

## The work of Kolyvagin on the arithmetic of elliptic curves

---

The main reference is the paper “The work of Kolyvagin on the arithmetic of elliptic curves” (1989) by Karl Rubin.

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with conductor  $N$ , and fix a modular parametrization

$$\pi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E,$$

which we may assume sends the cusp  $\infty$  to 0.

**Remark.** Here  $X_0(N)$  is the usual modular curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which over  $\mathbb{C}$  is obtained by compactifying the quotient  $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma_0(N)$  of the complex upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$  by the group

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : N|c \right\}.$$

The points of  $X_0(N)$  correspond to pairs  $(A, C)$  where  $A$  is a (generalized) elliptic curve and  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \cong C \subset A$ .

Consider

- an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  in which all primes dividing  $N$  split,
- an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $K$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ,
- $H$  the Hilbert class field of  $K$ ,
- $x_H \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  corresponding to the pair  $(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_K)$ ,
- an embedding of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark.**

$$\mathfrak{a}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z},$$

which follows from the following

**Proposition.** Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain. Let  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  be non-zero fractional ideals of  $R$  with  $\mathfrak{a} \supset \mathfrak{b}$ . Then there is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\frac{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}} \cong \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{b}}.$$

Using the theory of complex multiplication one can show that

$$x_H \in X_0(N)(H).$$

Define

- $y_H = \pi(x_H) \in E(H)$ ,
- $y_K = \mathrm{tr}_{H/K}(y_H) \in E(K)$ ,
- $y = y_K - y_K^\tau \in E(K)$ ,

where  $\tau$  denotes complex conjugation on  $K$ .

**Conjecture.**  $\text{III}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  is a finite square integer.

**Theorem.** (*Kolyvagin*) Suppose  $E$  and  $y$  are as above. If  $y$  has infinite order in  $E(K)$ , then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{III}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  are finite.

**Remark.** Other versions of this theorem also state that  $E(K)$  has rank 1, i.e. the Heegner point  $y \in E(K)$  generates a finite-index subgroup in  $E(K)$ .

**Example.** Take  $E = X_0(11)$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ , so  $N = 11$ ,  $D = -7$ .

```
E = EllipticCurve("11.a2")
K.<a> = QuadraticField(-7)
EK = E.change_ring(K)
E.heegner_point(-7)._trace_numerical_conductor_1()
algdep(E.heegner_point(-7)._trace_numerical_conductor_1()[0], 2)
tx = _.roots(K)[0][0]
#tx.parent()
#tx
yk = EK.lift_x(tx); yk;
y=Pk - EK(Pk[0].conjugate(), Pk[1].conjugate()); y;
y.order();
```

We can also use  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-35})$ .

**Theorem.** (*Gross and Zagier*) With  $E$  and  $y$  as above,  $y$  has infinite order in  $E(K)$  if and only if  $L(E, 1) \neq 0$  and  $L'(E, \chi_K, 1) \neq 0$ , where  $\chi_K$  is the quadratic character attached to  $K$ .

**Remark.**

$$L(E, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}, \quad L(E, s, \chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) a_n n^{-s}.$$

**Definition.** (Kronecker symbol) For every quadratic discriminant  $D$  one can define the **Kronecker symbol**, denoted by  $\chi_D(n)$  or  $\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$ . One can define it by requiring:

1.  $\chi_D$  is completely multiplicative;
2.  $\chi_D(0) = 0$  and  $\chi_D(1) = 1$ ;
3. For every odd prime  $p$ ,  $\chi_D(p)$  is equal to the Legendre symbol at  $p$ ;

4.

$$\chi_D(2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } D \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

5.

$$\chi_D(-1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } D > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } D < 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a quadratic number field of discriminant  $D$ . Then we can define  $\chi_D$ , the **quadratic character attached to  $K$** , using the Kronecker symbol:

$$\chi_K = \chi_D : (\mathbb{Z}/D)^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

**Conjecture.** (Analytic Conjecture) If  $E$  is an elliptic curve and the sign in the functional equation of  $L(E, s)$  is  $+1$ , then there exists at least one imaginary quadratic field  $K$ , in which all primes dividing  $N$  split, such that  $L'(E, \chi_K, 1) \neq 0$ .

This analytic conjecture, together with the theorems of Kolyvagin and Gross-Zagier, would imply:

For any elliptic curve  $E$ , if  $L(E, 1) \neq 0$  then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{III}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  are finite. (This is known for elliptic curves with CM.)

**Notation.** For any abelian group  $A$ ,  $A_n$  will denote the  $n$ -torsion in  $A$  and

$$A_{n^\infty} = \bigcup_i A_{n^i}.$$

We are going to use Galois cohomology. If  $A$  is a module for the appropriate Galois group, we will write

- $H^i(L/F, A)$  for  $H^i(\text{Gal}(L/F), A)$ ,
- $H^i(F, A)$  for  $H^i(\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F), A)$ ,
- $H^i(F, E)$  for  $H^i(F, E(\bar{F}))$ .

