

# SUPERSYMMETRY OF THE CHIRAL DE RHAM COMPLEX

DAVID BEN-ZVI, REIMUNDO HELUANI, AND MATTHEW SZCZESNY

**ABSTRACT.** We present a superfield formulation of the chiral de Rham complex (CDR) [MSV99] in the setting of a general smooth manifold, and use it to endow CDR with superconformal structures of geometric origin. Given a Riemannian metric, we construct an  $N = 1$  structure on CDR (action of the  $N = 1$  super-Virasoro, or Neveu-Schwarz, algebra). If the metric is Kähler, and the manifold Ricci-flat, this is augmented to an  $N = 2$  structure. Finally, if the manifold is hyperkähler, we obtain an  $N = 4$  structure. The superconformal structures are constructed directly from the Levi-Civita connection. These structures provide an analog for CDR of the extended supersymmetries of nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [MSV99], Malikov, Schechtman and Vaintrob introduced a sheaf of vertex superalgebras  $\Omega_M^{ch}$  attached to any smooth complex variety  $M$ , called the *chiral de Rham complex* of  $M$  (see also [GMS04]). If  $M$  is  $n$ -dimensional, the fibers of  $\Omega_M^{ch}$  are isomorphic as vertex superalgebras to a completion of the  $bc - \beta\gamma$  system on  $n$  generators, or in physics terminology, to the tensor product of the bosonic and fermionic ghost systems on  $n$  generators. The sheaf cohomology of  $\Omega_M^{ch}$ ,  $H^*(M, \Omega_M^{ch})$ , also a vertex superalgebra, is related to the chiral algebra of the half-twist of the  $\sigma$ -model with target  $M$ , a quantum field theory associated to  $M$  (see [FL05], [Kap05], [Wit05]). It is shown in [MSV99] that in the holomorphic setting, for arbitrary  $M$ ,  $H^*(M, \Omega_M^{ch})$  carries a conformal structure, and when  $M$  has a global holomorphic volume form,  $H^*(M, \Omega_M^{ch})$  admits  $N = 2$  superconformal symmetry (equivalently, admits the structure of a topological vertex algebra).

In this paper, we present a *superfield* construction of the chiral de Rham complex in the  $C^\infty$  setting, and examine how various geometric structures on a manifold give rise to extra symmetries on  $\Omega_M^{ch}$ . (For the  $C^\infty$  formulation of CDR see [MSV99] and [LL05] – we present a streamlined formulation in Section 5. For a related super-spacetime approach to CDR see [GMS03].) We show that a Riemannian metric on  $M$  gives rise to an  $N = 1$  structure on  $\Omega_M^{ch}$ , i.e. there exist global sections of  $\Omega_M^{ch}$  that generate an  $N = 1$  superconformal vertex subalgebra. The  $N = 1$  structure is constructed from the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . When  $M$  is Ricci-flat and Kähler, this is augmented to an  $N = 2$  structure (quasiclassical limits of the  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  structures on CDR were independently obtained by F. Malikov [Mal05], starting from the Lagrangian for the sigma-model). In this case, choosing holomorphic and anti-holomorphic coordinates on  $M$ ,  $\Omega_M^{ch}$  can be locally written as a tensor product of a holomorphic and anti-holomorphic part. The  $N = 2$  structure splits as a tensor product of two commuting  $N = 2$  vertex algebras, one “holomorphic” and another “anti-holomorphic”. These structures are

slightly different than the ones considered in [MSV99], and agree only in the case when the metric  $g$  is flat.

Finally, we consider the case where  $M$  is a hyperkähler manifold. Recall that a hyperkähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold possessing three isometric complex structures  $I, J, K$ , parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, and satisfying the quaternionic relations:

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = -\text{Id}, \quad IJ = -JI = K$$

The real dimension of  $M$  is then necessarily a multiple of 4, and the above is equivalent to the holonomy group of  $M$  being contained in  $Sp(n, \mathbb{H}) \subset SO(4n, \mathbb{R})$ . We show that in this case, the sheaf  $\Omega_M^{ch}$  carries  $N = 4$  superconformal symmetry, i.e., has an embedding of the  $N = 4$  superconformal vertex algebra.

Our approach relies heavily on the superfield formalism for vertex algebras introduced in [HK] under the moniker of SUSY vertex algebras. In the standard approach to vertex superalgebras one considers *fields* which are endomorphism-valued distributions

$$A(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} A_{(n)} \in \text{End } V[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad A_{(n)} \in \text{End } V$$

where  $V$  is the vertex superalgebra. Let  $\theta$  be an odd formal variable satisfying  $\theta^2 = 0$ . A superfield is an endomorphism-valued distribution of the form

$$A^s(z, \theta) = A(z) + \theta B(z), \quad A(z), B(z) \in \text{End } V[[z, z^{-1}]]$$

The operator products of the two fields  $A(z), B(z)$  are now encoded in the operator product of the single superfield  $A^s(z, \theta)$ . In the standard field approach to vertex superalgebras, the  $N = 4$  superconformal algebra is generated by eight fields. Thus, constructing a representation of this object in terms of free fields involves checking that a very large number of operator products are correct. In the SUSY vertex algebra formalism, the  $N = 4$  superconformal algebra is generated by only four fields, which greatly simplifies the computations involved.

The SUSY vertex algebra formalism also yields a simpler, tensorial description of the chiral de Rham complex. In a coordinate patch  $U$  diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\Omega_X^{ch}(U)$  is generated by sections  $a^i(z), b^i(z), \phi^i(z), \psi^i(z)$ ,  $i = 1 \cdots n$ . Under a change of coordinates,  $b^i(z)$  transforms as a function,  $\phi^i(z)$  as a 1-form,  $\psi^i(z)$  as a vector field, while  $a^i(z)$  transforms in a seemingly complicated, non-linear way (see 4.1.3). In the SUSY formalism, these are combined into two superfields

$$B^i(z, \theta) = b^i(z) + \theta \phi^i(z), \quad \Psi^i(z, \theta) = \psi^i(z) + \theta a^i(z)$$

We show that under a change of coordinates,  $B^i(z, \theta)$  transforms as a function and  $\Psi^i(z, \theta)$  simply as a vector field. In particular the well known cancelation of anomalies necessary for the construction of the chiral de Rham complex becomes an obvious consequence of the superfield formalism.

To construct the desired supersymmetries, we first show that endomorphisms of the tangent bundle give sections of the chiral de Rham complex, and hence (under the state-field correspondence) fields or superfields. The basic  $N = 1$  structure (Neveu-Schwarz current) of CDR on any Riemannian manifold is constructed explicitly out of the metric. Finally, to enhance this to an  $N = 2$  (respectively,  $N = 4$ ) structure on a Calabi-Yau (respectively, hyperkähler) manifold we simply adjoin the superfield associated to the complex structure endomorphism  $I$  (respectively, the superfields associated to  $I, J, K$ ).

The superconformal structures exhibited in this paper are inspired by the well-known supersymmetries of  $\sigma$ -models. Zumino [Zum79] and Alvarez-Gaumé and Freedman [AGF81] showed that nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models on general Riemannian, complex and hyperkähler targets carry  $N = 1, 2, 4$  super-Poincaré symmetries, respectively (see [HKLR87, Fre99, IAS99] for excellent discussions, and [BGL04] for a related recent development). In the  $N = 2$  case, this structure is superconformal when the target is Calabi-Yau. (Note that the quantum  $\sigma$ -model is only conformally invariant, up to higher order terms, when the Ricci curvature vanishes.)

It is interesting to compare the superconformal structures we exhibit with the bundles of superconformal vertex algebras constructed by Tamanoi [Tam99] and Zhou [Zho00]. Note that these bundles of vertex algebras are *linear*, i.e. associated to the (frame bundles of the) tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, and should be compared with the associated graded of our construction with respect to a natural filtration on CDR (which depends on all order jets on the manifold). Note also that [MSV99] endow CDR with an  $N = 2$  structure that is defined for any smooth manifold, coming from the choice of a volume form on that manifold. However this structure is different from ours (and that for the  $\sigma$ -model), which depends directly on the metric, and does not seem to allow for an  $N = 4$  extension in the hyperkähler case.

