

## Week 9 Exercises

The notation in the exercises comes from Milne's *Lectures on Etale Cohomology (v2.21)*.

1. Verify the following statements:
  - (a) A finite morphism of schemes is proper.
  - (b) A  $k$ -variety  $X$  is complete if and only if the map  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$  is proper.
2. Each of these examples shows the necessity of one hypothesis in the statement of Corollary 17.8 (which one?).
  - (a) Let  $P = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ . Check that  $H^1(P; \mathcal{F})$  is not finite.
  - (b) Take  $X = \mathbb{A}^1$  over  $k$  separably closed of characteristic  $p \neq 0$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ . Check that statement (b) does not hold for this example.
3. In topology, given a locally compact topological space  $U$  and a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$ , one defines the cohomology groups with compact support by setting

$$\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_Z \Gamma_Z(U, \mathcal{F})$$

where  $Z$  runs over the compact subsets of  $U$ , and defining  $H_c^r(U, -) = R^r\Gamma_c(U, -)$ .

This suggest using the analogous definition in algebraic geometry, where now  $U$  is an algebraic variety,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sheaf on  $U_{\text{et}}$ , and  $Z$  runs over the complete subvarieties  $Z$  of  $U$ .

Convince yourself that this is not the right definition by considering the example where  $U$  is any nonsingular affine curve over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the constant sheaf  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $n$  coprime to  $\text{char}(k)$ .