### Tools of proof

Fix a prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ . For any completion  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{Q})/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}) & \hookrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_{p^n}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{p^n} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_v & & \downarrow \text{res}_v & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_v) & \hookrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E_{p^n}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E)_{p^n} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and we define the Selmer group  $S^{(p^n)}$  as

$$S^{(p^n)} = \bigcap_v \text{res}_v^{-1}(\text{image } E(E_v)),$$

while the  $p^n$ -torsion in the Tate-Shafarevich group,  $\text{III}_{p^n}$ , fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q})/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow S^{(p^n)} \twoheadrightarrow \text{III}_{p^n}.$$

To prove that  $\text{III}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  is finite, we need to show that

$$\text{III}_p = 0, \quad \text{for almost all primes } p,$$

while for the other primes  $p$  we have that

$$\text{III}_p \subseteq \text{III}_{p^2} \subseteq \text{III}_{p^3} \subseteq \cdots$$

stabilizes.

It suffices to prove that

$$S^{(p)} = 0, \quad \text{for almost all primes } p,$$

while for the other primes  $p$  we have that

$$S^{(p)} \subseteq S^{(p^2)} \subseteq S^{(p^3)} \subseteq \dots$$

stabilizes. We show that in this case the group  $S^{(p^n)}$  is annihilated by a power of  $p$ , which is independent of  $n$ .

For  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$  write  $s_v$  for the inverse image of  $\text{res}_v(s)$  in  $E(\mathbb{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ .

Note that

$$s \in S^{(p^n)} \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E_{p^n}), \quad \text{res}_v(s) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_v, E_{p^n}), \quad s_v \in E(\mathbb{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_v).$$

Our main ingredient in bounding  $\#S^{(p^n)}$  is the following proposition, which is proved using Galois cohomology.

**Proposition 1** Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime such that  $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^n} = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $c_\ell \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}, E)_{p^n}$  satisfies

- $\text{res}_v(c_\ell) = 0, \quad \forall v \neq \ell$
- $\text{res}_\ell(c_\ell)$  has order  $p^{n-k}$ .

Then

$$p^k s_\ell = 0, \quad \forall s \in S^{(p^n)}.$$

We have to construct this cohomology class  $c_\ell$  for sufficiently many  $\ell$ , with  $k$  bounded and usually equal to 0. Kolyvagin constructs such a  $c_\ell$  using Heegner points.

**Notation.** Write

- $\tau$  for the complex conjugation on  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  induced by our embedding of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$
- $[\tau]$  for its conjugacy class in  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

If  $A$  is a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -module with  $A_2 = A/2A = 0$  (i.e. with trivial 2-torsion and where every element is 2-divisible), the action of  $\tau$  gives a decomposition

$$A = A^+ \oplus A^-.$$

Assume  $p \neq 2, 3$  and let  $D_K$  be the discriminant of  $K$ .

**Lemma 2** Suppose the prime  $\ell$  does not divide  $pD_K N$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K(E_{p^r})/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau]$  (i.e. Frobenius lifts to conjugation). Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the reduction of  $E$  modulo  $\ell$  and  $a_\ell = \ell + 1 - \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ . Then

- (i)  $p^r | a_\ell$  and  $p^r | \ell + 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\ell$  is inert in  $K$ ,
- (iii)

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}, \quad (E(K_\ell)_{p^r})^- \cong (\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})_{p^r})^- \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$$

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on  $E_{p^r}$  is  $T^2 - a_\ell T + \ell$ , and the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  acting on  $E_{p^r} = E(\mathbb{C})_{p^r}$  is  $T^2 - 1$ . Comparing these polynomials modulo  $p$  proves (i). The second assertion holds because  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K/\mathbb{Q}) \neq 1$ , and the third because

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong (E_{p^r})^+ \cong E(\mathbb{R})_{p^r}, \quad \text{and } E(K_\ell)_{p^r} \cong (E_{p^r})^+ \oplus (E_{p^r})^-.$$

□

We need to following setup for John's part of the talk:  
Suppose  $\ell$  is a rational prime which remains prime in  $K$  and  $\ell \nmid N$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  the the order of conductor  $\ell$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $x_\ell \in X_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  corresponding to the pair

$$(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_\ell, (\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathcal{O}_\ell)^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_\ell).$$

The theory of complex multiplication shows that

$$x_\ell \in X_0(N)(K[\ell]),$$

where  $K[\ell]$  denotes the ring class field of  $K$  modulo  $\ell$ , the abelian extension of  $K$  corresponding to the subgroup  $K^\times \mathbb{C}^\times \prod_q (\mathcal{O}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q)^\times$  of the ideles of  $K$ . It follows easily that

- $K[\ell]$  is a cyclic extension of  $H$  of degree  $(\ell + 1)/u_K$ , where  $u_K = \#(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)/2$ ,
- $K[\ell]/H$  is totally ramified at  $\ell$  and unramified everywhere else,
- $\tau$  acts on  $\text{Gal}(K[\ell]/K)$  by  $-1$ .

Proposition 3 states what we need to know about Heegner points.