Finally, the superfield formulation of the chiral de Rham complex is closely related to its geometric formulation as a *factorization algebra* over super-curves, extending the factorization structure over (even) curves described by Kapranov and Vasserot [KV04]. This geometric construction was an initial motivation for this work and we plan to return to it in the future.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the standard approach to vertex superalgebras following [Kac96], and review the  $N = 1, 2, 4$  superconformal vertex algebras in this language. In Section 3 we introduce the formalism of SUSY vertex algebras following [HK], and give several examples, including a description of the  $N = 1, 2, 4$  superconformal vertex algebras in this more compact language. Section 4 recalls the construction of the chiral de Rham complex and gives its simplified superfield description. Section 5 presents a coordinate-free construction of CDR on general smooth manifolds. Section 6 is a brief review of hyperkähler manifolds. Finally, Section 7 contains the construction of the  $N = 1, 2, 4$  structures on the chiral de Rham complex.

**Acknowledgements.** DB would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics for its hospitality. RH would like to thank his advisor Victor Kac. MS would like to thank Edward Frenkel and Vassily Gorbounov for valuable discussions, and RIMS for their hospitality. In June 2005, MS attended a conference at the Erwin Schrodinger Institute, where Fyodor Malikov explained that he had obtained quasi-classical limits of the  $N = 1$  and  $N = 2$  structures on CDR. MS is very grateful for this, as well as other valuable discussions. During this project, DB was supported by a Summer Research Assignment from the University of Texas and MS was supported by NSF grant DMS-0401619.

## 2. VERTEX SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section, we review the definition of vertex superalgebras, as presented in [Kac96], in order to fix notation, and facilitate comparison with the superfield formalism introduced later.

2.1. Given a vector space  $V$ , an  $\text{End}(V)$ -valued field is a formal distribution of the form

$$(2.1.1) \quad A(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-1-n} A_{(n)}, \quad A_{(n)} \in \text{End}(V),$$

such that for every  $v \in V$ , we have  $A_{(n)}v = 0$  for large enough  $n$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A vertex super-algebra consists of the data of a super vector space  $V$ , an even vector  $|0\rangle \in V$  (the vacuum vector), an even endomorphism  $T$ , and a parity preserving linear map  $A \mapsto Y(A, z)$  from  $V$  to  $\text{End}(V)$ -valued fields (the state-field correspondence). This data should satisfy the following set of axioms:

- Vacuum axioms:

$$(2.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(|0\rangle, z) &= \text{Id} \\ Y(A, z)|0\rangle &= A + O(z) \\ T|0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Translation invariance:

$$(2.2.2) \quad [T, Y(A, z)] = \partial_z Y(A, z)$$

- Locality:

$$(2.2.3) \quad (z-w)^n [Y(A, z), Y(B, w)] = 0 \quad n \gg 0$$

(The notation  $O(z)$  denotes a power series in  $z$  without constant term.)

2.3. Given a vertex super-algebra  $V$  and a vector  $A \in V$ , we expand the fields

$$(2.3.1) \quad Y(A, z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-1-j} A_{(j)}$$

and we call the endomorphisms  $A_{(j)}$  the *Fourier modes* of  $Y(a, z)$ . Define now the operations:

$$(2.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [A_\lambda B] &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} A_{(j)} B \\ AB &= A_{(-1)} B \end{aligned}$$

The first operation is called the  $\lambda$ -bracket and the second is called the *normally ordered product*. The  $\lambda$ -bracket contains all of the information about the commutators between the Fourier coefficients of fields in  $V$ .

**2.1. The  $N = 1$ ,  $N = 2$ , and  $N = 4$  superconformal vertex algebras.** In this section we review the standard description of the  $N = 1, 2, 4$  superconformal vertex algebras. In section 3, the same algebras will be described in the SUSY vertex algebra formalism.

**Example 2.4. The  $N = 1$  (Neveu-Schwarz) superconformal vertex algebra**

The  $N = 1$  superconformal vertex algebra ([Kac96]) of central charge  $c$  is generated by two fields:  $L(z)$ , an even field of conformal weight 2, and  $G(z)$ , an odd primary field of conformal weight  $\frac{3}{2}$ , with the  $\lambda$ -brackets

$$(2.4.1) \quad [L_\lambda L] = (T + 2\lambda)L + \frac{c\lambda^3}{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [L_\lambda G] &= (T + \frac{3}{2}\lambda)G \\ [G_\lambda G] &= 2L + \frac{c\lambda^2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$L(z)$  is called the Virasoro field.

**Example 2.5. The  $N = 2$  superconformal vertex algebra**

The  $N = 2$  superconformal vertex algebra of central charge  $c$  is generated by the Virasoro field  $L(z)$  with  $\lambda$ -bracket (2.4.1), an even primary field  $J(z)$  of conformal weight 1, and two odd primary fields  $G^\pm(z)$  of conformal weight  $\frac{3}{2}$ , with the  $\lambda$ -brackets [Kac96]

$$(2.5.1) \quad [L_\lambda J] = (T + \lambda)J$$

$$(2.5.2) \quad [L_\lambda G^\pm] = \left(T + \frac{3}{2}\lambda\right) G^\pm$$

$$(2.5.3) \quad [J_\lambda G^\pm] = \pm G^\pm \quad [J_\lambda J] = \frac{c}{3}\lambda$$

$$(2.5.4) \quad [G^+_\lambda G^-] = L + \frac{1}{2}TJ + \lambda J + \frac{c}{6}\lambda^2 \quad [G^\pm_\lambda G^\pm] = 0$$

**Example 2.6. The “small”  $N = 4$  superconformal vertex algebra**

The even part of this vertex algebra is generated by the Virasoro field  $L(z)$  and three primary fields of conformal weights 1,  $J^0$ ,  $J^+$  and  $J^-$ . The odd part is generated by four primary fields of conformal weight  $3/2$ ,  $G^\pm$  and  $\bar{G}^\pm$ . The remaining (non-vanishing)  $\lambda$ -brackets are (cf [KW04, page 36])

$$(2.6.1) \quad [J^0_\lambda J^\pm] = \pm 2J^\pm \quad [J^0_\lambda J^0] = \frac{c}{3}\lambda$$

$$(2.6.2) \quad [J^+_\lambda J^-] = J^0 + \frac{c}{6}\lambda \quad [J^0_\lambda G^\pm] = \pm G^\pm$$

$$(2.6.3) \quad [J^0_\lambda \bar{G}^\pm] = \pm \bar{G}^\pm \quad [J^+_\lambda G^-] = G^+$$

$$(2.6.4) \quad [J^-_\lambda G^+] = G^- \quad [J^+_\lambda \bar{G}^-] = -\bar{G}^+$$

$$(2.6.5) \quad [J^-_\lambda \bar{G}^+] = -\bar{G}^- \quad [G^\pm_\lambda \bar{G}^\pm] = (T + 2\lambda)J^\pm$$

$$(2.6.6) \quad [G^\pm_\lambda \bar{G}^\mp] = L \pm \frac{1}{2}TJ^0 \pm \lambda J^0 + \frac{c}{6}\lambda^2$$

(Note that the  $J$  currents form an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  current algebra.)

### 3. SUSY VERTEX ALGEBRAS

In this section we collect some results on SUSY vertex algebras (SUSY VAs) from [HK]. Since we only need the case with one odd variable, we will adapt the notation to this case, and avoid the prefix *super* when possible.

#### 3.1. Structure theory of SUSY VAs.

3.1. Let us fix notation first. We introduce formal variables  $Z = (z, \theta)$  and  $W = (w, \zeta)$ , where  $\theta, \zeta$  are odd anti-commuting variables and  $z, w$  are even commuting variables. Given an integer  $j$  and  $J = 0$  or  $1$  we put  $Z^{j|J} = z^j \theta^J$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the universal enveloping algebra of the 1|1 dimensional Lie super-algebra  $[\chi, \chi] = -2\lambda$ , where  $\chi$  is odd and  $\lambda$  is even and central (super-Heisenberg

or Clifford algebra). We will consider another set of generators  $-S, -T$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  where  $S$  is odd,  $T$  is central, and  $[S, S] = 2T$ . Whenever we treat  $\mathcal{H}$  as an  $\mathcal{H}$ -module it will be by the adjoint action. Denote  $\Lambda = (\lambda, \chi)$ ,  $\nabla = (T, S)$ ,  $\Lambda^{j|J} = \lambda^j \chi^J$  and  $\nabla^{j|J} = T^j S^J$ .

