

Report on motivic zeta- and L-functions

Yves André, CNRS, ENS Ulm Paris

(Boston, June 9th, 2008)

Abstract. It often occurs that Taylor coefficients of (dimensionally regularized) Feynman amplitudes with rational parameters, expanded at an integral dimension $D = D_0$, are not only “periods” (Belkale, Brosnan) but actually “multiple zeta values” (Broadhurst, Kreimer).

In order to determine, at least heuristically, whether it is so in concrete instances, the philosophy of motives suggests an arithmetic method (Kontsevich): counting points of related algebraic varieties modulo sufficiently many primes p and checking that the number of points varies polynomially in p .

On the other hand, Kapranov has introduced an object, the “motivic zeta function”, the role of which is precisely to “interpolate” between zeta functions of reductions modulo different primes p .

We will survey the developments of this idea.

$$\Gamma \quad V = \{ \text{vertices} \}$$

$$E = \{ \text{edges} \}$$

Feynman amplitude

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{D \cdot \#E}} \prod_{e \in E} (1 + |p_e|^2)^{-1} \prod_{v \in V} \delta \left(\sum_{\substack{-e \rightarrow v}} p_e - \sum_{\substack{v \rightarrow e}} p_e \right) \prod_{e \in E} d^D p_e$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{b_1(\Gamma) \cdot D/2} \cdot \Gamma(\#E - b_1(\Gamma) D/2)}{\Gamma(\#E)} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{\#E}} P_{\Gamma}^{-D/2} \prod_{e \in E} dx_e$$

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1$$

$$\sum x_i = 1$$

$$P_{\Gamma} = \sum_T \prod_{e \in T} x_e$$

spanning tree

Igusa integral

Belkale · Brosnan: Taylor coef. of
the Igusa integral, at $D = D_0$ (e.g 4),
are periods (of varieties closely
related to $X_{\Gamma} : P_{\Gamma} = 0$).

I. Introduction: Periods, multiple zeta values, Hasse-Weil zeta functions.

Let us consider a graph hypersurface (Kirchhoff hypersurface) X_Γ , given by equation

$$\sum_T \prod_{e \notin T} x_e = 0$$

where T runs through the spanning trees of a given graph Γ ((x_e) is a set of indeterminates indexed by the edges of Γ).

(e. g. for a cyclic graph of length n , $X_\Gamma = \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$).

Kontsevich speculated that the periods of X_Γ are (linear combinations of) multiple zeta values. According to Grothendieck's period conjecture, this would imply that the motive of X_Γ is a mixed Tate motive over \mathbb{Z} .

If this is the case, $\#X_\Gamma(\mathbb{F}_q)$ should be polynomial in q ; equivalently, the poles of the Hasse-Weil zeta function of $X_\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{F}_q$ should be integral powers of q .

This was disproved in general by Belkale and Brosnan. But this leaves the interesting general question of controlling $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ uniformly in q , of equivalently, of the variation of $Z(X \otimes \mathbb{F}_q, t)$ with q .

→ Kapranov zeta function.

II. Kapranov zeta functions.

$$SmP(k) \subset Var(k)$$

"Poor man's motives" (Drinfeld) : $K_0(Var(k))$:

- generators: $[X]$ ($X \in Var(k)$),
- relations: $[X - Y] = [X] - [Y]$ ($Y \hookrightarrow X$).
- ring under \times .
- $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1]$.

Example: $[GL_n] = (\mathbb{L}^n - 1) \dots (\mathbb{L}^n - \mathbb{L}^{n-1})$.

Prop. (Loojenga-Bittner) (car. $k = 0$):

$$K_0(Var(k)) = \mathbb{Z}\langle SmP(k) \rangle / \text{blow-up relations} \\ [\tilde{X}] - [E] = [X] - [Y].$$

Ex: locally trivial fibration (Zariski)

$$X \rightarrow S, \text{ fiber } \gamma \rightsquigarrow [X] = [S][\gamma].$$

e.g. GL_n -fibrations are locally trivial.

