Moduli theory of Lagrangian immersions and mirror symmetry

> Siu-Cheong Lau Boston University

Decemeber 2017

Joint work with Cheol-Hyun Cho and Hansol Hong

Section 1

Overview

Moduli theory in the B-side

 Moduli theory for vector bundles has been developed into a deep theory.

Theorem (Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- Bridgeland developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- Toda developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- ► How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Moduli theory in the B-side

 Moduli theory for vector bundles has been developed into a deep theory.

Theorem (Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- Bridgeland developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- Toda developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- ► How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Moduli theory in the B-side

 Moduli theory for vector bundles has been developed into a deep theory.

Theorem (Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau)

A slope-semistable holomorphic vector bundle admits a Hermitian Yang-Mills metric.

- GIT and stability conditions were essential to the construction.
- Bridgeland developed a general mathematical theory of stability conditions for triangulated categories.
- Toda developed foundational techniques to construct Bridgeland stability conditions for derived categories of coherent sheaves.
- Moduli spaces undergo birational changes (such as flops) in a variation of stability conditions.
- How about moduli of Lagrangians in the mirror A-side?

- Complexification. The classical moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities [Hitchin,McLean].
 Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- Quantum correction. The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by Kontsevich-Soibelman and Gross-Siebert.
- Landau-Ginzburg model. The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions. It can also be noncommutative in general [Cho-Hong-L.].
- Singular Lagrangians. Kontsevich proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. Nadler is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

- Complexification. The classical moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities [Hitchin,McLean].
 Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- Quantum correction. The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by Kontsevich-Soibelman and Gross-Siebert.
- Landau-Ginzburg model. The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions. It can also be noncommutative in general [Cho-Hong-L.].
- Singular Lagrangians. Kontsevich proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. Nadler is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

- Complexification. The classical moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities [Hitchin,McLean].
 Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- Quantum correction. The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by Kontsevich-Soibelman and Gross-Siebert.
- Landau-Ginzburg model. The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions. It can also be noncommutative in general [Cho-Hong-L.].
- Singular Lagrangians. Kontsevich proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. Nadler is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.

- Complexification. The classical moduli spaces are affine manifolds with singularities [Hitchin,McLean].
 Complexification is needed in order to compactify. Technically we need to work over the Novikov ring.
- Quantum correction. The canonical complex structures need to be corrected using Lagrangian Floer theory [Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono]. The combinatorial structure of quantum corrections for SYZ fibrations was deeply studied by Kontsevich-Soibelman and Gross-Siebert.
- Landau-Ginzburg model. The moduli in general are singular varieties. They are described as critical loci of holomorphic functions. It can also be noncommutative in general [Cho-Hong-L.].
- Singular Lagrangians. Kontsevich proposed to study them using cosheaves of categories. Nadler is developing a theory of arboreal singularities.



 Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by Akaho-Joyce.

- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- It is hoped that every object in the Fukaya category can be represented by a Lagrangian immersion. If so we do not need to worry about singular Lagrangians.



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by Akaho-Joyce.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- It is hoped that every object in the Fukaya category can be represented by a Lagrangian immersion. If so we do not need to worry about singular Lagrangians.



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by Akaho-Joyce.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- It is hoped that every object in the Fukaya category can be represented by a Lagrangian immersion. If so we do not need to worry about singular Lagrangians.



- Lagrangian immersions have a well-defined Floer theory by Akaho-Joyce.
- They are the main sources of wall-crossing phenomenon in the SYZ setting.
- The deformation space of a Lagrangian immersion is 'bigger' than its smoothing and covers a local family of Lagrangians (including singular Lagrangians).
- It is hoped that every object in the Fukaya category can be represented by a Lagrangian immersion. If so we do not need to worry about singular Lagrangians.