Given a super vector space  $V$  and a vector  $a \in V$ , we will denote by  $(-1)^a$  its parity.

3.2. Let  $U$  be a vector space, a  $U$ -valued formal distribution is an expression of the form

$$(3.2.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ J=0,1}} Z^{-1-j|1-J} w_{(j|J)} \quad w_{(j|J)} \in U.$$

The space of such distributions will be denoted by  $U[[Z, Z^{-1}]]$ . If  $U$  is a Lie algebra we will say that two such distributions  $a(Z), b(W)$  are *local* if

$$(3.2.2) \quad (z-w)^n [a(Z), b(W)] = 0 \quad n \gg 0.$$

The space of distributions such that only finitely many negative powers of  $z$  appear (i.e.  $w_{(j|J)} = 0$  for large enough  $j$ ) will be denoted  $U((Z))$ . In the case when  $U = \text{End}(V)$  for another vector space  $V$ , we will say that a distribution  $a(Z)$  is a *field* if  $a(Z)v \in V((Z))$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**Definition 3.3.** An  $N = 1$  SUSY vertex algebra consists of the data of a vector space  $V$ , an even vector  $|0\rangle \in V$  (the vacuum vector), an odd endomorphism  $S$  (whose square is an even endomorphism we denote  $T$ ), and a parity preserving linear map  $A \mapsto Y(A, Z)$  from  $V$  to  $\text{End}(V)$ -valued fields (the state-field correspondence). This data should satisfy the following set of axioms:

- Vacuum axioms:

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(|0\rangle, Z) &= \text{Id} \\ Y(A, Z)|0\rangle &= A + O(Z) \\ S|0\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Translation invariance:

$$(3.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [S, Y(A, Z)] &= (\partial_\theta - \theta \partial_z) Y(A, Z) \\ [T, Y(A, Z)] &= \partial_z Y(A, Z) \end{aligned}$$

- Locality:

$$(3.3.3) \quad (z-w)^n [Y(A, Z), Y(B, W)] = 0 \quad n \gg 0$$

*Remark 3.4.* Given the vacuum axiom for a SUSY vertex algebra, we will use the state field correspondence to identify a vector  $A \in V$  with its corresponding field  $Y(A, Z)$ .

3.5. Given a  $N = 1$  SUSY vertex algebra  $V$  and a vector  $A \in V$ , we expand the fields

$$(3.5.1) \quad Y(A, Z) = \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ J=0,1}} Z^{-1-j|1-J} A_{(j|J)}$$

and we call the endomorphisms  $A_{(j|J)}$  the *Fourier modes* of  $Y(A, Z)$ . Define now the operations:

$$(3.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [A_\Lambda B] &= \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ J=0,1}} \frac{\Lambda^{j|J}}{j!} A_{(j|J)} B \\ AB &= A_{(-1|1)} B \end{aligned}$$

The first operation is called the  $\Lambda$ -bracket and the second is called the *normally ordered product*.

*Remark 3.6.* As in the standard setting, given a SUSY VA  $V$  and a vector  $A \in V$ , we have:

$$(3.6.1) \quad Y(TA, Z) = \partial_z Y(A, Z) = [T, Y(A, Z)]$$

On the other hand, the action of the ‘‘odd’’ derivation  $S$  is described by:

$$(3.6.2) \quad Y(SA, Z) = (\partial_\theta + \theta \partial_z) Y(A, Z) \neq [S, Y(A, Z)].$$

The relation with the standard field formalism is as follows. Suppose that  $V$  is a vertex superalgebra as defined in section 2, together with a homomorphism from the  $N = 1$  superconformal vertex algebra in example 2.4.  $V$  therefore possesses an even vector  $\nu$  of conformal weight 2, and an odd vector  $\tau$  of conformal weight  $\frac{3}{2}$ , whose associated fields

$$(3.6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Y(\nu, z) = L(z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2} \\ Y(\tau, z) = G(z) &= \sum_{n \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}} G_n z^{-n-\frac{3}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

have the  $\lambda$ -brackets as in example 2.4, and where we require  $G_{-1/2} = S$  and  $L_{-1} = T$ . We can then endow  $V$  with the structure of an  $N = 1$  SUSY vertex algebra via the state-field correspondence [Kac96]

$$Y(A, Z) = Y^c(A, z) + \theta Y^c(G_{-1/2} A, z)$$

where we have written  $Y^c$  to emphasize that this is the ‘‘classical’’ state-field (rather than state–superfield) correspondence in the sense of section 2.

(Note however that there exist *SUSY* vertex algebras without such a map from the  $N = 1$  superconformal vertex algebra.)

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be as before. An  $N = 1$  *SUSY Lie conformal algebra* is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -module  $\mathcal{R}$  with an operation  $[\_\Lambda] : \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  of degree 1 satisfying:

(1) Sesquilinearity

$$(3.7.1) \quad [Sa_\Lambda b] = \chi[a_\Lambda b] \quad [a_\Lambda Sb] = -(-1)^a (S + \chi) [a_\Lambda b]$$

(2) Skew-Symmetry:

$$(3.7.2) \quad [b_\Lambda a] = (-1)^{ab} [b_{-\Lambda - \nabla} a]$$

Here the bracket on the right hand side is computed as follows: first compute  $[b_\Gamma a]$ , where  $\Gamma = (\gamma, \eta)$  are generators of  $\mathcal{H}$  super commuting with  $\Lambda$ , then replace  $\Gamma$  by  $(-\lambda - T, -\chi - S)$ .

(3) Jacobi identity:

$$(3.7.3) \quad [a_\Lambda [b_\Gamma c]] = -(-1)^a [[a_\Lambda b]_{\Gamma+\Lambda} c] + (-1)^{(a+1)(b+1)} [b_\Gamma [a_\Lambda c]]$$

where the first bracket on the right hand side is computed as in Skew-Symmetry and the identity is an identity in  $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ .

Morphisms of SUSY Lie conformal algebras are  $\mathcal{H}$ -module morphisms  $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(3.7.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes \varphi} & \mathcal{R}' \otimes \mathcal{R}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \varphi} & \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}' \end{array}$$

*Remark 3.8.* In this definition we consider  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  as a module over  $\mathcal{H}$  using the co-multiplication of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similarly  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}$  is a module over  $\mathcal{H}$  (recall that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a module over itself with the adjoint action). The bracket  $[_\Lambda]$  is a morphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules. The Jacobi identity is an identity in  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{R}$

3.9. Given an  $N = 1$  SUSY VA, it is canonically an  $N = 1$  SUSY Lie conformal algebra with the bracket defined in (3.5.2). Moreover, given an  $N = 1$  Lie conformal algebra  $\mathcal{R}$ , there exists a unique  $N = 1$  SUSY VA called the *universal enveloping SUSY vertex algebra of  $\mathcal{R}$*  with the property that if  $W$  is another  $N = 1$  SUSY VA and  $\varphi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow W$  is a morphism of Lie conformal algebras, then  $\varphi$  extends uniquely to a morphism  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  of SUSY VAs.

3.10. The operations (3.5.2) satisfy:

- Quasi-Commutativity:

$$(3.10.1) \quad ab - (-1)^{ab}ba = \int_{-\nabla}^0 [a_\Lambda b] d\Lambda$$

- Quasi-Associativity

$$(3.10.2) \quad (ab)c - a(bc) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{(-j-2|1)} b_{(j|1)} c + (-1)^{ab} \sum_{j \geq 0} b_{(-j-2|1)} a_{(j|1)} c$$

- Quasi-Leibniz (non-commutative Wick formula)

$$(3.10.3) \quad [a_\Lambda bc] = [a_\Lambda b]c + (-1)^{(a+1)b} b [a_\Lambda c] + \int_0^\Lambda [[a_\Lambda b]_\Gamma c] d\Gamma$$

where the integral  $\int d\Lambda$  is  $\partial_\chi \int d\lambda$ . In addition, the vacuum vector is a unit for the normally ordered product and the endomorphisms  $S, T$  are odd and even derivations respectively of both operations.

