{H}om_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu),\rho)[-2d](-d)$ 

Kaletha-Weinstein give a general description of this in the Grothendieck Group of admissible representations.

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Theorem (Kaletha-Weinstein)

For  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  with supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter.

• Let 
$$S_{\phi_{\rho}} = Cent(\phi_{\rho}, \hat{G}).$$

- Let  $\Pi_{\phi_{\rho}}(GSp_4)$  denote the *L*-packet over  $\phi_{\rho}$ .
- Then the cohomology of RΓ<sup>KW</sup><sub>c</sub>(G, b, μ)[ρ] is valued in admissible G(Q<sub>p</sub>)-representations and we have the following equality in K<sub>0</sub>(G(Q<sub>p</sub>)) the Grothendieck group of admissible G(Q<sub>p</sub>)-modules:

$$[R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,b,\mu)[\rho])] = -\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_{\rho}}(G)} Hom_{S_{\phi_{\rho}}}(\delta_{\pi,\rho}, std \circ \phi_{\rho})\pi$$

where  $\delta_{\pi,\rho}$  is the algebraic representation of  $S_{\phi_{\rho}}$  defined by the refined Local Langlands correspondence for  $GU_2(D)$ .

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### The Refined LLC

- Note that we have  $Z(\hat{G}) = GL_1$ .
- The refined Local Langlands correspondence defines a bijection:

$$\Pi_{\phi}(GSp_4) \leftrightarrow \{ \text{irred. reps } \tau \text{ of } S_{\phi} \text{ s.t } \tau |_{Z(\hat{G})} = \mathbf{1} \}$$

### And a bijection:

 $\Pi_{\phi}(GU_2(D)) \leftrightarrow \{ \text{irred. reps } \tau \text{ of } S_{\phi} \text{ s.t } \tau|_{Z(\hat{G})} = id_{GL_1} \}$ 

These bijections are characterized by the character identities proven by Gan-Chan, after fixing  $(B, \psi) =: \mathfrak{m}$  for  $GSp_4$ .

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### The Refined LLC

- If  $\phi$  is a stable supercuspidal parameter (i.e  $std \circ \phi$  is irreducible) then the *L*-packet are singletons and  $S_{\phi} = Z(\hat{G}) = GL_1$ .
- If φ is an endoscopic parameter (i.e std ∘ φ ≃ φ<sub>1</sub> ⊕ φ<sub>2</sub>, with φ<sub>i</sub> distinct 2-dimensional irreducibles and det(φ<sub>1</sub>) = det(φ<sub>2</sub>))
  This gives rise to an identification:

 $S_{\phi} = \{(a,b) \in GL_1 \times GL_1 | a^2 = b^2\} \subset GL_2 \times GL_2 \subset GL_4$ 

where the  $Z(\hat{G}) = GL_1$  embeds diagonally.

• We see that  $\pi_0(S_{\phi}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The *L*-packet of  $\Pi_{\phi}(GSp_4) = \{\pi^+, \pi^-\}$  is indexed by the reps  $\tau_{\pi^+}$  and  $\tau_{\pi^-}$  of  $S_{\phi}$  defined by the trivial and non-trivial character of  $\pi_0(S_{\phi})$ .

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

• The *L*-packet  $\Pi_{\phi}(GU_2(D)) = \{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$  is indexed by the representations  $\tau_{\rho_1}$  and  $\tau_{\rho_2}$  corresponding to projection to the two  $GL_1$ s.

#### Definition

Given  $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi}(GSp_4)$  and  $\rho \in \Pi_{\phi}(GU_2(D))$ , we set:

$$\delta_{\pi,\rho} := \tau_{\pi}^{\vee} \otimes \tau_{\rho}$$

where  $\tau_{\pi}^{\vee}$  denotes the contragradient.

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

With these identifications pinned down, we can now write the down the RHS of the Kottwitz conjecture:

$$-\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_{\phi_{\rho}}(G)}Hom_{S_{\phi_{\rho}}}(\delta_{\pi,\rho},std\circ\phi_{\rho})\pi$$

with  $\delta_{\pi,\rho}$  as above.