It is often convenient to localize

$$K_0(\text{Var}(k)) \text{ by } \mathbb{A}^n - \mathbb{A} \quad n > 1.$$

eg. (McWilliams - Belkale - Brosnan):

$$[\text{Sym}_r^n] = \begin{cases} \prod_1^s \frac{\mathbb{A}^{2i}}{\mathbb{A}^{2i-1}} \prod_0^{2s-1} (\mathbb{A}^{n-i} - 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq r = 2s \leq n \\ \prod_1^s \frac{\mathbb{A}^{2i}}{\mathbb{A}^{2i-1}} \prod_0^{2s} (\mathbb{A}^{n-i} - 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq r = 2s+1 \leq n \end{cases}$$

dim ↙ ↘
rank ↗ ↘

Fix a ring homomorphism

$$\mu : K_0(\text{Var}(k)) \rightarrow R.$$

Kapranov: $Z_\mu(X, t) := \sum_0^\infty \mu[S^n X] t^n \in R[[t]].$

(X quasiprojective, say).

$$Z_\mu(X + X', t) = Z_\mu(X, t) \cdot Z_\mu(X', t):$$

Examples: - $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mu(X) = \#X(k)$, $Z_\mu(X, t)$ is the Hasse-Weil zeta function $Z(X, t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]] \cap \mathbb{Q}(t)$.

- $k = \mathbb{C}$, $\mu = \chi_c$, $Z_\mu(X, t) = (1-t)^{-\chi_c(X)}$ (MacDonald)

Prop. (Kapranov) X smooth projective curve of genus g :

$$Z_\mu(X, t) = \frac{P_\mu(X, t)}{(1-t)(1-\mathbb{L}t)}, \quad \deg P_\mu(X, t) = 2g, \quad \text{and} \\ Z_\mu(X, t) = \mathbb{L}^{g-1} t^{2g-2} Z_\mu(X, \mathbb{L}^{-1} t^{-1}).$$

(Larsen, Lunts) X product of two curves of genus > 1 : $Z_\mu(X, t)$ is *not* rational for $\mu = id$.

In the sequel, we try to remedy this by working with a μ which is “sufficiently universal”, but for which one can hope that $Z_\mu(X, t)$ is always rational. Concretely, we will work with genuine motives instead of “poor man’s motives”.

rationality of $Z_p(x)$: X curve

$$X^n \longrightarrow J(X)$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \longmapsto [x_1] + \dots + [x_n] - n[x_0]$$

factors through $S^n X \longrightarrow J(X)$

proj.
bundle

if $n \geq 2g-1$.

$$S^n(X) \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}(X)$$

$$x_1 + \dots + x_n \longmapsto x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_n$$

complement: vector bundle of rk
 $n+1-g$ over $J(X)$

$$\Rightarrow [S^{n+1}X] - [S^n X] = [X] \mathbb{L}^{n+1-g}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z_p(x, T) (1-T)(1-\mathbb{L}T) \text{ polynomial.}$$

by telescoping

Chow motives

linearization of $S_m P(k)$

morphisms: algebraic correspondences
(of dim. = the dim of the source)
modulo rational equivalence

take pseudo-abelian envelop
(= add kernels of idempotents)
and invert $\tilde{h}(P^1)$

Chow motives \rightarrow Numerical motives
(modulo numerical eq.)

$CHM(k)_F \otimes \rightarrow NM(k)_F$
semisimple category
(Jannsen)

Kimura - O'Sullivan conjecture:

any $M \in CHM(k)_F$ decomposes

$$M_+ \oplus M_-$$

$$S^n M_- = \bigwedge^n M_+ = 0$$

for $n \gg 0$.

rmk: the analogous conj. for $NM(k)_F$ amounts to the sign conj.: the even Künneth projector $H^*(X) \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(X) \subset H^*(X)$ is algebraic.

III. Motivic zeta functions.

Fix a field F of "coefficients" of char. 0.