- Strominger-Yau-Zaslow proposed that mirror symmetry can be understood as dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- It leads to many exciting developments. Gross-Siebert, Leung, Auroux, Chan ...
- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $CF(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- ► **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- ► **Abouzaid** constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We construct mirror geometry as the moduli space of stable Lagrangian immersions which are not necessarily tori. This generalizes the SYZ setting.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions, and obtain the gluing maps from cocycle conditions. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)

- Strominger-Yau-Zaslow proposed that mirror symmetry can be understood as dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- It leads to many exciting developments. Gross-Siebert, Leung, Auroux, Chan ...
- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $CF(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- ► **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- ► **Abouzaid** constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We construct mirror geometry as the moduli space of stable Lagrangian immersions which are not necessarily tori. This generalizes the SYZ setting.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions, and obtain the gluing maps from cocycle conditions. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)

- Strominger-Yau-Zaslow proposed that mirror symmetry can be understood as dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- It leads to many exciting developments. Gross-Siebert, Leung, Auroux, Chan ...
- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $CF(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- ► **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- Abouzaid constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We construct mirror geometry as the moduli space of stable Lagrangian immersions which are not necessarily tori. This generalizes the SYZ setting.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions, and obtain the gluing maps from cocycle conditions. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)

- Strominger-Yau-Zaslow proposed that mirror symmetry can be understood as dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- It leads to many exciting developments. Gross-Siebert, Leung, Auroux, Chan ...
- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $CF(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- ► **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- Abouzaid constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We construct mirror geometry as the moduli space of stable Lagrangian immersions which are not necessarily tori. This generalizes the SYZ setting.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions, and obtain the gluing maps from cocycle conditions. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)

- Strominger-Yau-Zaslow proposed that mirror symmetry can be understood as dual special Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- It leads to many exciting developments. Gross-Siebert, Leung, Auroux, Chan ...
- **Fukaya** proposed to study mirror symmetry by using $CF(L_b, \cdot)$ for fibers L_b of a Lagrangian torus fibration.
- ► **Tu** took this approach to construct mirror spaces away from singular fibers.
- Abouzaid constructed family Floer functors for torus bundles and showed that the functor is fully faithful.
- We construct mirror geometry as the moduli space of stable Lagrangian immersions which are not necessarily tori. This generalizes the SYZ setting.
- Instead of Fukaya trick, we consider pseudo-isomorphisms between Lagrangian immersions, and obtain the gluing maps from cocycle conditions. (In particular we do not need diffeomorphisms.)

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- ▶ We consider *'pseudo-isomorphisms'*. They provide gluings between the local *'pseudo* deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- ▶ We consider *'pseudo-isomorphisms'*. They provide gluings between the local 'pseudo deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- ▶ We consider *'pseudo-isomorphisms'*. They provide gluings between the local *'pseudo* deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- We consider 'pseudo-isomorphisms'. They provide gluings between the local 'pseudo deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- ► We consider *'pseudo-isomorphisms'*. They provide gluings between the local 'pseudo deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.

- The moduli space is given as a superpotential W defined on {Stable formally deformed immersed Lagrangians in a fixed phase} / {Isomorphisms in the Fukaya category} (in place of the set of special Lagrangians).
- Formal deformations are given by flat C[×]-connections or smoothings of immersed points. They are required to be weakly unobstructed so that W is well-defined.
- Isomorphisms between objects in the Fukaya category are defined by counting holomorphic strips.
- We consider 'pseudo-isomorphisms'. They provide gluings between the local 'pseudo deformation spaces'.
- ► W is the superpotential given by counting holomorphic discs. The moduli is the critical locus of W.
- Stable Lagrangians are essentially special Lagrangians with respect to a meromorphic top-form.



- ► Consider P¹ equipped with the meromorphic top-form dz/z. The S¹-moment map gives a special Lagrangian fibration.
- Fibers are stable. We can also perturb it by Hamiltonian, which is still stable.
- ▶ {(fibers,flat U(1)-connections ∇^t)} = $(0, 1) \times S^1$ as sets.

- 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本 - 1 日本

Don't have relations between different fibers yet!



- ► Consider P¹ equipped with the meromorphic top-form dz/z. The S¹-moment map gives a special Lagrangian fibration.
- Fibers are stable. We can also perturb it by Hamiltonian, which is still stable.
- ▶ {(fibers,flat U(1)-connections ∇^t)} = $(0,1) \times S^1$ as sets.

Don't have relations between different fibers yet!