#### Corollary

If  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  has a stable supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$ , we have that:

 $[R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,\mu,b)[\rho]] = -Hom_{id}(id,std\circ\phi_\rho)\pi = -4\pi$ 

where  $\Pi_{\phi_{\rho}}(GSp_4) = \{\pi\}.$ 

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

## Applications

### Corollary

If  $\rho = \rho_i \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  has endoscopic Gan-Tantono parameter, we have that  $[R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,\mu,b)[\rho]]$  is equal to:

$$-Hom_{S_{\phi\rho}}(\tau_{\rho_i}, std \circ \phi_{\rho})\pi^+ + -Hom_{S_{\phi\rho}}(\tau_{\rho_{3-i}}, std \circ \phi_{\rho})\pi^-$$

Writing  $std \circ \phi \simeq \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$  this identifies with:

$$-Hom_{id}(id,\phi_i)\pi^+ + -Hom_{id}(id,\phi_{3-i})\pi^- = -2\pi^+ + -2\pi^-$$

where  $\Pi_{\phi_{\rho}} = \{\pi^+, \pi^-\}.$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Theorem (H.)

- For any  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  such that the Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$  is supercuspidal,  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$  is concentrated in degree 3 and is isomorphic to  $R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G, \mu, b)[\rho]$ .
- If  $\rho$  is stable supercuspidal, we have an isomorphism of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$  representations:

$$H^{3}(R\Gamma_{c}(G, b, \mu)[\rho]) \simeq \pi \boxtimes std \circ \phi_{\rho} \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

If  $\rho = \rho_i$  is endoscopic, we have an isomorphism of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$  representations:

 $H^3(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]) \simeq \pi^+ \boxtimes \phi_i \otimes |\cdot|^{-3/2} \oplus \pi^- \boxtimes \phi_{3-i} \otimes |\cdot|^{-3/2}$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

#### Proof.

We know from our previous result that the supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$  is the same as the Fargues-Scholze parameter. The geometry of the Fargues-Scholze construction gives us information about the sheaves associated to the representations with such parameters.

#### Definition

For G any connected reductive group, we let  $j: Bun_G^1 \hookrightarrow Bun_G$ be the open immersion as before. We say a representation  $\rho \in \Pi(G)$  is inert if the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{\rho}$  satisfies that the natural map:

$$j_!(\mathcal{F}_{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} R j_*(\mathcal{F}_{\rho})$$

is an isomorphism.

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Theorem (Fargues-Scholze)

If  $\rho$  has supercuspidal Fargues-Scholze parameter then  $\rho$  is inert.

#### Proof.

If  $(Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}(GSp_4), b, \mu)$  is the local Shimura datum from before, the dual Shimura datum is given by  $(Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}(GU_2(D)), \hat{b}, \mu^{-1})$ . Applying our key isomorphism, we get:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq$$

 $R\Gamma_{c}(Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(GU_{2}(D)), \mu^{-1}, \hat{b})[\rho][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq (j_{\hat{b}} \times id)^{*}T_{\mu^{-1}}j_{!}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho})$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

#### Proof.

We now apply Verdier duality to both sides of:

$$R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq (j_{\hat{b}} \times id)^* T_{\mu^{-1}} j_!(\mathcal{F}_{\rho})$$

The RHS becomes isomorphic to:

 $\mathbb{D}(j_{\hat{b}} \times id)^* T_{\mu^{-1}} j_!(\mathcal{F}_{\rho}) \simeq (j_{\hat{b}} \times id)^* T_{\mu^{-1}} j_!(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{F}_{\rho})) \simeq (j_{\hat{b}} \times id)^* T_{\mu^{-1}} j_!(\mathcal{F}_{\rho^*}))$ 

$$\simeq R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho^*][d](\frac{d}{2})$$

while the LHS is acted on by Verdier duality on  $Sh(G, \mu, b)_{\infty}$ , which is a cohomologically smooth diamond of dimension d and so the dualizing object is isomorphic to  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}[2d](d)$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