We now work with Chow motives:

$$K_0(\text{CHM}(k)_F) \quad ([M \oplus M'] = [M] + [M'])$$

$$\cong K_0(\text{DM}_{\text{geom}}(k)_F) \quad (\text{Bondarko}) \quad (M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M'(1))$$

Ring under \otimes

Example: (Manin)

$$f := t + \sum_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty} [\bar{M}_{0,n+1}] \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (1 + t - f) \frac{df}{dt} = 1 + f$$

in $K_0(\text{CHM}(k)_F)[[t]]$.

$$Z_{mot}(M, t) := \sum_0^{\infty} [S^n M] \cdot t^n \in (CHM(k)_F)[[t]].$$

$$Z_{mot}(M + M', t) = Z_{mot}(M, t) \cdot Z_{mot}(M', t).$$

Remarks: - ($\text{cark} = 0$) via prop. 1 (motives satisfy blow-up relations), there is a natural homom.

$$\mu : K_0(\text{Var}(k)) \rightarrow K_0(CHM(k)_F),$$

$$Z_{\mu} = Z_{mot} (\mathbb{L} \mapsto F(-1), \text{Lefschetz motive}).$$

- $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, there is a natural homom.

$$\nu : K_0(CHM(k)_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, [X] \mapsto \#X(k)$$

(trace of Frobenius) , $\nu(Z_{mot}) = Z$ (Hasse-Weil-type zeta function).

Prop. If M is finite-dimensional in the sense of Kimura ($M = M_+ \oplus M_-$, $S^n M_- = \wedge^n M_+ = 0$ for $n \gg 0$), then $Z_{mot}(M, t)$ is rational.

Examples: motives of abelian type (products of curves, Fermat hypersurfaces, etc...)

Functional equation (in the finite-dimensional case):

Prop. (Kahn)

$$Z_{mot}(M^\vee, t^{-1}) = (-1)^{\chi+(M)} \cdot \det M \cdot t^{\chi(M)} \cdot Z_{mot}(M, t)$$

(where $\det M = \wedge^{\chi+} M_+ \otimes (S^{-\chi-} M_-)^{-1}$.)

IV. Motivic zeta functions of curves.

X smooth projective curve/ $k = \mathbb{F}_q$

$$Z(X, t) = \sum_{D \geq 0} t^{\deg D} \text{ (sum over effective divisors)}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathcal{L}} h^0(\mathcal{L})_q \cdot t^{\deg \mathcal{L}} \text{ (sum over line bundles; } n_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1})$$

Substitute $q \mapsto u$ (indeterminate)

$$\text{Pellikan: } Z(X, t, u) := \sum_{\mathcal{L}} h^0(\mathcal{L})_u \cdot t^{\deg \mathcal{L}} = \frac{P(X, t, u)}{(1-t)(1-ut)}$$

Baldassarri-Deninger-Naumann:

$$Z_{mot}(X, t, u) := \sum_{n,d} [Pic_n^d]_{nu} \cdot t^d = \frac{P_{mot}(X, t, u)}{(1-t)(1-ut)} \in K_0(CHM(k)_F)[[t, u]]$$

(where Pic_n^d classifies line bundles with $h^0(\mathcal{L}) \geq n$).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & u \rightarrow q & & \\ & & \nearrow & & \nu \\ Z_{mot}(X, t, u) & & Z_{mot}(X, t) & & Z(X, t) \\ & & \searrow & & \\ & & \nu & & u \rightarrow q \\ & & Z(X, t, u) & & \nearrow \end{array}$$

V. Motivic Artin L -functions. (Dhillon-Minac)

V : fin. dim. vector space/ F

$M \in CHM(k)_F \mapsto V \otimes M :$

$Hom(V \otimes M, M') = Hom_F(V, Hom(M, M')).$

G : finite group, $\rho : G \rightarrow GL(V),$

$$L_{mot}(M, \rho, t) := Z_{mot}((V \otimes M)^G, t)$$

Def. extends to characters χ of G (\mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of ρ 's)

One has the same formalism (as for usual Artin L -functions)

$$L_{mot}(M, \chi + \chi', t) = L_{mot}(M, \chi, t) \cdot L_{mot}(M, \chi', t)$$

$$L_{mot}(M, \chi', t) = L_{mot}(M, \text{Ind}_{G'}^G \chi', t) \quad (G' < G)$$

$$L_{mot}(M, \chi'', t) = L_{mot}(M, \chi, t) \quad (G' \triangleleft G, \chi \text{ coming from char. } \chi'' \text{ of } G/G')$$

$$Z_{mot}(M, t) = \prod_{\chi \text{ irr.}} L_{mot}(M, \chi, t)^{\chi(1)}$$

Example: G acting on a curve $X \rightarrow$ action of G on the motive of X via $(g^*)^{-1} \rightarrow L_{mot}(X, \chi, t)$