- ► Consider P¹ equipped with the meromorphic top-form dz/z. The S¹-moment map gives a special Lagrangian fibration.
- Fibers are stable. We can also perturb it by Hamiltonian, which is still stable.
- {(fibers, flat U(1)-connections ∇^t)} = $(0, 1) \times S^1$ as sets.

Don't have relations between different fibers yet!



- ► Consider P¹ equipped with the meromorphic top-form dz/z. The S¹-moment map gives a special Lagrangian fibration.
- Fibers are stable. We can also perturb it by Hamiltonian, which is still stable.
- {(fibers, flat U(1)-connections ∇^t)} = $(0, 1) \times S^1$ as sets.
- Don't have relations between different fibers yet!



- Enlarge the local deformation spaces: $\mathbf{S}^1 = \{ \text{ flat } U(1)\text{-conn.} \} \subset \Lambda_0^* \subset \Lambda^* \text{ where}$ $\Lambda = \{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : A_0 \le A_1 \le \ldots \}.$
- 'Pseudo-deformations': flat Λ*-connections. 'Pseudo' because they are invalid in the Fukaya category.
- The two intersection points (α, β) between a Hamiltonian-perturbed fiber with a neighboring fiber provide a 'pseudo-isomorphism'.
- *m*₁(α) = 0 gives t = T^At' where A is the area of the cylinder bounded by the two fibers. t is forced to be Λ*-valued.



► Enlarge the local deformation spaces: $\mathbf{S}^1 = \{ \text{ flat } U(1)\text{-conn.} \} \subset \Lambda_0^* \subset \Lambda^* \text{ where} \}$

$$\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}.$$

- 'Pseudo-deformations': flat Λ*-connections. 'Pseudo' because they are invalid in the Fukaya category.
- The two intersection points (α, β) between a Hamiltonian-perturbed fiber with a neighboring fiber provide a 'pseudo-isomorphism'.
- *m*₁(α) = 0 gives t = T^At' where A is the area of the cylinder bounded by the two fibers. t is forced to be Λ*-valued.



Enlarge the local deformation spaces:

$$\mathbf{S}^1 = \{ \text{ flat } U(1)\text{-conn.} \} \subset \Lambda_0^* \subset \Lambda^* \text{ where }$$

$$\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}.$$

- 'Pseudo-deformations': flat Λ*-connections. 'Pseudo' because they are invalid in the Fukaya category.
- The two intersection points (α, β) between a Hamiltonian-perturbed fiber with a neighboring fiber provide a 'pseudo-isomorphism'.
- *m*₁(α) = 0 gives t = T^At' where A is the area of the cylinder bounded by the two fibers. t is forced to be Λ*-valued.



Enlarge the local deformation spaces:

$$\mathbf{S}^1 = \{ \text{ flat } U(1)\text{-conn.} \} \subset \Lambda_0^* \subset \Lambda^* \text{ where }$$

$$\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}.$$

- 'Pseudo-deformations': flat Λ*-connections. 'Pseudo' because they are invalid in the Fukaya category.
- The two intersection points (α, β) between a Hamiltonian-perturbed fiber with a neighboring fiber provide a 'pseudo-isomorphism'.
- *m*₁(α) = 0 gives t = **T**^At' where A is the area of the cylinder bounded by the two fibers. t is forced to be Λ*-valued.



Enlarge the local deformation spaces:

$${f S}^1=\{ ext{ flat } U(1) ext{-conn.}\}\subset {f \Lambda}^*_0\subset {f \Lambda}^*$$
 where

$$\Lambda = \{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^{A_i} : A_0 \leq A_1 \leq \ldots\}.$$

- 'Pseudo-deformations': flat Λ*-connections. 'Pseudo' because they are invalid in the Fukaya category.
- The two intersection points (α, β) between a Hamiltonian-perturbed fiber with a neighboring fiber provide a 'pseudo-isomorphism'.
- *m*₁(α) = 0 gives t = T^At' where A is the area of the cylinder bounded by the two fibers. t is forced to be Λ*-valued.
- {(stable Lagrangians in fiber class, flat Λ₀^{*}-conn.)}/Isom.

 equals to Λ₀^{*}_{0<val<1} as rigid analytic spaces.