#### Proof.

This allows us to deduce that:

 $R\mathcal{H}om(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho],\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho^*][2d](d)$ 

In particular, we have a natural  $W_L$ -equivariant isomorphism of admissible  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations for all  $0 \le i \le 2d$ :

 $H^{i}(R\Gamma_{c}(G,b,\mu)[\rho])^{*} \simeq H^{2d-i}(R\Gamma_{c}(G,b,\mu)[\rho^{*}])(d)$ 

Moreover, the local Shimura datum occurs in the basic uniformization of a global Shimura variety. Applying global vanishing results for (g, K)-cohomology with regular weights and the previous duality theorem, one can show that this must be concentrated in middle degree 3.

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

#### Proof.

We note that:

 $R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho^*][2d](d)\simeq$ 

 $R\mathcal{H}om(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho],\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = R\mathcal{H}om(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{H}(J_b)}\rho,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ 

 $\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu),\rho^*) = R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,\mu,b)[\rho^*][2d](d)$ 

 In particular, after taking contragradients and moving the shifts/Tate-Twists, we get:

 $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho] \simeq R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Proof.

- Assume  $\phi_{\rho}$  is stable supercuspidal, for simplicity.
- We have, by Kaletha-Weinstein and the previous results, that:

$$[H^3(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho])] = -4\pi$$

where  $\{\pi\} = \prod_{\phi_{\rho}} (GSp_4).$ 

If ω denotes the central character of ρ, RΓ<sub>c</sub>(G, b, μ)[ρ] is a complex of admissible G(Q<sub>p</sub>) representations with central character ω.

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

#### Proof.

 π is supercuspidal, so it is injective/projective in the category of admissible representations with fixed central character. Therefore, as G(Q<sub>p</sub>)-representations:

 $H^3(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc}) = H^3(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]) \simeq \pi^{\oplus 4}$ 

• However, our previous proposition tells us that  $H^3(R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc})$  is  $std \circ \phi_\rho \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ -isotypic.

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

• What about  $G = GSp_4$ ? Basic uniformization is not known to hold in this case because  $GU_2(D)$  is non-split.

### Theorem (H.)

For  $\pi\in \Pi(GSp_4)$  with supercuspidal Gan-Takeda parameter  $\phi_\pi,$  we have that:

$$\phi_{\pi} = \phi_{\pi}^{FS}$$

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Proof.

Our key isomorphism tells us that:

$$R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi][d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq (j_b \times id)^* T_\mu j_!(\mathcal{F}_\pi)$$

- The excursion algebra commutes with Hecke operators/restriction to HN-strata. Therefore, it acts on the LHS via the parameter φ<sup>FS</sup><sub>π</sub>.
- However, we have:

 $R\mathcal{H}om(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\pi],\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,b,\mu)[\pi^*][2d](d)$ 

 $\Box$  Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

### Applications

#### Proof.

Applying Kaletha-Weinstein, will tell us that the excursion algebra acts on the LHS of our key isomorphism as:

$$\phi_{\rho}^{FS} = \phi_{\rho} = \phi_{\pi}$$

where the equalities follow by the character identities and our previous theorem. Therefore,  $\phi_{\pi} = \phi_{\pi}^{FS}$ .

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

By applying formal properties from the Fargues-Scholze construction, together with our previous results we can deduce:

Theorem (H.)

- Assume  $\pi \in \Pi(G)$  has supercuspidal Gan-Takeda parameter  $\phi_{\pi}$ .
- Then  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\pi]$  is concentrated in middle degree 3.
- If  $\phi_{\pi}$  is stable supercuspidal, then the middle cohomology is isomorphic to:

$$\rho \boxtimes std \circ \phi_{\pi} \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

as a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$  representation, where  $\{\rho\} = \prod_{\phi_{\pi}} (GU_2(D))$ .

