

## Suggestions - Problem Set 1

**Hastie, 2.5 (a)** This will be similar to that done in class, with use of equation (3.8) in the last line.

Some comments about notation -- see the Notes on matrix notation on the web page for more details. If  $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_p)^T$  is a random vector, then the expression  $V(\mathbf{y})$  is the corresponding *covariance matrix*, with  $i, j$  component

$(V(\mathbf{y}))_{ij} = E[(y_i - \bar{y}_i)(y_j - \bar{y}_j)]$ , where in general we denote  $\bar{a}_i = E(a_i)$  as the mean value of  $a_i$ . However, if  $y$  is a scalar (non-vector) random variable, then the same notation  $V(y) = E[(y - \bar{y})^2]$  represents the variance of  $y$  (now a single number).

A comment about eq. (3.8). We are computing  $V(\hat{\beta})$ , the *covariance matrix* of the random vector  $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p)^T$ . The  $i, j$  entry of this matrix is

$$V(\hat{\beta})_{ij} = E[(\hat{\beta}_i - \bar{\beta}_i)(\hat{\beta}_j - \bar{\beta}_j)].$$

In (3.8), note we are *assuming* we know the  $x$  part of the dataset, i.e., the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , but *not* the  $\mathbf{Y}$  part. We are taking the expectation with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$  but not  $\mathbf{X}$ . Thus appropriate subscripts here would be

$$V(\hat{\beta}) = V_{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}}(\hat{\beta}) = E_{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}}(\hat{\beta} - E_{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}}(\hat{\beta}))^2.$$

We are also given

$$V_{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}; \tag{2}$$

note  $\sigma^2$  is just the constant (non-matrix) variance of the error  $\epsilon$ , while  $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  is now a fixed matrix. We are treating  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)^T$  as a random variable -- this is why  $V(\hat{\beta})$  contains a  $\sigma$  term in (2) above.

In (2.27), we no longer treat  $\mathbf{X} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N \end{bmatrix}$  as a fixed matrix - we treat each data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$

in  $\mathcal{T}$  as a random variable with some unknown but fixed distribution  $p(\mathbf{x})$ , which is why we take expectations over  $\mathbf{X}$  below. We have (why is  $\mathbf{x}_0$  independent of  $\mathbf{X}$ ?):

$$\text{Var}_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{y}_0) = V_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{y}_0) = V_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}_0^T\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{x}_0^T V_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Show (you may use (3.8))

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\beta}) &= E_{\mathcal{T}}[(\hat{\beta} - \bar{\beta})^2] = E_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y}}[(\hat{\beta} - \bar{\beta})^2] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{X}}E_{\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}}[(\hat{\beta} - \bar{\beta})^2] = \sigma^2 E_{\mathbf{X}}[(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus show

$$V_T(\hat{y}_0) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{x}_0 E_{\mathbf{X}}[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] \mathbf{x}_0^T$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EPE}(\mathbf{x}_0) &= E_{y_0|\mathbf{x}_0} E_T(y_0 - \hat{y}_0)^2 \\ &= V(y_0|\mathbf{x}_0) + E_T[\hat{y}_0 - E_T(\hat{y}_0)]^2 + [E_T \hat{y}_0 - \mathbf{x}_0^T \beta]^2 \\ &= V(y_0|\mathbf{x}_0) + V_T(\hat{y}_0) + \text{Bias}^2(\hat{y}_0) \\ &= V(y_0|\mathbf{x}_0) + \sigma^2 \mathbf{x}_0 E_{\mathbf{X}}[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] \mathbf{x}_0^T + \text{Bias}^2(\hat{y}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Why is this the same as (2.27)? Now note  $T = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  contains all of the information in  $\mathbf{X}$  and there is no  $\mathbf{y}$  in the expectation. Why can we replace  $E_{\mathbf{X}}[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}]$  by  $E_T[(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}]$  above?

Final remark: if you compare equation (2.27) with the analogous equation in the class notes, notice the last two squared terms appear in a different order in (2.27). But equation (2.27) is exactly the equation in the notes, specialized to the case of linear regression.

**(b)** We need to compute

$$E_{\mathbf{x}_0} \mathbf{x}_0^T \text{Cov}(X)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_0.$$

Note that  $X = (X_0, \dots, X_p)^T$  is the random vector giving the underlying distribution of the coordinates of a typical input data point  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}$  is one of the points in the training set  $T$ ), and  $\text{Cov}(X)$  is the covariance matrix, i.e.,  $\text{Cov}(X)_{ij} = E[(X_i - E(X_i))(X_j - E(X_j))]$ . Letting  $W = \text{Cov}(X)^{-1}$ , show

$$E_{\mathbf{x}_0} \mathbf{x}_0^T W \mathbf{x}_0 = E_{\mathbf{x}_0} \text{tr}[\mathbf{x}_0^T W \mathbf{x}_0] = E_{\mathbf{x}_0} \text{tr}[W \mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}_0^T] = \text{tr}[W E_{\mathbf{x}_0}(\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{x}_0^T)]$$

$$\text{tr}[W \text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}_0)] = \text{tr}[(\text{Cov } X)^{-1} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}_0)] = p. \quad (3)$$

Why were we allowed to add in the trace above? How was  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$  used? Why are the random vectors  $X$  and  $\mathbf{x}_0$  (also viewed as random) identically distributed? Hence verify the last equality in (3) above.

**Hastie, Problem 2.7 (a)** For the case of linear regression, show

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = \hat{y}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0^T \hat{\beta} = J^T \mathbf{y},$$

where  $J^T = \mathbf{x}_0(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}$ . What are the dimensions of  $J^T$ ? Thus show we can write

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \sum_{i=1} J_i y_i.$$

For  $k$ -nearest neighbors, show

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in N_k(\mathbf{x})} y_i,$$

where  $N_k(\mathbf{x})$  is the collection of  $k$  nearest neighbors in the set  $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ . Show

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{X}) y_i.$$

where

$$\ell_i(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_i \in N_k(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

**(b)** Justify that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{Y|X}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 &= E_{Y|X}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y|X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) + E_{Y|X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 \\ &= (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y|X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 + E_{Y|X}(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y|X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 \end{aligned}$$

notice that the first part of the last expression is fixed and not a random variable.

$$= \text{bias}^2 + \text{Variance}$$

**(c)** Show we have exactly the same expression as above:

$$E_{Y,X}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 = (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y,X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 + E_{Y,X}(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y,X}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2$$

What are the parts?

**(d)** For any random variable  $A(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  depending on random vectors  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ ,

$$E_{Y,X}(A(\mathbf{X}, Y)) = E_{\mathbf{X}}[E_{Y|\mathbf{X}}(A(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}))].$$

Thus show

$$\begin{aligned} E_{Y,\mathbf{X}}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 &= E_{\mathbf{X}}E_{Y|\mathbf{X}}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 \\ &= E_{\mathbf{X}}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y|\mathbf{X}}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 + E_{\mathbf{X}}E_{Y|\mathbf{X}}(\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) - E_{Y|\mathbf{X}}\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))^2 \end{aligned}$$

This gives an alternative to the expression for the same error in **(c)** - try to comment on it.