Section 2

Pair-of-pants decompositions

=) \sim (

- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by
 Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee.
- Our focus is on the construction of mirror as the moduli space rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface. We have a class of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure.
- dim = 1 contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

=) \vee (

- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by
 Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee.
- Our focus is on the construction of mirror as the moduli space rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface. We have a class of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure.
- dim = 1 contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by
 Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee.
- Our focus is on the construction of mirror as the moduli space rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface. We have a class of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure.
- dim = 1 contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

=) \bigcirc \lor (

- Homological mirror symmetry was proved for punctured Riemann surface by
 Abouzaid-Auroux-Efimov-Katzarkov-Orlov, Bocklandt, and Heather Lee.
- Our focus is on the construction of mirror as the moduli space rather than HMS. The construction comes with a natural functor which derives HMS.
- Consider a pair-of-pants decomposition of a punctured Riemann surface. We have a class of Lagrangian immersions as shown in the figure.
- dim = 1 contains the essential ingredients. In higher dimensions, Seidel's Lagrangians are replaced by Sheridan's Lagrangians.

Review on local moduli construction



- First consider a pair-of-pants, with Seidel's Lagrangian immersion L.
- $\operatorname{CF}(L, L) = \operatorname{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, X, Y, Z, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \operatorname{pt}\}.$
- We proved that xX + yY + zZ is weakly unobstructed.
- The local moduli is (\mathbf{C}^3, W) , where W = xyz.
- ► The pair-of-pants can be compactified to P¹_{a,b,c}. We used this to construct the mirror, and derived homological mirror symmetry. We also constructed noncommutative deformations. In an ongoing work with **Amorim** we prove closed-string mirror symmetry. For elliptic orbifolds the coefficients of W are modular forms [L.-Zhou].
- In higher dimensions Sheridan used this and proved HMS for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Review on local moduli construction



- First consider a pair-of-pants, with Seidel's Lagrangian immersion L.
- $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{CF}(L,L) = \operatorname{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, X, Y, Z, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \operatorname{pt}\}.$
- We proved that xX + yY + zZ is weakly unobstructed.
- The local moduli is (\mathbf{C}^3, W) , where W = xyz.
- ► The pair-of-pants can be compactified to P¹_{a,b,c}. We used this to construct the mirror, and derived homological mirror symmetry. We also constructed noncommutative deformations. In an ongoing work with **Amorim** we prove closed-string mirror symmetry. For elliptic orbifolds the coefficients of W are modular forms [L.-Zhou].
- In higher dimensions Sheridan used this and proved HMS for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.

Review on local moduli construction



- First consider a pair-of-pants, with Seidel's Lagrangian immersion L.
- $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{CF}(L,L) = \operatorname{Span}\{\mathbf{1}, X, Y, Z, \overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z}, \operatorname{pt}\}.$
- We proved that xX + yY + zZ is weakly unobstructed.
- The local moduli is (\mathbf{C}^3, W) , where W = xyz.
- ► The pair-of-pants can be compactified to P¹_{a,b,c}. We used this to construct the mirror, and derived homological mirror symmetry. We also constructed noncommutative deformations. In an ongoing work with **Amorim** we prove closed-string mirror symmetry. For elliptic orbifolds the coefficients of W are modular forms [L.-Zhou].
- In higher dimensions Sheridan used this and proved HMS for Fermat-type hypersurfaces.



- Consider the four-punctured sphere as shown above.
- ▶ We need to glue the deformation spaces of the two Seidel Lagrangians S₁ and S₂.
- There are two main processes: smoothing and gauge change.
- Let's pretend to work over C at this stage. The gluing we need is

 $(\mathbf{C}^3, W) \stackrel{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^2) \stackrel{\text{gauge change}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^2) \stackrel{\text{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}^3.$



- Consider the four-punctured sphere as shown above.
- ► We need to glue the deformation spaces of the two Seidel Lagrangians S₁ and S₂.
- There are two main processes: smoothing and gauge change.
- Let's pretend to work over C at this stage. The gluing we need is

 $(\mathbf{C}^3, W) \stackrel{\mathrm{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^2) \stackrel{\mathrm{gauge \ change}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^2) \stackrel{\mathrm{smoothing}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbf{C}^3.$



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- ▶ Put a flat C[×] connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by t ∈ C[×] when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_{∞} algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- ▶ There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with a + b = 2.