Compatibility for  $G = GSp_4$  and  $GU_2(D)$  and Applications

# Applications

### Theorem (H.)

If  $\phi_{\pi}$  is endoscopic and  $\pi = \pi^+$  then the middle cohomology is isomorphic to:

$$\rho_1 \boxtimes \phi_1 \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \oplus \rho_2 \boxtimes \phi_2 \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

and if  $\pi = \pi^-$  then it is isomorphic to:

$$\rho_1 \boxtimes \phi_2 \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \oplus \rho_2 \boxtimes \phi_1 \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

where  $std \circ \phi_{\pi} \simeq \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ .

Proof of the Key Proposition

### Table of Contents

### 1 The Main Theorem

**2** The Fargues-Scholze LLC and compatibility for  $G = GL_n$ 

### 3 Compatibility for $G = GSp_4$ and $GU_2(D)$ and Applications

### 4 Proof of the Key Proposition

We will now discuss the proof of the key Proposition:

### Proposition (H)

- Assume that  $\rho \in \Pi(GU_2(D))$  has supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$ .
- Let  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  denote the sub-complex where  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acts via a supercuspidal representation.
- $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  is non-zero concentrated in degree 3 and is  $std \circ \phi_\rho \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ -isotypic as a  $W_L$ -representation.
- $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  carries a non-degenerate  $W_L$ -invariant symplectic similitude form.

## **Basic Uniformization**

The key idea will be to use basic uniformization to apply global results:

- $\blacksquare$  Let  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$  be a connected reductive group over the rational numbers.
- We let  $(\mathbf{G}, X)$  be a Shimura datum of abelian type.
- We set  $G = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and, using the isomorphism  $j : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , we regard  $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$  as a conjugacy class of cocharacters

$$\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \to G_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$$

and consider the Kottwitz set  $B(G, \mu)$ .

• We let  $b \in B(G, \mu)$  be the unique basic element and let  $J_b$  be the  $\sigma$ -centralizer of b.

## **Basic Uniformization**

- For any compact open  $K \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}^f)$ , we let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_K$  be the rigid analytic global Shimura variety over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of level K.
- We write  $K = K^p K_p$  for compact opens  $K_p \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $K^p \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}^{f,p}).$

We define:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p} = \lim_{K_p \to \{1\}} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p K_p}$$

This is representable by a perfectoid space after completing the structure sheaf.

## **Basic Uniformization**

By results of Shen, there exists a canonical G(Q<sub>p</sub>)-equivariant Hodge-Tate period map:

$$\pi_{HT}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p} \to \mathcal{F}\ell_{G,\mu}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}\ell_{G,\mu} := (G_{\mathbb{C}_p}/P_{\mu})^{ad}$ .

For  $b \in B(G, \mu)$ , we define the Newton strata  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p}^b$  by pulling back the Newton strata  $\mathcal{F}\ell^b_{G,\mu}$  along  $\pi_{HT}$ .

Proof of the Key Proposition

# **Basic Uniformization**

### Definition

We say a global Shimura datum  $(\mathbf{G}, X)$  satisfies basic uniformization at p if there exists a unique up to isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}$ -inner form  $\mathbf{G}'$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfying:

$$\label{eq:G_A} \blacksquare \ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{p\infty\}}}' \simeq \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}^{\{p\infty\}}} \text{ as algebraic groups over } \mathbb{A}^{\{p\infty\}}$$

2 
$$\mathbf{G}'_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \simeq J_b$$

**3**  $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{R})$  is compact modulo center.

such that ...

# **Basic Uniformization**

### Definition

There is a  $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}^{f})$ -equivariant isomorphism of diamonds over  $\mathbb{C}_{p}$ :

$$\lim_{K^p} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p}^b \simeq \underline{\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{Q})} \setminus \underline{\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^f)} \times_{Spd(\mathbb{C}_p)} Sh(G, \mu, b)_{\infty} / \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

such that

$$\pi_{HT} : \lim_{K^p} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)^b_{K^p} \to \mathcal{F}\ell^b_{G,\mu} \simeq Sh(G, \mu, b)_{\infty} / \underline{J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

agrees with projection to the second factor. Moreover, we assume this descends to an isomorphism of diamonds over  $\breve{E}$ , where E is the reflex field of  $\mu$ .

