

# MA294 Lecture

Timothy Kohl

Boston University

April 11, 2024

# Generating Functions

Recall, in the ring  $F[x]$  (for  $F$  a field) the elements are of the form

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

for  $a_i \in F$  where addition is performed degree by degree, and multiplication is carried out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n)(b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m) \\ &= (a_0b_0) + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0)x + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0)x^2 + \cdots + (a_nb_m)x^{n+m} \end{aligned}$$

where, in particular, to determine the coefficient of  $x^k$  one considers which coefficients 'contribute' in degree  $k$ , in particular it is:

$$a_0b_k + a_1b_{k-1} + \cdots + a_kb_0$$

namely the those  $a_ib_j$  where  $i + j = k$ .

As a result, since 1 is the multiplicative identity, one finds that, since  $\deg(f(x)g(x)) = \deg(f(x)) + \deg(g(x))$  that

$$U(F[x]) = \{a_0 \mid a_0 \in F^*\}$$

i.e. non-zero constant polynomials

i.e.  $F[x]$  doesn't contain inverses for most of its elements!

If we extend our view from polynomials to power series (like in Calculus):

$$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots$$

then one has a ring (which contains all polynomials) but with a much richer structure.

## Definition

For  $F$  a field, the ring of formal power series is

$$F[[x]] = \{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots \mid a_i \in F\}$$

where addition (like for polynomials) is degree by degree, and multiplication is given by:

$$\left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k x^k$$

where

$$c_0 = a_0 b_0$$

$$c_1 = a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$c_k = \sum_{t=0}^k a_t b_{k-t}$$

The additive identity is  $0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots$  and multiplicative identity is  $1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots$  (Exercise!)

Two Important Points:

- A given series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$  is determined by the sequence of coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots$
- The variable 'x' is just an indeterminate, we don't consider questions of convergence as one might in calculus, for example,  $F[[x]]$  contains series like  $1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + \dots$  which by (say the ratio test) are divergent.

As indicated earlier  $F[[x]]$  has a richer structure and contains all the polynomials in  $F[x]$  as a subring.

In particular, (and most importantly for the applications we are trying to develop) many polynomials, for example  $f(x) = 1 - x$  have inverses when viewed as elements of  $F[[x]]$  in sharp contrast with the fact that  $U(F[x]) = F^*$ .

## Theorem

$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \in F[[x]]$  is invertible if and only if  $a_0 \neq 0$ .

### Proof:

If  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j$  has the property that  $(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i) (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j) = 1$  then this implies

$$a_0 b_0 = 1$$

$$a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 = 0$$

$$a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0 = 0$$

$\vdots$



Assuming  $a_0 \neq 0$  then  $a_0 b_0 = 1$  implies  $b_0 = 1/a_0$ . So now, let's try and determine  $b_1$ .

Looking at the second equation  $a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 = 0$  we use what we've just discovered to rewrite this as

$$a_0 b_1 + a_1(1/a_0) = 0$$

which can be solved to yield  $b_1 = (-a_1/a_0^2)$ , and we can then solve for  $b_2$  by using the third equation:

$$a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0 = 0$$

which, given that we have already determined  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  (and we already know all the  $a_i$  since they are given in the first place) we can solve for  $b_2$  to get

$$b_2 = a_1^2 a_0^{-2} - a_2 a_0^{-1}$$

and so on...

The point is that  $a_0 \neq 0$  implies the existence of  $b_0$  and therefore *all* the remaining  $b_j$  which therefore yields that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j$$

is the inverse of  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ .

□

What we have shown then is that

$$U(F[[x]]) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \mid a_0 \neq 0 \right\}$$

which is much 'larger' than  $U(F[x])$  in that it contains more than just 'constants'.

How about  $\frac{1}{1-x}$ ? i.e.  $(1-x)^{-1}$

Even though  $1-x$  is polynomial, it is technically a series, it's just that the coefficients of all terms beyond degree 1 are zero.

