

# A Prismatic Approach to Crystalline Local Systems.

- $K$  complete discretely valued  $p$ -adic field
- $\mathcal{O}_K$  ring of integers
- $k$  residue field
- $V_0 := W(k) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$
- $K_0 = V_0[\zeta_p^{-1}] \subseteq K$
- $X$  bounded  $p$ -adic formal scheme over  $\text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_K$
- $X_\eta$  adic generic fiber viewed as a diamond

## §1. Preliminaries on period sheaves

Recall in classical  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, Fontaine defined period rings  $A_{\text{inf}}$ ,  $B_{\text{dR}}$ ,  $B_{\text{tors}}$ , etc for the perfectoid field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . This construction can be generalized to arbitrary perfectoid algebras over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Def  $A_{\text{inf}}$ ,  $B_{\text{inf}}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}B_{\text{inf}} \in \text{Shv}(X_\eta, \text{perf})$  defined by

for  $U \in \text{Perf}/X_\eta, \text{perf}$ .  $\widehat{U} = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$

- $A_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) := W(R^{+b})$
- $B_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) := A_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) [\frac{1}{p}]$
- $\mathcal{O}B_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) := R \otimes_{V_0} B_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+)$

Def  $\theta: A_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) \longrightarrow R^+$  the usual map

$\tilde{\theta} := \theta \circ \varphi^{-1}$  "HT specialization map"

- $B_{\text{dR}}^+(R, R^+) := B_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+)^\wedge_{\ker(\tilde{\theta})}$
- $B_{\text{dR}}(R, R^+) := B_{\text{dR}}^+(R, R^+) [\frac{1}{t}]$  with filtration

$$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}(R, R^+) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} t^i \cdot \text{Fil}^{i+r} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(R, R^+).$$

where  $t = \log[\epsilon] \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+(\mathcal{O}_C)$  is the canonical element.

Def. •  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}(R, R^+)$   $p$ -completion of pd-envelope of  $\ker \vartheta$  in  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+)$  with filtration

$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) := p$ -completion of PD ideal generated by  $x^{[i]}$  for  $i \geq r$  and  $i \in \ker(\vartheta)$ .

- $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+(R, R^+) = \mathbb{A}_{\text{cris}}(R, R^+) [\frac{1}{p}]$  with induced filtration
- $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}(R, R^+) = \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+(R, R^+) [\frac{1}{t}] = \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+(R, R^+) [\frac{1}{\mu}]$  with filtration

$$\text{Fil}^r \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}(R, R^+) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{-i} \text{Fil}^{i+r} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}^+(R, R^+).$$

Thus, we get a natural map

$$\psi_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}}^* \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$$

compatible with the filtrations on both sides.

Def  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, K}(R, R^+) := \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}(R, R^+) \otimes_{K_0} K$

Lemma.

1) natural map

$$\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, K} \hookrightarrow \psi_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}}^* \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$$

which are injective and compatible with  $\psi_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}}^* \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}$ .

2) Fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{\psi=1} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}} / \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \rightarrow 0$$

Def  $(X_S/V_0)$  has big crystalline site.

(a) Crystal in coherent sheaves on  $X_S$  is a sheaf of  $(X_S/V_0)$ -modules on  $(X_S/V_0)$  cris sit.

1) for each PD-thickening  $(U, T, \gamma) \in (X_S/V_0)$  cris

$\mathcal{E}|_T$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module

2) If  $\alpha : (U, T, \gamma) \rightarrow (U', T', \gamma')$  the induced map

$\alpha^*(\mathcal{E}|_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{T'}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ -linear isomorphism.

(b)  $\text{Isoc}(X_S/V_0)$  isogenitals over  $X_S$

- objects: crystals on  $X_S$

- $\text{Hom}_{\text{Isoc}(X_S/V_0)}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_S/V_0}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ .

The absolute Frobenius  $F$  on  $X_S$  induces a map

$$F : (X_S/V_0)_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow (X_S/V_0)_{\text{cris}}$$

Def An  $F$ -isogenital on  $X_S$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$

- $\mathcal{E}$  an isogenital on  $X_S$

- $\varphi : F^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  isom

category of  $F$ -isogenital  $\text{Isoc}^F(X_S/V_0)$

We can consider  $F$ -isocrystals over  $X_{p=0}$ .

Lemma (Dug) The inclusions of sites

$$(X_S/V_0)_{\text{cris}} \subseteq (X_{p=0}/V_0)_{\text{cris}}$$

induces an equivalence

$$\text{Isoc}^F(X_S/V_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Isoc}^F(X_{p=0}/V_0)$$

## § 2. Crystalline Local systems

We give a Faltings style definition of crystalline local systems on  $X_\eta$ .