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- ▶ Put a flat C[×] connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by t ∈ C[×] when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_{∞} algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- ▶ There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with a + b = 2.



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- ▶ Put a flat C[×] connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by t ∈ C[×] when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point *T* is moved across the immersed point *Y*, the *A*_∞ algebras are related by *ỹ* = *ty*.
- ▶ There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with a + b = 2.



- There is a vanishing sphere in a smoothing at an immersed point of S. In this case it is simply the union of two points.
- ▶ Put a flat C[×] connection on the smoothing C, which is acting by t ∈ C[×] when passing through the two points.
- The position of the two points are different for smoothings on the left and on the right.
- When a gauge point T is moved across the immersed point Y, the A_{∞} algebras are related by $\tilde{y} = ty$.
- ▶ There are different ways of moving the gauge points to match them. This results in $\tilde{y} = t^a y$, $\tilde{z} = t^b z$ with a + b = 2.

Smoothing

- ▶ We need to glue the deformation spaces of *S* and *C*.
- ► They are given by (x, y, z) ∈ C³ and (t, y₀, z₀) ∈ C[×] × C² respectively.
- ► Intuitively to match the superpotentials xyz and ty₀z₀, we simply put x = t, y = y₀, z = z₀.
- ► *S* and *C* are disjoint to each other!
- ► We take S₁ to be the deformed Seidel Lagrangian which intersects C as in the figure.
- ▶ Then we use cocycle conditions to deduce the gluing between *S* and *C*.



Smoothing

- ▶ We need to glue the deformation spaces of *S* and *C*.
- ► They are given by (x, y, z) ∈ C³ and (t, y₀, z₀) ∈ C[×] × C² respectively.
- ► Intuitively to match the superpotentials xyz and ty₀z₀, we simply put x = t, y = y₀, z = z₀.
- S and C are disjoint to each other!
- ► We take S₁ to be the deformed Seidel Lagrangian which intersects C as in the figure.
- ► Then we use cocycle conditions to deduce the gluing between *S* and *C*.



Isomorphisms in smoothing

- ▶ We consider $a_1 + b_1 \in CF((C, \nabla_t), (S, xX))$ and $c_2 + d_2 \in CF((S, xX), (C, \nabla_t))$.
- Consider cocycle conditions on a₁ + b₁ and c₂ + d₂. Once the cocycle conditions are satisfied, they give isomorphisms between the two objects.





A paradox



- ▶ S is isomorphic to S_1 , and (S_1, xX) is isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) for $t = x \neq 0$. Thus (S, xX) is isomorphic to C.
- But S and C are disjoint, and hence there is no morphism between them!
- ▶ We need to take a closer look at areas of holomorphic discs.
- Following Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono, we shall use the Novikov ring Λ₀ = {∑_{i=0}[∞] a_i T^{A_i} : 0 ≤ A₀ ≤ A₁ ≤ ...} to filter deformations into different energy levels.

A paradox



- ▶ S is isomorphic to S_1 , and (S_1, xX) is isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) for $t = x \neq 0$. Thus (S, xX) is isomorphic to C.
- But S and C are disjoint, and hence there is no morphism between them!
- ▶ We need to take a closer look at areas of holomorphic discs.
- Following Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono, we shall use the Novikov ring Λ₀ = {∑_{i=0}[∞] a_i T^{A_i} : 0 ≤ A₀ ≤ A₁ ≤ ...} to filter deformations into different energy levels.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on (S₁, x₁X₁) → (C, ∇_t), indeed we have t = T^{A₁+...+A₅-A₇x₁.}
- Note that t ∈ C[×] while x₁ ∈ Λ₀. When A₁ + ... + A₅ > A₇, the condition is never satisfied.
- ► Hence (S_1, x_1X_1) can only be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 \leq A_7$.
- When A₁ + . . . + A₅ = A₇, the change of coordinates x₁ = t does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on (S, xX) → (S₁, x₁X₁), we have x₁ = T^Ax for certain A > 0. Thus val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- ► The two regions val(x₁) = 0 and val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on (S₁, x₁X₁) → (C, ∇_t), indeed we have t = T^{A₁+...+A₅-A₇x₁.}
- Note that t ∈ C[×] while x₁ ∈ Λ₀. When A₁ + ... + A₅ > A₇, the condition is never satisfied.
- ► Hence (S_1, x_1X_1) can only be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 \leq A_7$.
- When A₁ + . . . + A₅ = A₇, the change of coordinates x₁ = t does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on (S, xX) → (S₁, x₁X₁), we have x₁ = T^Ax for certain A > 0. Thus val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- ► The two regions val(x₁) = 0 and val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

