## **Suggestions - Problem Set 3**

**4.2 (a)** Show the discriminant condition from class or the text takes the form

$$\mathbf{x}^T \Sigma^{-1}(\mu_2 - \mu_1) > rac{1}{2} \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - rac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \ln rac{N_1}{N} - \ln rac{N_2}{N},$$

as desired. We then replace the quantities  $\mu_i$ ,  $\Sigma_i$  by their estimates to get the proper form for this discriminant.

(b) Here using the output notations  $y = -\frac{N}{N_1}$  and  $y = \frac{N}{N_2}$  for classes 1 and 2 respectively, show you want to minimize

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2 = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{\tilde{X}}\tilde{\beta})^2,$$
  
where  $\tilde{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$ , letting  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \mathbf{x}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathbf{\tilde{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_N \ \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix}$ .

In general vectors/matrices with a  $\sim$  on them can represent vectors augmented with 1's (and in some cases 0's).

Use the usual least squares to justify the best choice for  $\tilde{\beta}$ , ie.,

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} = (\widehat{\mathbf{X}}^T \widehat{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{X}}^T \widehat{\mathbf{X}} \ \widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} = \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y}.$$
(1)

Thus

First consider the right hand side, 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y}$$
. Show without loss you can arrange the data so the first  $N_1$  examples  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$  are in the first class and the last  $N_2$  are in the second.

Thus show the right side of (1) becomes:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_N^T \\ \mathbf{X}^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_N^T \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Meantime show

$$\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{y} = -\frac{N}{N_{1}}\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}}\mathbf{x}_{i} + \frac{N}{N_{2}}\sum_{j=N_{1}+1}^{N}\mathbf{x}_{i} = N(\widehat{\mu}_{2} - \widehat{\mu}_{1}).$$

So

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ N(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2)

To calculate the left side of (1), show you can write

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x}_1^T \\ 1 & \vdots \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_{N_1}^T \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_{N_1+1}^T \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \mathbf{x}_N^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{N_1} \mathbf{1}_{N_1}^T \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_1 \, \mathbf{1}_{N_1} \\ \frac{1}{N_2} \mathbf{1}_{N_2}^T \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_2 \, \mathbf{1}_{N_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_N \ \mathbf{M} \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. **M** is the matrix whose first  $N_1$  rows are copies of  $\hat{\mu}_1^T$ , and whose last  $N_2$  rows are copies of  $\hat{\mu}_2^T$ . Here  $\mathbf{1}_N$  is always a column vector of length N with all 1's.

Then show

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N}^{T} \\ \mathbf{X}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N} \ \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N}^{T}\mathbf{1}_{N} & \mathbf{1}_{N}^{T}\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{1}_{N} & \mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N & N_{1}\widehat{\mu}_{1}^{T} + N_{2}\widehat{\mu}_{2}^{T} \\ N_{1}\widehat{\mu}_{1} + N_{2}\widehat{\mu}_{2} & \mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus show

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \hat{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} &= \begin{bmatrix} N & N_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T \\ N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 & \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 \\ \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} N \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 + (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ (N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0 + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Now from the relationship

$$\widehat{\mathbf{y}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{X}} \,\widehat{\widetilde{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_N & \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\beta}_0 \\ \widehat{\beta} \end{bmatrix} = \widehat{\beta}_0 \,\mathbf{1}_N + \mathbf{X}\widehat{\beta},$$

if you average over the entries  $\; \widehat{y}_i \; \text{of} \; \; \widehat{\mathbf{y}},$  show

$$0 = \mathbf{1}_{N}^{T} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} = N \widehat{\beta}_{0} + \mathbf{1}_{N}^{T} \mathbf{X} \widehat{\beta} = N \widehat{\beta}_{0} + (N_{1} \widehat{\mu}_{1} + N_{2} \widehat{\mu}_{2})^{T} \widehat{\beta},$$

so

$$0 = N \widehat{\beta}_0 + (N_1 \widehat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \widehat{\mu}_2)^T \widehat{\beta} ,$$

$$\widehat{eta}_0 = \ - \left( rac{N_1}{N} \widehat{\mu}_1 + rac{N_2}{N} \widehat{\mu}_2 
ight)^T \widehat{eta} \ ,$$

so now

$$\mathbf{\tilde{X}}^{T}\mathbf{\tilde{X}}\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -(N_{1}\widehat{\mu}_{1} + N_{2}\widehat{\mu}_{2})\left(\frac{N_{1}}{N}\widehat{\mu}_{1} + \frac{N_{2}}{N}\widehat{\mu}_{2}\right)^{T}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{X}\,\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

You can write

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})^T (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}) + \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M}$$