$X$  smooth

$X_S = X_{\text{red}}$  reduced special fiber of  $X$

$X_{p=0} = X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Def  $\Sigma \in \text{Isoc}^{\Phi}(X_S/V_0)$   $F$ -crystal on  $(X_S/V_0)_{\text{cris}}$

$B_{\text{cris}}^+(\Sigma) \quad B_{\text{cris}}(\Sigma) \in \text{Shv}(X_{\eta, \text{proet}})$

$U = \text{Spa}(S, S^+) \in X_{\eta, \text{proet}}$

The map  $A_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+) \rightarrow S^+/p$  is a pro-pd-thickening on  $(X_{p=0}/V_0)_{\text{cris}}$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad B_{\text{cris}}^+(\Sigma)(U) &:= \Sigma(A_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+))[\frac{1}{p}] \\ &= \left( \lim_{\leftarrow} \Sigma(A_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+)/p, A_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+)/p, r) \right)[\frac{1}{p}] \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$  is the canonical pd-structure.

- $B_{\text{cris}}(\Sigma)(U) := B_{\text{cris}}^+(\Sigma)(U)[\frac{1}{\mu}]$
- $B_{\text{cris}, K}^+(\Sigma) := B_{\text{cris}}^+(\Sigma) \otimes_{K_0} K$

Note  $B_{\text{cris}}(\Sigma)$  is a module over the sheaf  $B_{\text{cris}}$  which is equipped with a Frobenius endomorphism

$$F : B_{\text{cris}} \rightarrow B_{\text{cris}}$$

There is a canonical isomorphism

$$F^* B_{\text{cris}}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{\text{cris}}(F^*\Sigma)$$

where  $F^*\Sigma$  is the pullback by the Frobenius over the special fiber.

If  $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\varphi(X_S/V_0)$  then

$$\varphi : F^* \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(F^*\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{E})$$

We claim if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a filtered  $F$ -crystal. Then we can also construct a filtration on  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{E})$ .

Def. A sheaf of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ -modules  $L$  on  $X_\eta$  is weakly crystalline if

- 1)  $L$  is lisse, i.e., locally on  $X_\eta$ , pres. of the form  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} M$  for some finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module  $M$
- 2)  $\exists (\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \in \text{Isoc}^\varphi(X_S/V_0)$  and isom

$$\theta : \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L$$

s.t.  $\theta$  commutes with the Frobenius isomorphisms on both sides.

$L$  is crystalline if  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$  underlie a filtered  $F$ -isocrystal  $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi, \text{Fil}^*(\mathcal{E}))$  on  $X$  and  $\theta$  satisfies:  $\theta_K = \theta \otimes_{K_0} K : \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}, K}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}, K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L$  is compatible with the filtrations on both sides.

$L$  is a local system if  $L$  is torsion-free

We won't discuss filtrations for two reasons.

- 1) studying filtrations ultimately requires significant inputs from (derived) de Rham cohomology and infinitesimal cohomology of  $X_\eta$ .
- 2) Prop Any weakly crystalline line sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X_\eta$ ,  $\text{perf} \mathbb{R}$  crystalline for a uniquely determined filtration on the associated  $\mathbb{F}$ -isocrystal.

### § 3. Analytic primitive $\mathbb{F}$ -crystals

Idea:  $(R, R^+) \in \text{Perf}$     $I = C_p(\omega)$     $\omega \in R$  pseudo-uniformizer  
 $\mathcal{Y}_{[0, \omega]} = \text{Spa } A^{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) \setminus V(I)$

analytic locus of  $\text{Spec } A^{\text{inf}}(R, R^+)$

Lemma (Keel-Mengyao)

$$\text{Vect}(\text{Spa } A^{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) \setminus V(I))$$

$$\cong \text{Vect}(\text{Spec } A^{\text{inf}}(R, R^+) \setminus V(I))$$

Def analytic primitive crystals (in vector bundles)  
over  $X$

$$\text{Vect}^{\text{an}}(X_\Delta) := \varprojlim_{(A, I) \in X_\Delta} \text{Vect}(\text{Spec } A \setminus V(p, I))$$

(Drinfeld - Matthew)  $\text{Vect}^{\text{an}}(X_\Delta)$  satisfies  $(p, I)$  - completely flat descent.

## Etale realization functor

$X$  bounded  $p$ -adic formal scheme.

Here "bounded" means locally  $X$  is of the form  $\text{Spf } R$ , where  $R[[z^\infty]]$  is of bounded exponent.

