## Suggestions, PS 1

**3. Reed and Simon I.5.** To show  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y_n)$  exists for any two Cauchy sequences, show first that

$$d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \le d(x_n, x_m) + d(x_m, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m).$$
(1)

Show

$$|d(x_m, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m)| \le d(y_n, y_m)$$

This shows the right side of (1) goes to 0 as  $m, n \to \infty$ . Use this method to show that  $d(x_n, y_n) - d(x_m, y_m) \xrightarrow[m,n\to\infty]{} 0$ . Thus  $\{d(x_n, y_n)\}_n$  form a Cauchy sequence (as a sequence of numbers), and so have a limit. Show that this limit is the same if the Cauchy sequence  $x_n$  is replaced by  $x'_n$  and  $y_n$  is replaced by  $y'_n$ , as long as  $d(x_n, x'_n) \to 0$  and  $d(y_n, y'_n) \to 0$ . This will show that the limit depends only on the equivalence classes of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$ . Thus the collection  $\tilde{M}$  of equivalence classes of sequences is well-defined, and the distance between two elements of  $\tilde{M}$  can now be defined, as these are just two Cauchy sequences (how is this done?). Follow by showing completeness of the space  $\tilde{M}$ . Show that we can identify an element x of M with the sequence consisting only of x's, so that we can identify M as a subset of the new space  $\tilde{M}$ . Why is M dense in  $\tilde{M}$ ?

5. An example of completeness: You may assume the fact from Taylor series that if  $S_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n/n!$ , then  $S_N(x)$  converges to  $e^x$  uniformly on the interval [0, 1]. Note then that  $e^x$  is not a polynomial and hence not in P. You may assume the fact that a sequence of functions can only converge to one function using the distance measure defined by the norm.

6. Some metrics: For  $\rho_2$  you may assume (or prove) that for any f(x),  $\int |f| dx = 0$  iff f = 0 a.e. To show the triangle inequality for  $\rho_3$ , let  $|| f || = \left(\int_0^1 |f|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$ . You need to show

$$\| f_1 - f_3 \| \le \| f_1 - f_2 \| + \| f_2 - f_3 \|$$
.

This is equivalent to (1)

 $\| g_1 + g_2 \| \le \| g_1 \| + \| g_2 \|$ 

for arbitrary  $g_i$ . Without loss we can assume that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are non-negative functions (why?). Now multiply out the integrand defining the left side of (1), and use Hölder's inequality:

(2) 
$$\int |\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g}| d\mathbf{x} \leq \|\mathbf{f}\| \|\mathbf{g}\|.$$

To prove Hölder's inequality, you may first assume that f and g are both positive (by taking absolute values) and that  $\| f \|$  and  $\| g \|$  are both 1, since otherwise we could redefine f and

g by multiplying them by constants so as to make this true and to still have equality (2) (why?). Now use the fact that  $f(x)g(x) \le 1/2(f^2(x) + g^2(x))$  (show this is true for any pair of numbers) to complete the argument.