Proof of the Key Proposition

### **Basic Uniformization**

### Theorem (Shen)

If  $(\mathbf{G}, X)$  is a Shimura datum of abelian type and p > 2 is a prime where  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is unramified then  $(\mathbf{G}, X)$  satisifies basic uniformization at p.

## **Basic Uniformization**

From now on, we let:

- $F/\mathbb{Q}$  be a totally real field such that:
  - p is totally inert in F and  $F_p \simeq L$ .
- G a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -inner form of  $Res_{F/\mathbb{Q}}GSp_4 =: \mathbf{G}^*$  such that:

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \simeq Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p} GSp_4 = G$$

- Let ξ be the highest weight of an algebraic representation of G.
- The isomorphism  $i : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \simeq \mathbb{C}$  determines a  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$  local system on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p}$ , denoted  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ .
- We now consider the space of Gross's algebraic automorphic forms valued in this algebraic representation:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^f)/K^p,\mathcal{L}_{\xi})$$

## **Basic Uniformization**

Under the assumptions on L and p, we can apply the theorem of Shen to  $({\bf G},X)$  to deduce:

### Corollary

There exists a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$ -invariant map:

$$\Theta: R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu) \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{H}(J_b)} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^f) / K^p, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}) \to$$

 $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G},X)_{K^p},\mathcal{L}_{\xi})$ 

where  $(G, b, \mu) = (Res_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}GSp_4, b, (1, 1, 0, 0))$  is the local Shimura datum from before.

Proof of the Key Proposition

## **Basic Uniformization**

#### Proposition

Let  $R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi})_{sc}$  denote the part of the cohomology where  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  acts via a supercuspidal representation. Then  $\Theta$  induces an isomorphism:

$$\Theta: R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)_{sc} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{H}(J_b)} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^f) / K^p, \mathcal{L}_{\xi, E}) \simeq R\Gamma_c(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^p}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi})_{sc}$$

of  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$ -representations.

Proof of the Key Proposition

## **Global Input**

We assume, for simplicity, that  $[F:\mathbb{Q}]$  is odd. We fix a totally inert prime q in F and assume that G satisifies:

$$\mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq GSp_4(\mathbb{R}) \times GU_2(\mathbb{H})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]-1}$$

**2**  $\mathbf{G}_{F_v} \simeq GSp_4/F_v$  at all finite places v.

## **Global Input**

- We let  $\rho$  be a representation of  $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = GU_2(D)(L)$ , with supercuspidal Gan-Tantono parameter.
- Let G' be the inner form of G furnished by basic uniformization.
- Using the simple trace formula, for sufficiently large ξ, we can choose a globalization of a character twist of ρ to a cuspidal automorphic representation of π' of G' which occurs as a J<sub>b</sub>(Q<sub>p</sub>)-stable direct summand of:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{Q})\backslash\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^f)/K^p,\mathcal{L}_{\xi})$$

the space of algebraic automorphic forms, satisfying the condition that  $\pi'_q$  is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation, where  $K^p$  is hyperspecial away from pq. Let  $S = \{p, q\}$ .

Proof of the Key Proposition

### **Global Input**

• The previous isomorphism then furnishes a  $G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times W_L$ -invariant split injection:

 $\Theta_{\rho}: R\Gamma_{c}(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc} \to R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^{p}}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi})_{sc}$ 

We now prove the following theorem:

### Theorem (H.)

There exists a globally generic cuspidal automorphic representation  $\tau$  of  $\mathbf{G}^* = Res_{F/\mathbb{Q}}GSp_4$  such that:

- At q,  $\tau_q$  is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation.
- At p,  $\tau_p$  has Gan-Takeda parameter  $\phi_{\rho}$ .
- At  $\infty$ ,  $\tau_{\infty}$  is cohomological of weight  $\xi$ .
- $\pi'^S \simeq \tau^S$ .