Def (Laurent  $F$ -crystals), denoted with  $\varphi = 1$  in Bhatt - Scholze

$$D_{\text{perf}}(X_\emptyset, \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[[\frac{1}{z^\infty}]]_p^\wedge) := \lim_{(A, \mathbb{Z}) \subset X_\emptyset} D_{\text{perf}}(A[[z^\infty]]_p^\wedge)$$

Concretely, an object in  $D_{\text{perf}}(X_\emptyset, \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[[\frac{1}{z^\infty}]]_p^\wedge)$  is a pair  $(E, \varphi_E)$ , where

- $E$  is a crystal of perfect complexes on  $(X_\emptyset, \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[[\frac{1}{z^\infty}]]_p^\wedge)$ , and
- $\varphi_E : \varphi^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$  is an isomorphism

We define also  $\text{Vect}^\varphi(X_\emptyset, \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[[\frac{1}{z^\infty}]]_p^\wedge)$

We show how to realize Laurent  $F$ -crystals as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -local systems.

## Proposition

$\exists$  natural identifications.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{perf}}(X_0, \mathcal{O}_0[\frac{1}{I_0}]_p^\wedge)^{\varphi=1} &\simeq D_{\text{perf}}(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{0,\text{perf}}[\frac{Y_0}{I_0}]_p^\wedge)^{\varphi=1} \\ &\simeq D_{\text{fme}}^{(b)}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{aligned}$$

proof sketch.

two tasks

- ① show the invariance under completed perfection
- ② show the equivalence to  $D_{\text{fme}}^{(b)}(X_0, \mathbb{Z}_p)$

Both rely on the mod- $p$  Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (essentially) by Katz

Lemma.  $S$   $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra. Extension of scalars along  $\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{perf}}(S)$  at and taking Frobenius-fixed points give mutually inverse equivalences

$$D_{\text{fme}}^b(\text{Spec}(S), \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq D_{\text{perf}}(S)^{\varphi=1}$$

For ① since

$$D_{\text{perf}}(X_0, \mathcal{O}_0[\frac{1}{I_0}]_p^\wedge) = \lim_{(A, I) \in X_0} D_{\text{perf}}(A[\frac{1}{I}]_p^\wedge)$$

$$D_{\text{perf}}(X_0, \mathcal{O}_0[\frac{1}{I_0}]_p^\wedge) = \lim_{(B, J) \in X_0} D_{\text{perf}}(B[\frac{1}{J}]_p^\wedge)$$

It is enough to show

$$D_{\text{perf}}(A[\frac{1}{I}]_p^\wedge) \simeq D_{\text{perf}}(B[\frac{1}{J}]_p^\wedge)$$

where  $(B, J)$  is the perfection of  $(A, I)$

It is then enough to show this mod  $p$  (flatness + Nakayama)

This then follows from some Elkik's approximation + Katz's lemma.

So it suffices to show

Lemma  $R$  char  $p > 0$   $t \in R$  s.t.  $R$  is derived  $t$ -complete

$$S = (R_{\text{perf}})^t_f$$

$$D^{\text{perf}}(R[\![t]\!])^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{a} D^{\text{perf}}(R_{\text{perf}}[\![t]\!])^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{b} D^{\text{perf}}(S[\![t]\!])^{\varphi=1}$$

are equivalences.

proof

$a$  is an equivalence by Katz's lemma and top. instance of etale sites.

We claim  $b \circ a$  (and thus  $b$ ) is fully faithful.

Using Katz's lemma for  $S$  and the full faithfulness of  $b \circ a$  it suffices to check the pull-back functor

$$D^b_{\text{tors}}(\text{Spec } S[\![t]\!], \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}) \longrightarrow D^b_{\text{tors}}(\text{Spec } R[\![t]\!], \bar{\mathbb{F}_p})$$

is  $\cong$  essentially surjective. It follows from

$$\overline{\tau_1}_{\text{et}}(\text{Spec } S[\![t]\!]) \cong \tau_1 \text{et}(\text{Spec } R[\![t]\!])$$

which is in turn proved with Elkik's approximation theorem

Now for ② by descent, we may assume  $X = \text{Spf } R$

$R$  gtop. Thus  $X_\Delta$  has an initial object  $(\mathcal{O}_R, I)$

Thus,  $D^{\text{perf}}(X_\Delta, \mathcal{O}_R[\![t]\!]^\wedge_p)^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} D^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{O}_R[\![t]\!]^\wedge_p)^{\varphi=1}$

If  $R$  is furthermore perfectoid, then

$$\Delta_{R[\frac{1}{\pi}]} \hat{\wedge}_p \simeq W(R^b)[\frac{1}{\pi}] \hat{\wedge}_p$$

$$\simeq W(R^b[\frac{1}{\pi}]) ?$$

claim (Bhatt - Scholze)

Katz's lemma + tilding equivalence implies.