### 3.2. Examples.

**Example 3.11.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the free  $\mathcal{H}$ -module generated by an odd vector  $H$ . Consider the following Lie conformal algebra structure in  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$(3.11.1) \quad [H_\Lambda H] = (2T + \chi S + 3\lambda)H$$

This is the *Neveu-Schwarz* algebra (of central charge 0). This algebra admits a central extension of the form:

$$(3.11.2) \quad [H_\Lambda H] = (2T + \chi S + 3\lambda)H + \frac{c}{3}\chi\lambda^2$$



where  $c$  is any complex number. The associated universal enveloping SUSY VA is the *Neveu-Schwarz* algebra of central charge  $c^1$ . If we decompose the corresponding field

$$(3.11.3) \quad H(z, \theta) = G(z) + 2\theta L(z)$$

then the fields  $G(z)$  and  $L(z)$  satisfy the commutation relations of the well known  $N = 1$  super vertex algebra in example 2.4.

**Example 3.12.** Consider now the free  $\mathcal{H}$  module generated by even vectors  $\{B^i\}_{i=1}^n$  and odd vectors  $\{\Psi^i\}_{i=1}^n$  where the only non-trivial commutation relations are:

$$(3.12.1) \quad [B_\Lambda^i \Psi^j] = \delta_{ij} = [\Psi_\Lambda^j B^i]$$

Expand the corresponding fields as:

$$(3.12.2) \quad B^i(z, \theta) = b^i(z) + \theta \phi^i(z) \quad \Psi^i(z, \theta) = \psi^i(z) + \theta a^i(z)$$

then the fields  $b^i, a^i, \phi^i$  and  $\psi^i$  generate the  $bc - \beta\gamma$  system as in [MSV99].

**Example 3.13.** The  $N = 2$  superconformal vertex algebra is generated by 4 fields [Kac96]. In this context it is generated by two superfields – an  $N = 1$  vector  $H$  as in 3.11 and an even current  $J$ , primary of conformal weight 1, that is:

$$(3.13.1) \quad [H_\Lambda J] = (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)J.$$

The remaining commutation relation is

$$(3.13.2) \quad [J_\Lambda J] = -(H + \frac{c}{3}\lambda\chi).$$

Note that given the *current*  $J$  we can recover the  $N = 1$  vector  $H$ . In terms of the fields of 2.5,  $H, J$  decompose as

$$(3.13.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J(z, \theta) &= -\sqrt{-1}J(z) - \sqrt{-1}\theta (G^-(z) - G^+(z)) \\ H(z, \theta) &= (G^+(z) + G^-(z)) + 2\theta L(z) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 3.14.** The “small”  $N = 4$  superconformal vertex algebra is a vertex algebra generated by 8 fields [Kac96]. In this formalism, it is generated by four superfields  $H, J^i, i = 0, 1, 2$ , such that each pair  $(H, J^i)$  forms an  $N = 2$  SUSY VA as in the previous example and the remaining commutation relations are:

$$(3.14.1) \quad [J_\Lambda^i J^j] = \varepsilon^{ijk}(S + 2\chi)J^k \quad i \neq j$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the totally antisymmetric tensor. (In other words, we’re writing the  $N = 4$  algebra in terms of an  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  basis  $J^i$  of superfields rather than the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  basis  $J^0, J^\pm$  of even fields, together with odd  $G$  fields, before.) In terms of the fields of example 2.6,  $H, J^i$  decompose as

$$(3.14.2) \quad \begin{aligned} J^0(z, \theta) &= -\sqrt{-1}J^0(z) - \sqrt{-1}\theta (\bar{G}^-(z) - G^+(z)) \\ J^1(z, \theta) &= \sqrt{-1}(J^+(z) + J^-(z)) + \sqrt{-1}(\bar{G}^+(z) - G^-(z)) \\ J^2(z, \theta) &= (J^+(z) - J^-(z)) + \theta(\bar{G}^+(z) + G^-(z)) \\ H(z, \theta) &= (G^+(z) + \bar{G}^-(z)) + 2\theta L(z) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Properly speaking, we consider the universal enveloping SUSY vertex algebra of  $\mathcal{H} \oplus CC$  with  $C$  central and  $TC = SC = 0$  and then we quotient by the ideal generated by  $C = c$  for any complex number  $c$

## 4. CHIRAL DE RHAM COMPLEX

In this section we recollect some results from [MSV99]. We then provide and discuss a super-field formulation of the chiral de Rham complex in the algebraic setting. For the applications we have in mind, we will need to work in the  $C^\infty$  setting, which is described in Section 5.

4.1. The Chiral de Rham complex  $\Omega_M^{\text{ch}}$  is a sheaf of vertex algebras defined over any smooth algebraic variety  $M$  over the complex numbers. In order to construct such a sheaf, the authors in [MSV99] first construct a sheaf of super vertex algebras on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and then show that we can *glue* these sheaves by studying the action of changes of coordinates.

To construct the sheaf on  $U = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x^1, \dots, x^n]$ , we first look at its global sections. This vertex algebra can be described in terms of generators and relations as follows.  $\Omega^{\text{ch}}(U)$  is then the  $bc$ - $\beta\gamma$ -system vertex algebra. Namely, it is generated by fields  $\{a^i, b^i, \psi^i, \phi^i\}_{i=1}^n$ , with commutation relations

$$(4.1.1) \quad [a_\lambda^i b^j] = [\phi_\lambda^i \psi^j] = \delta_{ij}$$

where we have identified the coordinate functions  $x^i$  with the  $(-1)$ -Fourier mode of the fields  $b^i(z)$  (recall that we identify vectors in our vertex algebras with the corresponding fields by the state-field correspondence).

The next step in [MSV99] is to consider a localization of this vertex algebra, whereby we allow expressions of the form

$$(4.1.2) \quad f(b^1(z), \dots, b^n(z))$$

where  $f(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  is an arbitrary algebraic function on  $U$ . This allows us to construct a Zariski sheaf on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We may also pass to a formal completion, allowing  $f$  to be an arbitrary function on the formal disk  $\text{Spf } \mathbb{C}[[x^1, \dots, x^n]]$

Finally, in order to *glue* these sheaves, one has to analyze how these generators transform under changes of coordinates of the formal disk. Given such a change of coordinates  $\tilde{x}^i = g^i(x)$ , with inverse  $x^i = f^i(\tilde{x})$  the generating fields transform as:

$$(4.1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{b}^i &= g^i(b) \\ \tilde{\phi}^i &= \left( \frac{\partial g^i(b)}{\partial b^j} \phi^j \right) \\ \tilde{\psi}^i &= \left( \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{b}^i}(g(b)) \psi^j \right) \\ \tilde{a}^i &= \left( a^j \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{b}^i}(g(b)) \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k}{\partial \tilde{b}^j \partial \tilde{b}^l}(g(b)) \frac{\partial g^l}{\partial b^r} \phi^r \psi^k \right) \end{aligned}$$

4.2. Let us analyze this sheaf of vertex algebras as a sheaf of  $N = 1$  SUSY VAs. For this, we combine the generators into super-fields as:

$$(4.2.1) \quad B^i = b^i + \theta \phi^i \quad \Psi^i = \psi^i + \theta a^i$$

These fields generate a SUSY VA as in example 3.12. Given a change of coordinates  $g$  as above, the formulas (4.1.3) imply that these fields transform as:

$$(4.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^i &= g^i(B) \\ \tilde{\Psi}^i &= \left( \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) \Psi^j \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the chiral de Rham complex is described in a simple fashion when viewed as a sheaf of SUSY VAs.