# **Global Input**

We can then apply the following theorem of Sorensen to the transfer  $\tau:$ 

#### Theorem

There exists, a unique (after fixing an isomorphism  $i : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ ) irreducible continuous representation  $\rho_{\tau} : Gal(\overline{F}/F) \to GSp_4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell})$ characterized by the property that, for each finite place  $v \nmid \ell$  of F, we have:

$$WD(\rho_{\tau,i}|_{W_{F_v}})^{F-s.s} \simeq \phi_{\tau_v} \otimes |\cdot|^{-3/2}$$

where  $\phi_{\tau_v}$  is the semi-simplified Gan-Takeda parameter associated to  $\tau_v$ .
# Global Input

Under the split injection:

 $\Theta_{\rho}: R\Gamma_{c}(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc} \to R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{G}, X)_{K^{p}}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi})_{sc}$ 

will map to the  $\pi'^{p\infty}$ -isotypic part, where  $\pi'^{p\infty}$  is regarded as a representation of  $\mathbf{G}'(\mathbb{A}^{p\infty}) \simeq \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}^{p\infty})$ .

- Kret-Shin show that the  $\pi'^{p\infty}$ -isotypic part is concentrated in degree 3 and compute the traces of Frobenius as a Galois representation in terms of the Langlands parameters of  $\tau$ .
- This allows us to conclude that  $R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}$  concentrated in degree 3 and is  $std \circ \rho_\tau|_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} = std \circ \phi_\rho \otimes |\cdot|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ -isotypic.

# **Global Input**

- It remains to see that RΓ<sub>c</sub>(G, b, μ)<sub>sc</sub> is concentrated in degree non-zero and carries a non-degenerate W<sub>L</sub>-invariant symplectic similitude form.
- Using the identification:

 $R\mathcal{H}om(R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \simeq R\Gamma_c^{KW}(G,b,\mu)[\rho^*]_{sc}[2d](d)$ 

We can apply the work of Kaletha-Weinstein to conclude this is non-zero.

### The Zelevinsky Involution

• We consider the Zelevinsky involution:

 $Zel: D(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \to D(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ 

 $A \mapsto R\mathcal{H}om_{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}(A, \mathcal{H}(G))$ 

Fargues-Scholze extend this to an involution:

$$\tilde{Zel}: D(Bun_G) \to D(Bun_G)$$

which induces the Zelevinsky involution on the basic HN-strata under the function-sheaf dictionary and commutes with Hecke operators.

T

### The Zelevinsky Involution

With this, and our key isomorphism, one can deduce the existence of an isomorphism:

$$Zel(R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho][d](\frac{d}{2})) \simeq R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[Zel(\rho)][d](\frac{d}{2})$$

which induces an isomorphism:

$$Zel(R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2})) \simeq R\Gamma_c(G, b, \mu)[Zel(\rho)]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2})$$
  
hen we have an identification:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[Zel(\rho)]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2}) \simeq R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho^*]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2})$$

However, we have  $\rho^* \simeq \rho \otimes \chi$ , where  $\chi$  is a character. So we get a non-degenerate  $W_L$ -invariant pairing:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2}) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G)}^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho \otimes \chi]_{sc}[d](\frac{d}{2}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$$

### The Zelevinsky Involution

Using work of Chen on the connected components of  $Sh(G,\mu,b)_\infty$  , we can see that:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho\otimes\chi]_{sc}\simeq R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc}\otimes\chi$$

Thus, we get a non-degenerate  $W_L$ -invariant pairing of complexes:

$$R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} R\Gamma_c(G,b,\mu)[\rho]_{sc} \to \chi^{-1}[-2d](-d)$$

which induces the desired non-degenerate  $W_L$ -invariant symplectic similitude pairing in degree 3 = d.

Compatibility of the Fargues-Scholze and Gan-Takeda Local Langlands Correspondences

Proof of the Key Proposition

#### Thanks

I would like to thank:

- David Hansen for the invitation and the project!
- All of you for attending the lecture!