Area constraints for isomorphisms



- For the cocycle conditions on (S₁, x₁X₁) → (C, ∇_t), indeed we have t = T^{A₁+...+A₅-A₇x₁.}
- Note that t ∈ C[×] while x₁ ∈ Λ₀. When A₁ + ... + A₅ > A₇, the condition is never satisfied.
- ► Hence (S_1, x_1X_1) can only be isomorphic to (C, ∇_t) if $A_1 + \ldots + A_5 \leq A_7$.
- When A₁ + . . . + A₅ = A₇, the change of coordinates x₁ = t does not involve Novikov parameters.
- For the cocycle conditions on (S, xX) → (S₁, x₁X₁), we have x₁ = T^Ax for certain A > 0. Thus val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 in order to have them to be isomorphic.
- ► The two regions val(x₁) = 0 and val(x₁) ≥ A > 0 are disjoint. This solves the paradox.

A compactification



- ► The gluing x = t, y = y₀, z = z₀ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- ► The new gluing is t = x, y₀ = y₁ + t₁⁻¹, z₀ = z₁ t₁⁻¹. W = xyz - y₁ + x₁ + z₁. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

A compactification



- ► The gluing x = t, y = y₀, z = z₀ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- ► The new gluing is t = x, y₀ = y₁ + t₁⁻¹, z₀ = z₁ t₁⁻¹. W = xyz - y₁ + x₁ + z₁. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

A compactification



- ► The gluing x = t, y = y₀, z = z₀ looks pretty trivial. Let's compactify to get more interesting gluing.
- As an example, compactify the four-punctured sphere to a sphere. we still consider the moduli of double-circles.
- The gluing is further quantum-corrected by discs emanated from infinite divisors.
- ► The new gluing is t = x, y₀ = y₁ + t₁⁻¹, z₀ = z₁ t₁⁻¹. W = xyz - y₁ + x₁ + z₁. (The Novikov parameter is suppressed for simplicity.)
- Unlike the case for anti-canonical divisors, gluing needs to be corrected upon compactification.

Changing stability and flop



- Let's go back to the four-punctured sphere. We can take another pair-of-pants decomposition.
- It corresponds to another choice of a quadratic differential.
- ► This results in a flop of the moduli space (O(-1) ⊕ O(-1), W).
- We can also consider a quadratic differential with double zeros. Then the moduli is the non-commutative resolution of the conifold corresponding to a quiver ([Cho-Hong-L. 15]).
- ► In [Fan-Hong-L.-Yau] we studied a 3d version of this (T^*S^3) .

Changing stability and flop



- Let's go back to the four-punctured sphere. We can take another pair-of-pants decomposition.
- It corresponds to another choice of a quadratic differential.
- ► This results in a flop of the moduli space (O(-1) ⊕ O(-1), W).
- We can also consider a quadratic differential with double zeros. Then the moduli is the non-commutative resolution of the conifold corresponding to a quiver ([Cho-Hong-L. 15]).
- ► In [Fan-Hong-L.-Yau] we studied a 3d version of this (T*S³).

Changing stability and flop



- Let's go back to the four-punctured sphere. We can take another pair-of-pants decomposition.
- It corresponds to another choice of a quadratic differential.
- ► This results in a flop of the moduli space (O(-1) ⊕ O(-1), W).
- We can also consider a quadratic differential with double zeros. Then the moduli is the non-commutative resolution of the conifold corresponding to a quiver ([Cho-Hong-L. 15]).
- ► In [Fan-Hong-L.-Yau] we studied a 3d version of this (T*S³).