Conversely, the transformation properties (4.2.2) imply (4.1.3). Indeed, suppose the fields  $\Psi^i$  and  $B^i$  transform as in (4.2.2). Evaluating at  $\theta = 0$  we immediately obtain the transformation properties of  $\psi^i$  and  $b^i$  as in (4.1.3). We now note that

$$(4.2.3) \quad S\tilde{B}^i = Sg^i(B) = \frac{\partial g^i(B)}{\partial B^j} S B^j$$

and evaluating at  $\theta = 0$  we obtain the transformation property of  $\phi^i$ . Finally, we have:

$$(4.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S\tilde{\Psi}^i &= S \left( \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) \Psi^j \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i \partial \tilde{B}^k}(g(B)) S\tilde{B}^k \right) \Psi^j + \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) S\Psi^j \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i \partial \tilde{B}^k}(g(B)) \frac{\partial g^k(B)}{\partial B^l} S B^l \right) \Psi^j + \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) S\Psi^j \end{aligned}$$

Using quasi-commutativity we can write the second term as

$$(4.2.5) \quad S\Psi^j \frac{\partial f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) - T \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i \partial \tilde{B}^j}(g(B)) \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^l}{\partial B^j} \right)$$

On the other hand, using quasi-associativity we can write the first term of (4.2.4) as:

$$(4.2.6) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i \partial \tilde{B}^k}(g(B)) \frac{\partial g^k(B)}{\partial B^l} S B^l \Psi^j + T \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^j}{\partial \tilde{B}^i \partial \tilde{B}^k}(g(B)) \frac{\partial g^k(B)}{\partial B^j} \right)$$

Adding these two terms and evaluating at  $\theta = 0$  we obtain the transformation property of  $a^i$ .

4.0.1. From this perspective, we can construct the chiral de Rham complex as a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras, by arguing as in [MSV99], replacing vertex algebras by SUSY VAs and using (4.2.2) instead of (4.1.3). To do this we must check that (4.2.2) preserves the SUSY VA structure. This can be done as follows: we check immediately that

$$(4.2.7) \quad [\tilde{B}^i \wedge \tilde{B}^j] = 0$$

On the other hand, we have from Wick formula:

$$(4.2.8) \quad [\tilde{B}^i \wedge \tilde{\Psi}^j] = \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \tilde{B}^j}(g(B)) \frac{\partial g^i(B)}{\partial (B^k)} = \delta_{ij}$$

To compute  $[\tilde{\Psi}^i \wedge \tilde{\Psi}^j]$  we first need

$$(4.2.9) \quad [\tilde{\Psi}^i \wedge \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \tilde{B}^j}(g(B))] = \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) \frac{\partial}{\partial B^l} \left( \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial \tilde{B}^j}(g(B)) \right)$$

and

$$(4.2.10) \quad [\tilde{\Psi}^i \wedge \Psi^k] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial B^k} \left( \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial \tilde{B}^i}(g(B)) \right) \Psi^l$$

Now using the Wick formula and noting that the integral term vanishes we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\tilde{\Psi}^i_{\Lambda} \tilde{\Psi}^j] &= \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial B^i}(g(B)) \frac{\partial}{\partial B^l} \left( \frac{\partial f^k}{\partial B^j}(g(B)) \right) \Psi^k - i \leftrightarrow j \\
(4.2.11) \quad &= \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial B^i}(g(B)) \frac{\partial^2 f^k}{\partial B^j \partial B^m}(g(B)) \frac{\partial g^m(B)}{\partial B^l} \Psi^k - i \leftrightarrow j \\
&= \frac{\partial^2 f^k}{\partial B^j \partial B^i}(g(B)) \Psi^k - i \leftrightarrow j \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The equivalence of (4.1.3) and (4.2.2) shows that the sheaf constructed is in fact the chiral de Rham complex of  $M$ .

4.0.2. *Remark.* We note that in this approach all the *cancellation of anomalies* is contained in the fact that the integral term in the  $\Lambda$ -bracket (4.2.11) vanishes, which in turn is an obvious consequence of our formalism.

4.0.3. *Formal setting.*

4.3. In the formal setting, the chiral de Rham complex is constructed by using the standard arguments of “formal geometry” [MSV99, 3.9]. (see also [FBZ01, ch. 17]), i.e. using an action of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the formal  $n$ -dimensional disk on the  $bc - \beta\gamma$ -system. Indeed the vector field  $f(x_i)\partial_{x_j}$  acts as the residue of the field

$$(4.3.1) \quad f(b^i)a^j + \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial_{x_k} f)(b^i)\phi^k\psi^j.$$

In the context of SUSY vertex algebras, the vector field  $f(x_i)\partial_{x_j}$  simply acts as the *super residue* of the super-field

$$(4.3.2) \quad f(B^i)\Psi_j$$

Here the super residue is defined to be

$$(4.3.3) \quad \text{sres}_{z,\theta} f(z, \theta) = \partial_{\theta} \text{res}_z f(z, \theta).$$

## 5. THE $C^{\infty}$ CASE

5.1. In this section we give a *coordinate independent* description of the *chiral de Rham complex* of a smooth differentiable manifold  $M$ . This construction is essentially a super-field reformulation of the corresponding construction in [LL05].

5.2. Let us fix notation first. Let  $U$  be a differentiable manifold. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the tangent bundle of  $U$  and  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be its cotangent bundle. We let  $T = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{T})$  be the space of vector fields on  $U$  and  $A = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}^*)$  be the space of differentiable 1-forms on  $U$ . We let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(U)$  be the space of differentiable functions on  $U$ . Denote by

$$(5.2.1) \quad \langle , \rangle : A \otimes T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

the natural pairing. Finally, we denote by  $\Pi$  the functor of *change of parity*.

Consider now a SUSY Lie conformal algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  generated by the super-vector space

$$(5.2.2) \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus \Pi T \oplus A \oplus \Pi A$$

That is, we consider differentiable functions (to be denoted  $f, g, \dots$ ) as even elements, vector fields  $X, Y, \dots$  are odd elements, and finally we have two copies of the space of differential forms. For differential forms  $\alpha, \beta, \dots \in A$  we will denote the corresponding elements of  $\Pi A$  by  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$ . The nonvanishing commutation relations in  $\mathcal{R}$  are given by (up to skew-symmetry):

$$(5.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} [X_\Lambda f] &= X(f) \\ [X_\Lambda Y] &= [X, Y]_{\text{Lie}} \\ [X_\Lambda \alpha] &= \text{Lie}_X \alpha + \lambda \langle \alpha, X \rangle \\ [X_\Lambda \bar{\alpha}] &= \overline{\text{Lie}_X \alpha} + \chi \langle \alpha, X \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{Lie}}$  is the Lie bracket of vector fields and  $\text{Lie}_X$  is the action of  $X$  on the space of differential forms by the Lie derivative. The fact that (5.2.3) is compatible with the Jacobi identity is a (long but) straightforward computation.

We let  $R(U)$  be the corresponding *universal enveloping SUSY vertex algebra* of  $\mathcal{R}$ . As noted in [LL05], this algebra is too big. We want to impose some relations in  $R(U)$ . We let  $1_U$  denote the constant function 1 in  $U$ . Let  $d : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow A$  be the de Rham differential. Define  $I(U) \subset R(U)$  to be the ideal generated by elements of the form:

$$(5.2.4) \quad f_{(-1|1)}g - (fg), \quad f_{(-1|1)}X - (fX), \quad f_{(-1|1)}\alpha - (f\alpha), \quad f_{(-1|1)}\bar{\alpha} - (\overline{f\alpha}),$$

$$(5.2.5) \quad 1_U - |0\rangle, \quad Tf - df, \quad Sf - \bar{d}f$$

Finally we define the SUSY vertex algebra

$$(5.2.6) \quad \Omega^{\text{ch}}(U) := R(U)/I(U)$$

**Theorem 5.3.** [LL05]

- (1) Let  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open submanifold. The assignment  $U \mapsto \Omega^{\text{ch}}(U)$  defines a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras  $\Omega_M^{\text{ch}}$  on  $M$ .
- (2) For any diffeomorphism of open sets  $M' \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$  we obtain a canonical isomorphism of SUSY vertex algebras  $\Omega^{\text{ch}}(M) \xrightarrow{\Omega^{\text{ch}}(\varphi)} \Omega^{\text{ch}}(M')$ . Moreover, given diffeomorphisms  $M'' \xrightarrow{\varphi'} M' \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ , we have  $\Omega^{\text{ch}}(\varphi \circ \varphi') = \Omega^{\text{ch}}(\varphi') \circ \Omega^{\text{ch}}(\varphi)$ .