But show

$$(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M})^T (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{M}) = (N - 2)\widehat{\Sigma}$$
$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{M} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \mathbf{x}_j \widehat{\mu}_1^T + \sum_{j=N_1+1}^{N_2} \mathbf{x}_j \widehat{\mu}_2^T = N_1 \widehat{\mu}_1 \widehat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \widehat{\mu}_2 \widehat{\mu}_2^T$$
$$\mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{M} = N_1 \widehat{\mu}_1 \widehat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \widehat{\mu}_2 \widehat{\mu}_2^T.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = (N-2)\widehat{\Sigma} + N_1\widehat{\mu}_1\widehat{\mu}_1^T + N_2\widehat{\mu}_2\widehat{\mu}_2^T.$$

So by (3) above, show

 $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \ \hat{\tilde{\beta}}$ 

$$= \left[ \left\{ -(N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2) \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \hat{\mu}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N} \hat{\mu}_2 \right)^T + (N-2) \hat{\Sigma} + N_1 \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2 \hat{\mu}_2 \hat{\mu}_2^T \right\} \hat{\beta} \right].$$
(4)

Now show the bottom term coefficient is

$$-(N_1\hat{\mu}_1 + N_2\hat{\mu}_2)\left(\frac{N_1}{N}\hat{\mu}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N}\hat{\mu}_2\right)^T + (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + N_1\hat{\mu}_1\hat{\mu}_1^T + N_2\hat{\mu}_2\hat{\mu}_2^T$$
$$= (N-2)\hat{\Sigma} + \left[\frac{N_1N_2}{N}\right](\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)(\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)^T$$

$$= (N-2)\widehat{\Sigma} + \left[\frac{N_1 N_2}{N}\right] \Sigma_B \tag{5}$$

Now use (1), (2), (4) and (5).

(c) Show that it follows

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_B \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1) [(\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = [(\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] (\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1),$$

which is in the direction of  $(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$ , since  $[(\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)^T \hat{\beta}]$  is a scalar (why?)

Finally from (4.56), show

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = ((N-2)\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}})^{-1} \left[ N(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1) - \frac{N_1 N_2}{N} [(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1) \right]$$
$$= (\text{scalar}) \cdot \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1).$$
(6)

(d) Changing the coding for the two y values transforms the pair of numbers  $-\frac{N}{N_1}$  and  $\frac{N}{N_2}$  respectively into another pair a and b of possible y values. Show that there is a linear scalar transformation  $y^* = cy + d = f(y)$  such that  $f\left(-\frac{N}{N_1}\right) = a$  and  $f\left(\frac{N}{N_2}\right) = b$ . What are c and d? Now show that if y has only entries  $-\frac{N}{N_1}$  and  $\frac{N}{N_2}$ , then in their places the vector  $\mathbf{y}^* = c\mathbf{y} + d\mathbf{1}_N$  will have a and b respectively.

You wish to show that (6) above still holds. But show we are obtaining the minimizer of equation (4.55) with each  $y_i$  replaced by  $cy_i + d$ . Show that we only need to verify that the direction of  $\hat{\beta}$  is unchanged. First show this holds when each  $y_i$  is replaced by  $cy_i$  (what happens to  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\beta_0$ ?). Now show it holds when each  $y_i$  is replaced by  $y_i + d$  (what happens to  $\beta_{0?}$  Does  $\hat{\beta}$  change?). Finally show that this holds for general c, d.

(e) Now you have  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\beta_0$  and the regression function

$$\widehat{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} .$$

From part (c),  $\hat{\beta} = k \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} (\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_1)$  for some k. Thus show from above that

$$\widehat{\beta}_0 = -\left(\frac{N_1}{N}\,\widehat{\mu}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N}\,\widehat{\mu}_2\right)^T k\widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1).$$

Recall the group targets (y-values) on which we have trained the regression are:

class 1 : 
$$y = -\frac{N}{N_1}$$
; class 2 :  $y = \frac{N}{N_2}$ 

For an input test vector **x**, thus the predicted y will be in class 1 if  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is closer to  $-\frac{N}{N_1}$  than to  $\frac{N}{N_2}$ , and otherwise class 2. Show y should be assigned to class 2 if

$$f(\mathbf{x}) > \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{-N}{N_1} + \frac{N}{N_2} \right)$$
 (7)

Show from above that the criterion for class 2 assignment is:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \left[ -\left(\frac{N_1}{N}\widehat{\mu}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N}\widehat{\mu}_2\right)^T + \mathbf{x}^T \right] k\widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} \left(\widehat{\mu}_2 - \widehat{\mu}_1\right) > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{-N}{N_1} + \frac{N}{N_2}\right)$$

or

$$\mathbf{x}^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \left( \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1 \right) > \left( \frac{N_1}{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1 + \frac{N_2}{N} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 \right)^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \left( \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_2 - \widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_1 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \left( \frac{-N}{N_1} + \frac{N}{N_2} \right).$$

Is this the same as the LDA criterion in (a)? Now assume  $N_1 = N_2 = N/2$  - what happens then?