Section 3

Wall-crossing in SYZ

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- Fukaya studied this immersion and the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations b = uU + vV where (u, v) ∈ (Λ₀ × Λ₊) ∪ (Λ₊ × Λ₀).
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners U, V, ..., U, V. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- Fukaya studied this immersion and the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations b = uU + vV where (u, v) ∈ (Λ₀ × Λ₊) ∪ (Λ₊ × Λ₀).
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners U, V, ..., U, V. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.



- The two-dimensional immersed sphere leads to wall-crossing phenomenons for SYZ Lagrangian fibrations.
- Fukaya studied this immersion and the relation with the mirror equation. Here we realize it from cocycle conditions.
- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations b = uU + vV where (u, v) ∈ (Λ₀ × Λ₊) ∪ (Λ₊ × Λ₀).
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners U, V, ..., U, V. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.



- It has two degree-one immersed generators U and V. It gives the deformations b = uU + vV where (u, v) ∈ (Λ₀ × Λ₊) ∪ (Λ₊ × Λ₀).
- There are constant holomorphic discs with corners U, V, ..., U, V. To ensure there are only finitely many terms under every energy level, we only allow one of u, v having valuation zero.
- The constant discs with corners U, V, ..., U, V contributing to pt of m₀^b cancel with that with corners V, U, ..., V, U. Thus b = uU + vV is weakly unobstructed.



Consider the immersed sphere S and a Chekanov torus T. S is made by deforming the immersed fiber.

We glue the deformations (u, v) ∈ Λ₀ × Λ₊ with the deformations (x, y) ∈ (C[×] ⊕ Λ₊)² of T.



- We glue the deformations (u, v) ∈ Λ₀ × Λ₊ with the deformations (x, y) ∈ (C[×] ⊕ Λ₊)² of T.
- ► The cocycle conditions give u = y and x = uv 1. Note that the second equation is on the region x = −1 + Λ₊.
- ▶ In other words, CF(T, T) gives an extension of CF(S, S)from $v \in \Lambda_+$ to $v \in \Lambda_0$ with $uv \neq 1$.
- Similarly we can glue the immersed sphere S (with $(u, v) \in \Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0$) with a Clifford torus T'. It is v = y' and x' = uv 1.
- ► The resulting moduli is $\{(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 : uv \neq 1\}$.



- We glue the deformations (u, v) ∈ Λ₀ × Λ₊ with the deformations (x, y) ∈ (C[×] ⊕ Λ₊)² of T.
- ► The cocycle conditions give u = y and x = uv 1. Note that the second equation is on the region x = −1 + Λ₊.
- ▶ In other words, CF(T, T) gives an extension of CF(S, S)from $v \in \Lambda_+$ to $v \in \Lambda_0$ with $uv \neq 1$.
- ► Similarly we can glue the immersed sphere *S* (with $(u, v) \in \Lambda_+ \times \Lambda_0$) with a Clifford torus *T'*. It is v = y' and x' = uv 1.
- ► The resulting moduli is $\{(u, v) \in \Lambda_0 \times \Lambda_0 : uv \neq 1\}$.

Grassmannians



- ▶ With Hansol Hong and Yoosik Kim, we are applying this to construct the compactified mirror of Gr(2, n).
- Flag manifolds have Gelfand-Cetlin systems serving as Lagrangian torus fibrations.
- Immersed spheres are important in that case because they appear as critical fibers of the superpotential.
- ► For instance, by Nohara-Ueda for Gr(2, 4) there is a critical point (0,0) which corresponds to a certain fiber S³ × S¹ of the Gelfand-Cetlin system.
- The trouble is S³ is rigid!

Grassmannians



- Consider the symplectic reduction picture on S². We push in one singular point and consider the corresponding moduli.
- There is one monotone Lagrangian torus above and below the wall respectively.
- ► The deformation spaces (C[×])² of these two tori provide the cluster charts of the mirror. However there is still one point missing, which is crucial since a critical point of W lies there.
- We glue the deformation spaces of an immersed sphere (times T²) with that of the two monotone tori like in the last slide.
- ▶ This recovers the **Rietsch** Lie theoretical mirror for Gr(2, 4).