5.4. This theorem allows us to construct a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras in the Grothendieck topology on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (generated by open embeddings). This in turn lets us attach to any smooth manifold  $M$ , a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras  $\Omega_M^{\text{ch}}$ . We call this sheaf the *chiral de Rham complex* of  $M$ .

*Remark 5.5.* In the algebraic case, this construction gives the *chiral de Rham complex* as described in the previous section in terms of coordinates. Indeed, we see that identifying  $B^i$  with the field corresponding to the coordinate  $x^i$  and  $\Psi_i$  with the field corresponding to the vector field  $\partial_{x^i}$ , the relations defining  $I(U)$  are obviously satisfied.

## 6. RECOLLECTIONS ON HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS

In this section, we briefly review the notion of a hyperkähler manifold following [Joy00]. Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of real dimension  $2n$ , and  $J$  a complex structure on  $M$ . The metric  $g$  is Hermitian if  $J$  is an isometry of  $g$ , i.e. if

$$g(Ju, Jv) = g(u, v) \quad \text{for tangent vectors } u, v$$

Given  $(M, g, J)$  we can define a 2-form  $\omega$  by:

$$\omega(v, w) = g(Jv, w)$$

$\omega$  is called the *Hermitian form* of  $g$ . The metric  $g$  is said to be *Kähler* if  $d\omega = 0$ , in which case  $\omega$  is called the *Kähler form*. The following theorem [Joy00] gives other useful characterizations of Kähler metrics.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be as above, and  $\nabla$  denote the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $g$  is Kähler
- (2)  $\nabla J = 0$
- (3)  $\nabla \omega = 0$
- (4) The holonomy group of  $g$  is contained in  $U(n) \subset SO(2n, \mathbb{R})$

A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  of dimension  $4n$  is *hyperkähler* if it possesses three complex structures  $I, J, K$ , such that  $(M, g, I)$ ,  $(M, g, J)$ , and  $(M, g, K)$  are each Kähler, and satisfy the quaternionic relations

$$IJ = -JI = K$$

This is equivalent to the holonomy group of  $g$  lying inside of  $Sp(n, \mathbb{H}) \subset SO(4n, \mathbb{R})$ . Dualizing using the metric yields three Kähler forms  $\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K$ .

## 7. SUPERCONFORMAL STRUCTURES FROM GEOMETRY

7.1. Let now  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Denote by  $\Gamma_{jk}^i$  the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ . Let  $I$  be an endomorphism of the tangent bundle of  $M$ , namely  $I$  is a tensor of type 1,1 on  $M$ . Let  $\omega_i^j$  be the coordinate components of such a tensor. We will systematically raise and lower indices using the metric  $g$  and sum over repeated indices.

In the rest of this paper, we will adopt the following notational convention, aimed at reducing clutter:

**Convention:** In the  $\beta\gamma - bc$  SUSY vertex algebra, expressions such as

$$(\omega_i^j SB^i)\Psi_j + \Gamma_{jk}^i \omega_i^j TB^k$$

correspond to the field

$$(7.1.1) \quad (\omega_i^j(B^1(z, \theta), \dots, B^n(z, \theta))(SB^i)(z, \theta))\Psi_j(z, \theta) + \Gamma_{jk}^i(B^1(z, \theta), \dots, B^n(z, \theta))\omega_i^j(B^1(z, \theta), \dots, B^n(z, \theta))(TB^k)(z, \theta)$$

In other words, all coefficients of tensors, Christoffel symbols, etc. are being evaluated on the superfields  $B^i(z, \theta)$ . This in turn should be interpreted as follows. If

$f(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on an open set  $U$ , then

(7.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} f(B^1(z, \theta), \dots, B^n(z, \theta)) &= f(b^1(z) + \theta\phi^1(z), \dots, b^n(z) + \theta\phi^n(z)) \\ (7.1.3) \quad &= f(b^1(z), \dots, b^n(z)) + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(b^1(z), \dots, b^n(z))\phi^i(z) \end{aligned}$$

The meaning of expressions such as  $f(b^1(z), \dots, b^n(z))$  is explained in [MSV99] (see also section 5 and [LL05]).

**Lemma 7.2.** *The assignment:*

$$(7.2.1) \quad I \mapsto J = (\omega_i^j SB^i)\Psi_j + \Gamma_{jk}^i \omega_i^j TB^k$$

defines a linear morphism

$$(7.2.2) \quad \Gamma(M, \mathcal{E}nd(T_M)) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, \Omega_M^{\text{ch}})$$

7.3. In the case where  $I$  is a complex structure on  $M$  with associated Kähler form  $\omega$ , we will denote the corresponding current  $J$  by  $J_\omega$ .

*Proof.* Given that the fields  $B^i$  transform as coordinates do, we will simplify the notation and denote:

$$(7.3.1) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^i}{\partial B^j} = \frac{\partial g^i(B)}{\partial B^j}.$$

The first term in  $J_\omega$  expressed in the coordinates  $\tilde{B}^i$  is given by:

$$(7.3.2) \quad \left( \frac{\partial B^k}{\partial \tilde{B}^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^j}{\partial B^l} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^i}{\partial B^m} \omega_k^l SB^m \right) \left( \frac{\partial B^n}{\partial \tilde{B}^j} \Psi^n \right) = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^j}{\partial B^l} \omega_k^l SB^k \right) \left( \frac{\partial B^n}{\partial \tilde{B}^j} \Psi^n \right).$$

Using quasi-associativity (3.10.2) we see that this is:

$$(7.3.3) \quad \left( \omega_i^j SB^i \right) \Psi_j - \frac{\partial^2 B^l}{\partial \tilde{B}^j \partial \tilde{B}^m} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^j}{\partial B^k} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^m}{\partial B^n} \omega_l^k TB^n$$

On the other hand, in the second term in  $J_\omega$ , there are no quasi-associativity issues and the anomalous term comes from the transformation properties of the Christoffel symbols. Indeed, the second term in  $J_\omega$  transforms as:

$$(7.3.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 B^l}{\partial \tilde{B}^j \partial \tilde{B}^k} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^i}{\partial B^l} \frac{\partial B^m}{\partial \tilde{B}^i} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^j}{\partial B_n} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}^k}{\partial B^p} \omega_m^n TB^p + \Gamma_{jk}^i \omega_i^j TB^k$$

Adding (7.3.4) and (7.3.3) we obtain the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.4.**

- (1) Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$ , and  $\mathbf{g} = \log \sqrt{\det g_{ij}}$ , where  $\det g_{ij}$  is the determinant of the metric. Then

$$(7.4.1) \quad H = SB^i S\Psi_i + TB^i \Psi_i - TS\mathbf{g}$$

generates an  $N = 1$  superconformal structure of central charge  $3n$ . We shall refer to  $H$  as the Neveu-Schwarz vector.

- (2) Let  $(M, g)$  be a Calabi Yau  $2n$ -manifold with Kähler form  $\omega$ . Then  $J_\omega$  and  $H$  generate an  $N = 2$  vertex algebra structure of central charge  $6n$ .

- (3) If moreover  $M$  is hyperkähler of dimension  $4n$ , with three Kähler structures  $\omega$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\gamma$  such that the corresponding complex structures satisfy the quaternionic relations, then  $J_\omega$ ,  $J_\eta$ ,  $J_\gamma$  and  $H$  generate an  $N = 4$  vertex algebra of central charge  $12n$ .

