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## Questions from Rudin:

Chapter 2 - 1, 4,9,10,11

1) To see that the empty set is contained in an arbitrary set $A$, we need to check that every element of the empty set is in $A$. Done! The empty set has no elements.
2) The set of irrational numbers $\mathbb{I}$ is uncountable. Indeed, if $\mathbb{I}$ were countable, then $\mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{Q}$ would be countable as the union of countable sets is countable (Theorem 2.12). But $\mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ is uncountable.

9a) Let $x \in E^{\circ}$. We need to find an open ball centered at $x$ which is entirely contained in $E^{\circ}$. By definition of $E^{\circ}$, there exists some $r>0$ such that $N_{r}(x) \subseteq E$. We claim that $N_{r}(x) \subseteq E^{\circ}$. To see this, let $y \in N_{r}(x)$ and set $h=d(x, y)$. We will check that $N_{r-h}(y) \subseteq N_{r}(x)$. Indeed, if $z \in N_{s}(y)$, then

$$
d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z)<h+r-h=r,
$$

and thus $z \in N_{r}(x)$. Therefore, $N_{r-h}(y) \subseteq N_{r}(x)$ and since $N_{r}(x) \subseteq E$, we deduce that $y$ is an interior point of $E$, that is $y \in E^{\circ}$. Since $y$ was arbitrary, we get that $N_{r}(x) \subseteq E^{\circ}$ and that $E^{\circ}$ is open.

9b) This is essentially the definition. We have that $E$ is open if and only if every point of $E$ is an interior point which is true if and only if $E=E^{\circ}$.

9c) Let $g \in G$. Since $G$ is open, there exists $r>0$ such that $N_{r}(g) \subseteq G \subseteq E$. Thus, $g$ is an interior point of $E$ and $G \subseteq E^{\circ}$.

9d) Since $E^{\circ} \subseteq E$, we have $\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c} \supseteq E^{c}$. Then by Theorem $2.27 \mathrm{c},\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c} \supseteq \overline{E^{c}}$ as $\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c}$ is closed (being the complement of an open set).

To check the reverse inclusion, take $x \in\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c}$. So $x \notin E^{\circ}$. Thus, for every $r$, we have $N_{r}(x)$ is not contained in $E$. That is, there is some $z \in N_{r}(x)$ such that $z$ is not in $E$. Thus $z \in E^{c}$. So we have shown that every neighborhood of $x \in\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c}$ contains a point in $E^{c}$. This means $\left(E^{\circ}\right)^{c} \subseteq \overline{E^{c}}$.

9e) No. Take $E=\mathbb{Q}$. Then $\bar{E}$ equals $\mathbb{R}$. But then $E^{\circ}$ is empty while $(\bar{E})^{\circ}=\mathbb{R}$.
9f) No. Take $E=\mathbb{Q}$. Then $E^{\circ}$ is empty and has empty closure while the closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{R}$.
10) Property 1 is clear. Symmetry is also clear. For the triangle inequality, we need to check

$$
d(x, z) \leq d(x, y)+d(y, z) .
$$

If $x=z$, this is clear as $d(x, z)=0$. If $x \neq z$, then either $y \neq x$ or $y \neq z$. In this case, the left hand side is 1 while the right hand side is at least 1 .
11) $d_{1}$ is not a metric as it fails the triangle inequality. Indeed, $d_{1}(0,1 / 2)=1 / 4$ and $d_{1}(1 / 2,1)=1 / 4$, but $d_{1}(0,1)=1 \geq 1 / 4+1 / 4$.
$d_{2}$ is a metric. The first property and symmetry are clear. For the triangle inequality, we argue as follows. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
(\sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b})^{2}=a+b+2 \sqrt{a b} \geq a+b
$$

and thus

$$
\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}
$$

Now, by the usual triangle inequality, we have

$$
|x-z| \leq|x-y|+|y-z|
$$

and thus

$$
\sqrt{|x-z|} \leq \sqrt{|x-y|+|y-z|} \leq \sqrt{|x-y|}+\sqrt{|y-z|}
$$

where the last inequality is using $\sqrt{a+b} \leq \sqrt{a}+\sqrt{b}$.
$d_{3}$ is not a metric. Indeed $d_{3}(1,-1)=0$ but $1 \neq-1$.
$d_{4}$ is not a metric. Indeed $d_{4}(2,1)=0$ but $2 \neq 1$.

## Additional questions:

1. For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, define

$$
d(x, y)=\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| .
$$

Prove this function (the "taxi-cab" metric) is indeed a metric.
If $d(x, y)=0$ then both $\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|=0$ and $\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|=0$. Thus, $x_{1}=y_{1}, x_{2}=y_{2}$ and hence $x=y$. Symmetry is clear. Also, for $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$,

$$
d(x, z)=\left|x_{1}-z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}-z_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|+\left|y_{1}-z_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right|+\left|y_{2}-z_{2}\right|=d(x, y)+d(y, z)
$$

which gives the triangle inequality.
2. Recall that if $E$ is a subset of a metric space, then $\bar{E}$ denotes the closure of $E$ and $E^{\circ}$ denotes the interior of $E$. If $E=\mathbb{Q}$ thought of as a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with the standard metric, determine $\bar{E}$ and $E^{\circ}$. Justify your answers.

Solution: We have $\bar{E}=\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, consider $N_{\varepsilon}(x)=(x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)$. This interval contains infinitely many rationals as proven in class. Thus $x \in \bar{E}$.
We have $E^{\circ}$ is empty. Indeed, if $x \in E^{\circ}$, then there is some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $N_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. But any such interval is uncountable while $\mathbb{Q}$ is countable.
3. Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a metric space. Prove that

$$
\overline{A \cup B}=\bar{A} \cup \bar{B},
$$

that is, the closure of $A \cup B$ equals the closure of $A$ union the closure of $B$.
Solution: We repeatedly use the fact that if $X \subseteq F$ with $F$ closed, then $\bar{X} \subseteq F$ (Theorem 2.27c).
Clearly, $A \subseteq A \cup B \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$. Then since $\overline{A \cup B}$ is closed, by the above fact, $\bar{A} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$. Likewise, $\bar{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$, and thus $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subseteq \overline{A \cup B}$.
Converse, since both $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are closed, $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ is closed. Then since $A \cup B \subseteq \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$, we have $\overline{A \cup B} \subseteq \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$.
Since we have proven both containments, equality follows.
4. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ be subsets of a metric space. Is it true that

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_{i}} ?
$$

If so, prove it. If not, give a counter-example, and point out what part of your proof of $\# 2$ breaks down.

Solution: This is false. Consider $A_{i}=(-1+1 / i, 1-1 / i)$. Then

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i}}=\overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1+1 / i, 1-1 / i)}=\overline{(-1,1)}=[-1,1]
$$

while

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{A_{i}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{(-1+1 / i, 1-1 / i)}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}[-1+1 / i, 1-1 / i]=(-1,1) .
$$

The above proof uses the fact that the union of two closed sets is closed. However, the union of infinitely many closed sets need not be closed.