And since the constant term of  $1-x$  is non-zero, it has an inverse, which (if you think about it) is a series rather than a polynomial, so it will have infinitely many non-zero terms. Let's find it!

$$(b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots)(1-x) = 1$$

implies (after distributing the  $(1-x)$ ) that

$$b_0 + (b_1 - b_0)x + (b_2 - b_1)x^2 + \dots = 1$$

$b_0 + (b_1 - b_0)x + (b_2 - b_1)x^2 + \dots = 1 = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots$   
implies that  $b_0 = 1$ , and since  $b_1 - b_0 = 0$  then  $b_1 = 1$ , and since  $b_2 - b_1 = 0$  then  $b_2 = 1$  etc.

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots$$

which should be a familiar fact from Calculus! (i.e. geometric series)

And keep in mind, this is formal algebra, we are not concerned about convergence, so we don't 'restrict'  $x$  to the interval  $(-1, 1)$  which one usually does in that setting.

In general, if  $B(x)$  is a polynomial in  $F[x]$  then we may regard it as a series in  $F[[x]]$  and, if it's invertible, denote its inverse  $B(x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{B(x)}$  and if  $A(x) \in F[x]$  too, then we have  $\frac{A(x)}{B(x)} \in F[[x]]$ .

The series for  $\frac{A(x)}{B(x)}$  can be computed by a technique known as long division even though the result is generally a series and not a polynomial.

However, this is not terribly efficient, instead we can use a technique that you first see in Calculus 2 typically.

# Partial Fractions

$\frac{A(x)}{B(x)}$  is not unfamiliar, recall the technique of partial fractions.

Example: If  $B(x) = S(x)T(x)$  where  $\gcd(S(x), T(x)) = 1$  and  $\deg(A(x)) < \deg(B(x))$  then there exists polynomials  $F(x)$ ,  $G(x)$  (where  $\deg(F) < \deg(S)$  and  $\deg(G) < \deg(T)$ ) such that

$$\frac{A(x)}{B(x)} = \frac{F(x)}{S(x)} + \frac{G(x)}{T(x)}$$

For example, since  $2 - 3x + x^2 = (1 - x)(2 - x)$  then

$$\frac{5 - 3x}{2 - 3x + x^2} = \frac{2}{1 - x} + \frac{1}{2 - x}$$

where, indeed, the numerators on the right are lower degree than the denominators.

The partial fractions algorithm is based on the following basic fact.

## Theorem

Let  $F$  be a field and  $A(x), B(x) \in F[x]$  such that

(i)  $\deg(A(x)) < \deg(B(x))$

(ii)  $B(x) = S(x)T(x)$  where  $\gcd(S(x), T(x)) = 1$

(iii)  $B_0 \neq 0$

Then there are polynomials  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  such that

$\deg(f(x)) < \deg(S(x))$  and  $\deg(g(x)) < \deg(T(x))$  where

$$\frac{A(x)}{B(x)} = \frac{f(x)}{S(x)} + \frac{g(x)}{T(x)}$$

where this equation holds in  $F[[x]]$ .

As far as the determination of the numerators, that is a computational problem, fundamentally a linear algebra question.

Example: 
$$\frac{2+3x+x^2}{(1-x)(2+x)(3-x)} = \frac{A}{1-x} + \frac{B}{2+x} + \frac{C}{3-x}$$

So how do we find  $A, B, C$ ?