*Proof.*

- (1) If we write  $H = H^0 - TS\mathbf{g}$ , then the fact that  $H^0$  defines a global section of  $\Omega_M^{\text{ch}}$  and that  $H^0$  is a Neveu Schwarz vector of central charge  $3n$  follows from the analogous results in [MSV99]. The fact that  $TS\mathbf{g}$  is a well defined global section follows since  $M$  is orientable. To check that  $H$  is indeed a Neveu Schwarz vector (3.11.2) we compute:

$$(7.4.2) \quad [H^0 \lrcorner \mathbf{g}] = (2T + \chi S)\mathbf{g}$$

therefore

$$(7.4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} [H^0 \lrcorner TS\mathbf{g}] &= (\lambda + T)(S + \chi)(2T + \chi S)\mathbf{g} \\ &= (2T + 3\lambda + \chi S)TS\mathbf{g} + \lambda^2 S\mathbf{g} + \lambda\chi T\mathbf{g} \\ [TS\mathbf{g} \lrcorner H^0] &= -\lambda\chi(2T - (\chi + S)S)\mathbf{g} \\ &= -\lambda\chi T\mathbf{g} - \lambda^2 S\mathbf{g} \end{aligned}$$

It follows then that

$$(7.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} [H_\Lambda H] &= [H^0 \lrcorner H^0] - [TS\mathbf{g} \lrcorner H^0] - [H^0 \lrcorner TS\mathbf{g}] = \\ &= (2T + 3\lambda + \chi S)H^0 + n\lambda^2\chi - (2T + 3\lambda + \chi S)TS\mathbf{g} = \\ &= (2T + 3\lambda + \chi S)H + n\lambda^2\chi \end{aligned}$$

- (2) To check the remaining commutation relations of the  $N = 2$  vertex algebra as in example 3.13, it is enough to do it in any coordinate system. In particular we may choose holomorphic coordinates  $\{x_\alpha\}$  (resp. anti-holomorphic coordinates  $\{x_{\bar{\alpha}}\}$ ) for the complex structure associated to  $\omega$  such that

$$(7.4.5) \quad \omega_i^j = \begin{pmatrix} i \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & -i \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case  $J = J_\omega$  is given by

$$(7.4.6) \quad J = iSB^\alpha\Psi_\alpha - iSB^{\bar{\alpha}}\Psi_{\bar{\alpha}} + i\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}TB^\alpha - i\mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\alpha}}TB^{\bar{\alpha}}.$$

Here we have used the fact that for a Kähler manifold

$$(7.4.7) \quad \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\alpha = \mathbf{g}_{,\gamma} = \partial_\gamma\mathbf{g} \quad \Gamma_{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\alpha}}^{\bar{\alpha}} = \mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\gamma}} = \partial_{\bar{\gamma}}\mathbf{g}.$$

Let us first compute  $[H_\Lambda J]$ . For this we need

$$(7.4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} [H_\Lambda\Psi_\alpha] &= (2T + \lambda + \chi S)\Psi_\alpha + \lambda\chi\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [H_\Lambda\Psi_{\bar{\alpha}}] &= (2T + \lambda + \chi S)\Psi_{\bar{\alpha}} + \lambda\chi\mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\alpha}} \\ [H_\Lambda B^\alpha] &= (2T + \chi S)B^\alpha \\ [H_\Lambda B^{\bar{\alpha}}] &= (2T + \chi S)B^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ [H_\Lambda SB^\alpha] &= (2T + \lambda + \chi S)SB^\alpha \\ [H_\Lambda SB^{\bar{\alpha}}] &= (2T + \lambda + \chi S)SB^{\bar{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$



Using now the non-commutative Wick formula we obtain

$$(7.4.9) \quad [H_\Lambda SB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha] = ((2T + \lambda + \chi S)SB^\alpha) \Psi_\alpha + SB^\alpha(2T + \lambda + \chi S)\Psi_\alpha + \\ + SB^\alpha \lambda \chi \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} + \int_0^\Lambda [(2T + \lambda + \chi S)SB^\alpha \Gamma \Psi_\alpha] d\Gamma = \\ (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)SB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha - \lambda \chi \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} SB^\alpha + \int_0^\Lambda (-2\gamma + \lambda - \chi \eta) \eta [B_\Lambda^\alpha \Psi_\alpha] d\Gamma$$

Since the integral clearly vanishes, we obtain:

$$(7.4.10) \quad [H_\Lambda SB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha] = (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)SB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha - \lambda \chi \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} SB^\alpha.$$

Similarly, we compute now

$$(7.4.11) \quad [\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha \Lambda} H] = SB^i(\chi + S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha i} + TB^i \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha i} \\ = -\chi S \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} + SB^i S \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha i} + T \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ = (T - \chi S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} - \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha ij} SB^j SB^i \\ = (T - \chi S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [H_\Lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}] = (2T + \chi S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}$$

We also have:

$$(7.4.12) \quad [H_\Lambda TB^\alpha] = (\lambda + T)(2T + \chi S)B^\alpha = (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)TB^\alpha + \lambda \chi SB^\alpha$$

Hence using the Wick formula again and noting that the integral term trivially vanishes, we obtain:

$$(7.4.13) \quad [H_\Lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} TB^\alpha] = ((2T + \chi S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}) TB^\alpha + \\ + \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)TB^\alpha + \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \lambda \chi SB^\alpha = \\ = (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} TB^\alpha + \lambda \chi \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} SB^\alpha$$

Adding (7.4.10) to (7.4.13) plus their conjugates we obtain:

$$(7.4.14) \quad [H_\Lambda J] = (2T + 2\lambda + \chi S)J$$

as we wanted.

Finally, we need to check (3.13.2). For this we compute:

$$(7.4.15) \quad [\Psi_{\alpha \Lambda} J] = i\chi \Psi_\alpha + i\mathbf{g}_{,\beta \alpha} TB^\beta + i\lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ = i\chi \Psi_\alpha + i(T + \lambda)\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [J_\Lambda \Psi_\alpha] = -i(\chi + S)\Psi_\alpha - i\lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [SB^\alpha \Lambda J] = -i\chi SB^\alpha \\ [J_\Lambda SB^\alpha] = i(\chi + S)SB^\alpha$$

Here in the second line we used the fact that  $M$  is Ricci flat, therefore  $\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0$ . Using this we can compute now:

$$(7.4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} [J_\Lambda SB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha] &= i((\chi + S)SB^\alpha) \Psi_\alpha + \\ &+ iSB^\alpha(\chi + S)\Psi_\alpha + iSB^\alpha \lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} + \int_0^\Lambda i[(\chi + S)SB^\alpha \Gamma \Psi_\alpha] d\Gamma = \\ &iTB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha + iSB^\alpha S\Psi_\alpha + i\lambda SB^\alpha \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} + in \int_0^\Lambda (\eta - \chi)\eta d\Gamma \\ &= iTB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha + iSB^\alpha S\Psi_\alpha + i\lambda SB^\alpha \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} + in\lambda\chi \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have:

$$(7.4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha\Lambda} J] &= -iSB^\beta \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha\beta} \\ &= -iS\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [J_\Lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}] &= -iS\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} \\ [J_\Lambda TB^\alpha] &= -i(\lambda + T)SB^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence using the Wick formula we obtain:

$$(7.4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} [J_\Lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} TB^\alpha] &= -i(S\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha})TB^\alpha - i\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha}(\lambda + T)SB^\alpha = \\ &= -i\lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} SB^\alpha - iS(\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} TB^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Adding (7.4.18) and (7.4.16) plus their conjugates, we obtain:

$$(7.4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} [J_\Lambda J] &= -TB^i \Psi_i - SB^i S\Psi_i - \lambda\chi 2n + S(\mathbf{g}_{,\alpha} TB^\alpha) + S(\mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\alpha}} TB^{\bar{\alpha}}) \\ &= -TB^i \Psi_i - SB^i S\Psi_i + ST\mathbf{g} - 2n\lambda\chi \end{aligned}$$

- (3) In order to prove the rest of the statement, we may choose holomorphic coordinates for one of the three complex structures. We suppose then that  $J_\omega$  is given by (7.4.6). This forces the other two complex structures to be of the form:

$$(7.4.20) \quad \eta_i^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} \\ \eta_{\bar{\alpha}}^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_i^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} \\ -i\eta_{\bar{\alpha}}^\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

with  $\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} \eta_{\bar{\beta}}^\gamma = -\delta_\alpha^\gamma$ . It follows then that  $J^+ = \frac{1}{2}(J_\eta - iJ_\gamma)$  is given by

$$(7.4.21) \quad J^+ = \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha \Psi_{\bar{\beta}}$$

where we note that the second term in (7.2.1) vanishes in this case since  $M$  is Kähler, therefore the only non-vanishing components of the Christoffel symbols are  $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$  and  $\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\alpha}}$ . The theorem will be proved then if we show that<sup>2</sup>

$$(7.4.22) \quad [J_\omega J^+] = i(2\chi + S)J^+.$$

In order to do so we compute (we denote  $J = J_\omega$ ):

$$(7.4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} [\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} J] &= -i\eta_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\gamma + i\eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} \\ [J_\Lambda \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}}] &= -i\eta_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\gamma + i\eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that the commutation relations with  $J^- = \frac{1}{2}(J_\eta + iJ_\gamma)$  follow by conjugation.