$$D_{\text{perf}}(\Delta_{R[\frac{1}{\pi}]} \hat{\wedge}_p)^{q=1} \simeq D_{\text{perf}}(W(R^b)[\frac{1}{\pi}])^{q=1}$$

$$\simeq D_{\text{dR}}^b(\text{Spa}(R[\frac{1}{\pi}], R), \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$\simeq D_{\text{dR}}^b(\text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$\simeq D_{\text{dR}}^b(\text{Spec } R^b[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$\text{mod } p \quad D_{\text{perf}}(R^b[\frac{1}{\pi}]) \simeq D_{\text{perf}}(R[\frac{1}{\pi}])$$

↪

## Realization functors

- Etale realization.

$$T : \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \dagger}(X_0) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}^\dagger(X_0, \mathcal{O}_S[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_0}]_p^\wedge) \cong \text{Loc}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(X_p)$$

$$(\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon) \longmapsto \varepsilon \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{O}_S[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_0}]_p^\wedge.$$

induced by the open immersion  $\text{Spec } A[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}}] \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } A$   
and the equivalence

- crystalline realization

$$D_{\text{crys}} : \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \dagger}(X_0) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \dagger}(X_{p=0, \text{crys}})$$

$$\cong \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_{p=0, \text{crys}}) \cong \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X_S, \text{crys})$$

Theorem 1  $\forall \varepsilon \in \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \dagger}(X_0)$ .  $T(\varepsilon)$  is a crystalline local system.

Proof sketch.

$$\text{Claim. } T(\varepsilon) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Ainf}(S^+) [\frac{1}{\mu}] \cong \text{Ainf}(\varepsilon) [\frac{1}{\mu}] (S, S^+)$$

We can ignore the filtration and it suffices to construct an  $F$ -isocrystal  $(\varepsilon_S, \varphi)$  over  $(X_S/U_S)$  crs s+.

$$B_{\text{crys}}(\varepsilon_S) \cong \text{Ainf}(\varepsilon) [\frac{1}{\mu}] \otimes_{\text{Ainf}[\frac{1}{\mu}]} B_{\text{crys}}$$

$(\varepsilon_{\text{crys}}, \varphi_{\text{crys}}) := D_{\text{crys}}(\varepsilon, \varphi)$  over  $(X_{p=0}/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  crs satisfies : for any perfectoid algebra  $S^+$  over  $X$  Frobenius - equivariant isomorphism.

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{crys}}(S^+), \mathbb{C}_p)[\frac{1}{p}] \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\text{crys}}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{crys}}(S^+), S^+/p)[\frac{1}{p}]$$

If  $(S, S^+)$  is perfectoid algebra over  $X_\eta$ . Then the isomorphism induces Frob-equivariant isomorphism of  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+)$ -modules

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{crys}}(S^+), \mathbb{C}_p)[\frac{1}{p}]} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\text{crys}}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{crys}}(S^+), S^+/p)[\frac{1}{p}]$$

|s

$$\mathbb{A}^{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\text{perf}}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(S, S^+)$$

For RHS, restriction along  $(X_S/V_0)_{\text{crys}} \subseteq (X_{p=0}/V_0)_{\text{crys}}$   
 $\subseteq (X_{p=0}/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\text{crys}}$  gives  $(\mathcal{E}_S, \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_S})$  on  $X_S$ .

Under this operation

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{crys}}(\mathbb{A}_{\text{crys}}(S^+), S^+/p)[\frac{1}{p}] \simeq \mathbb{B}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{E}_S).$$

## §4. Prismatic class-factors of crystalline local systems.

We now state the main theorem.

Theorem A The étale realization functor

$$T: \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \Phi}(X_\emptyset) \longrightarrow \text{Loc}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\text{crys}}(X_{\eta, \text{perf}})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

### §4.1 Full faithfulness.

To show faithfulness, we show this for

$$\text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \Phi}(X_\emptyset) \longrightarrow \text{Vect}^\Phi(X_\emptyset, \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_\emptyset}]^\wedge_p)$$

Suffices to show

Lemma.  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}' \in \text{Vect}^{\text{an}, \Phi}(X_\emptyset)$   $f: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}'$  a map,

if the base change

$$f \otimes \text{id}: \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\emptyset} \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_\emptyset}]^\wedge_p \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\emptyset} \mathcal{O}_\emptyset[\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_\emptyset}]^\wedge_p$$

is 0, then so is  $f$ .

Proof sketch. Check on  $X$  Zariski-locally

Since  $X$  is smooth, we can assume  $X = \text{Spf } R$  and it admits a  $p$ -completely flat cover  $\gamma: S \text{Spf } S \longrightarrow X$  for some integral perfectoid ring  $S$  (by using framing).  
*(This should be a lemma by Gabber?)* By descent. It suffices to check  $f$  over  $\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset$  is 0.