If we multiply the above equation by the denominator on the left,  $(1-x)(2+x)(3-x)$  we get the following

$$2 + 3x + x^2 = A(2+x)(3-x) + B(1-x)(3-x) + C(1-x)(2+x)$$

which can be simplified.



$$\begin{aligned}2 + 3x + x^2 &= A(2 + x)(3 - x) + B(1 - x)(3 - x) + C(1 - x)(2 + x) \\&= A(6 + x - x^2) + B(3 - 4x + x^2) + C(2 - x - x^2) \\&= (6A + 3B + 2C) + (A - 4B - C)x + (-A + B - C)x^2\end{aligned}$$

So by equating coefficients, this implies

$$6A + 3B + 2C = 2$$

$$A - 4B - C = 3$$

$$-A + B - C = 1$$

To solve for  $A, B$ , and  $C$  we can employ different strategies, but basically we want to eliminate variables.

So if we add the second and third equations:

$$A - 4B - C = 3$$

$$-A + B - C = 1$$

we get  $-3B - 2C = 4$ .

And if we add  $6(-A + B - C = 1)$ , namely  $-6A + 6B - 6C = 6$  to the *first equation*  $6A + 3B + 2C = 2$  we get  $9B - 4C = 8$  resulting in the system

$$-3B - 2C = 4$$

$$9B - 4C = 8$$

which can be solved to yield  $C = -2$  and  $B = 0$ , which, in turn, implies  $A = 1$ .

As such

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2 + 3x + x^2}{(1 - x)(2 + x)(3 - x)} &= \frac{A}{1 - x} + \frac{B}{2 + x} + \frac{C}{3 - x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - x} - \frac{2}{3 - x}\end{aligned}$$

and we note that, for examples like the one we just did, where the denominators are all distinct, that one could use a simpler approach to solve for  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ .

Going back to this stage of the calculation:

$$2 + 3x + x^2 = A(2 + x)(3 - x) + B(1 - x)(3 - x) + C(1 - x)(2 + x)$$

we observe that this is true for all  $x \in F$  so, in particular we can let  $x = 1$ , (which makes  $1 - x = 0!$ ):

$$2 + 3 \cdot 1 + 1^2 = A(2 + 1)(3 - 1) + B(1 - 1)(3 - 1) + C(1 - 1)(2 + 1)$$

↓

$$6 = A \cdot 6$$

namely that  $A = 1$ , and similarly if we let  $x = 3$  two of the terms vanish to yield  $20 = C \cdot (-10)$  which implies  $C = -2$  of course, and similarly, letting  $x = -2$  yields  $B = 0$ .

You may recall a variation of the partial fractions algorithm when one has repeated factors.

If  $B(x) = P(x)^m$  where  $\deg(A(x)) < \deg(B(x))$  one has

$$\frac{A(x)}{B(x)} = \frac{H_1(x)}{P(x)^1} + \frac{H_2(x)}{P(x)^2} + \cdots + \frac{H_m(x)}{P(x)^m}$$

We consider an example:

$$\frac{x^3 + x^2 + x + 1}{(x-1)(x-2)^3} = \frac{A}{x-1} + \frac{B}{x-2} + \frac{C}{(x-2)^2} + \frac{D}{(x-2)^3}$$

which is a step up in computational, but not theoretical complexity.

If we do the same 'multiply by the denominator on the left' we get

$$\begin{aligned}x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 &= A(x-2)^3 + B(x-1)(x-2)^2 + \\ &\quad C(x-1)(x-2) + D(x-1) \\ &\quad \downarrow \\ &= (A+B)x^3 + (-6A-5B+C)x^2 + \\ &\quad (12A+8B-3C+D)x^2 + (-8A-4B+2C-D)\end{aligned}$$

And if we set  $x = 2$  we immediately find that  $D = 15$ , and letting  $x = 1$  we find that  $A = -4$  which, since  $A + B = 1$  yields  $B = 5$ , and, from  $-6A - 5B + C = 1$  that  $C = 2$ .

If one has had linear algebra fairly recently, one *could* solve the previous problem using matrices, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & -5 & 1 & 0 \\ 12 & 8 & -3 & 1 \\ -8 & -4 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

whose solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -4 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 15 \end{pmatrix}$$

is unique (which is guaranteed by the theorem) and agrees with the method used earlier.