It follows then from (7.4.15) and the Wick formula

$$(7.4.24) \quad [J_\Lambda \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha] = -i\eta_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\gamma SB^\alpha + i\eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} SB^\alpha + i\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} (\chi + S) SB^\alpha$$

Since the complex structure is parallel we have:

$$(7.4.25) \quad \eta_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\bar{\beta}} = \Gamma_{\gamma\alpha}^\delta \eta_\delta^{\bar{\beta}} = \eta_{\gamma,\alpha}^{\bar{\beta}}$$

Therefore the first term in (7.4.24) vanishes and we have:

$$(7.4.26) \quad [J_\Lambda \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha] = i\eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} SB^\alpha + i\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} (\chi + S) SB^\alpha$$

Now conjugating (7.4.15) we see that

$$(7.4.27) \quad [J_\Lambda \Psi_{\bar{\beta}}] = i(\chi + S) \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} + i\lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\beta}}$$

Now using the non-commutative Wick formula we obtain:

$$(7.4.28) \quad [J_{\omega_\Lambda} J^+] = i \left( \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} SB^\alpha \right) \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} + i \left( \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} (\chi + S) SB^\alpha \right) \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} - \\ - i \left( \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha \right) (\chi + S) \Psi_{\bar{\beta}} - i \left( \eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha \right) \lambda \mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\beta}} + \\ + i \int_0^\Lambda [\eta_{\alpha,\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^{\bar{\gamma}} SB^\alpha \Psi_{\bar{\beta}}] d\Gamma + i \int_0^\Lambda [\eta_\alpha^{\bar{\beta}} (\chi + S) SB^\alpha \Psi_{\bar{\beta}}] d\Gamma$$

Let us compute the integral term first. Clearly the second integral vanishes since  $\Psi_{\bar{\beta}}$  commutes with  $SB^\alpha$ . The first term on the other hand is given by:

$$(7.4.29) \quad - \int_0^\Lambda \eta \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha d\Gamma = -\lambda \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha$$

Re-grouping the terms in (7.4.28) we obtain:

$$(7.4.30) \quad [J_{\omega_\Lambda} J^+] = i(2\chi + S) J^+ - i\lambda \left( \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} \mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} SB^\alpha \right)$$

Finally, using again the fact that  $\eta$  is parallel, we see immediately that:

$$(7.4.31) \quad \eta_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\beta}} = -\Gamma_{\bar{\beta}\bar{\gamma}}^{\bar{\beta}} \eta_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}} = -\mathbf{g}_{,\bar{\gamma}} \eta_{\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}}$$

This proves (7.4.22) and the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 7.5.*

- (1) In the Calabi-Yau case, choosing holomorphic coordinates  $x^\alpha$  and antiholomorphic coordinates  $x^{\bar{\alpha}}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_0 + \bar{\mathbf{g}}_0$  where  $\mathbf{g}_0$  is holomorphic. The super-field  $H$  can be decomposed as a sum of two terms  $H_0 + \bar{H}_0$ , where

$$(7.5.1) \quad H_0 = SB^\alpha S\Psi_\alpha + TB^\alpha \Psi_\alpha - TS\mathbf{g}_0$$

The super-field  $J$  decomposes in a similar way as a ‘‘holomorphic’’ part  $J_0$  and an ‘‘anti-holomorphic’’ part  $\bar{J}_0$ . These fields are invariant under holomorphic changes of coordinates, hence we obtain two commuting  $N = 2$  super conformal structures.

We note that these fields are different from the ones considered in [MSV99]. In the case of the Virasoro field, the correction given by  $TS\mathbf{g}_0$  appeared in [Wit05, page 16]. When the metric is flat (i.e.  $\mathbf{g}_0 = 0$ ) we obtain the same topological structure as in [MSV99].

- (2) When the manifold  $M$  is complex but not Calabi-Yau, the decomposition  $H = H_0 + \bar{H}_0$  is not invariant under holomorphic changes of coordinates. Therefore our  $N = 1$  structure pairs in a non-trivial way the “holomorphic” and “anti-holomorphic” parts of the chiral de Rham complex of  $M$
- (3) The fields  $H$  and  $J$  are defined for any almost complex manifold  $M$  (though they generate  $N = 2$  only when  $M$  is Calabi-Yau). In particular, the field  $J$  allows us to construct a *Dolbeault resolution* of the *holomorphic chiral de Rham complex* in terms of the differentiable one (see also [Kap05]). We plan to return to this matter elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

- [AGF81] L. Alvarez-Gaumé and D. Z. Freedman. Geometrical structure and ultraviolet finiteness in the supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -model. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 80(3):443–451, 1981.
- [BGL04] S. Bellucci, A. Galajinsky, and E. Latini. Making the hyperkähler structure of  $n = 2$  quantum string manifest. *Phys. Rev. D (3)*, 2:70–75, 2004.
- [FBZ01] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves (first edition)*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
- [FL05] E. Frenkel and A. Losev. Mirror symmetry in two steps: A – i – b. *preprint*, hep-th/0505131, 2005.
- [Fre99] D. S. Freed. *Five lectures on supersymmetry*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [GMS03] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov, and V. Schechtman. Gerbes of chiral differential operators. iii. *The orbit method in geometry and physics (Marseille, 2000)*, *Progr. Math.*, 213:73–100, 2003.
- [GMS04] V. Gorbounov, F. Malikov, and V. Schechtman. Gerbes of chiral differential operators. ii. vertex algebroids. *Invent. Math.*, 155:605–680, 2004.
- [HK] R. Heluani and V.G. Kac. Super symmetric vertex algebras and super curves. *in preparation*.
- [HKLR87] N. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, and M. Roček. Hyperkähler metrics and supersymmetry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 108:535–589, 1987.
- [IAS99] *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians. Vol. 1, 2*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [Joy00] D. Joyce. *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [Kac96] V.G. Kac. *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, volume 10 of *University Lecture*. Amer. Math. Soc., 1996.
- [Kap05] A. Kapustin. Chiral de rham complex and the half-twisted sigma-model. *preprint*, hep-th/0504074, 2005.
- [KV04] M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot. Vertex algebras and the formal loop space. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (100):209–269, 2004.
- [KW04] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto. Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 185(2):400–458, 2004. math-ph/0304011.
- [LL05] B. Lian and A. Linshaw. Chiral equivariant cohomology i. *preprint*, (math.DG/0501084), 2005.
- [Mal05] F. Malikov. Private communication. 2005.
- [MSV99] A. Malikov, V. Schechtman, and A. Vaintrob. Chiral de rham complex. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 204, 1999.
- [Tam99] H. Tamanoi. *Elliptic genera and vertex operator super-algebras*. Number 1704 in Lect. Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [Wit05] E. Witten. Two-dimensional models with (0,2) supersymmetry: Perturbative aspects. *preprint*, hep-th/0504078, 2005.
- [Zho00] J. Zhou. Superconformal vertex algebras in differential geometry. i. *preprint*, math.DG/0006201, 2000.
- [Zum79] B. Zumino. Supersymmetry and kähler manifolds. *Phys. Lett.*, 27B:203, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AUSTIN, TX 78712, USA  
*E-mail address:* [benzvi@math.utexas.edu](mailto:benzvi@math.utexas.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MIT, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA  
*E-mail address:* [heluani@math.mit.edu](mailto:heluani@math.mit.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02215,  
USA  
*E-mail address:* [szczesny@math.bu.edu](mailto:szczesny@math.bu.edu)