$k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\nu(L_{mot}(X, \chi, t)) =$ Artin non-abelian L -function $L(X, \chi, t)$:

$$\log L(X, \chi, t) = \sum \nu_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n} \quad \nu_n(X) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum \chi(g^{-1}) \# \text{Fix}(gF^n)$$

This leads to motivic Artin symbols, motivic Chebotarev theorem... (D. M.)

Interlude

G connected split semi-simple algebraic group / k .

$$T \subset G \quad S(X(T)) \hookrightarrow W$$

max. torus
dim = r

$S(X(T))_k^W$ generated in deg. d_1, \dots, d_r

(eg. $G = SL_n$, $d_1 = 2, \dots, d_r = n$)

$$(*) \quad (T-1)^r \sum_{w \in W} T^{\ell(w)} = \prod_1^r (T^{d_i} - 1)$$

$$(**) \quad \sum d_i = \frac{1}{2} (\dim G + r)$$

Prop (Behrend / Dhillon)

in $K_0(\text{Var}(k)) \left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}} \right]$ or $K_0(\text{CHM}(k)_F)$,

$$[G] = \mathbb{L}^{\dim G} \prod_1^r (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-d_i}).$$

B Borel, U unip. rad.

$$[G] = [G/B] [T] [U]$$

$$[U] = \mathbb{L}^u, \quad u = \frac{1}{2} (\dim G - r)$$

$$[T] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^r,$$

$$[G/B] = \sum_{w \in W} \mathbb{L}^{\ell(w)} \quad (\text{Bruhat decomposition})$$

(*) + (**) \rightsquigarrow formula.

$$-\dim G \prod_1^r (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-d_i})^{-1}$$

algebraic group / k

$$T \subset G$$

max. torus
dim = r

$$S(X(T)) \hookrightarrow W$$

$$S(X(T))_k^W$$

generated in deg. d_1, \dots, d_r

(eg. $G = SL_n$, $d_1 = 2, \dots, d_r = n$)

$$(*) \quad (T-1)^r \sum_{w \in W} T^{\ell(w)} = \prod_1^r (T^{d_i} - 1)$$

$$(**) \quad \sum d_i = \frac{1}{2} (\dim G + r)$$

Prop (Behrend / Dhillon)

in $K_0(\text{Var}(k)) \left[\frac{1}{\mathbb{L}} \right]$ or $K_0(\text{CHM}(k)_F)$,

$$[G] = \mathbb{L}^{\dim G} \prod_1^r (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-d_i}).$$

B Borel, U unip^t rad.

$$[G] = [G/B] [T] [U]$$

$$[U] = \mathbb{L}^u, \quad u = \frac{1}{2} (\dim G - r)$$

$$[T] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^r$$

$$[G/B] = \sum_{w \in W} \mathbb{L}^{\ell(w)} \quad (\text{Bruhat decomposition})$$

(*) + (**) \rightsquigarrow formula.

$$\hookrightarrow \boxed{[BG] = [G]^{-1} = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim G} \prod_1^r (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-d_i})^{-1}}$$

in suitable localization

VI. Towards motivic Tamagawa numbers. (Behrend-Dhillon)

X : projective smooth curve/ k of genus g ,
 $K = k(X)$.

G : simply connected split semisimple algebraic
group/ k , e.g. SL_n .

$Bun_{G,X}$: moduli stack of G -torsors on X
(smooth of dim. $(g-1) \cdot \dim G$)

admits a infinite stratification by pieces
 $[X_i/GL_{n_i}]$ of dim. $\rightarrow -\infty$

$[Bun_{G,X}] := \sum [X_i][GL_{n_i}]^{-1} \in K_0[CHM(k)_F]$
(suitable completion w.r.t. \mathbb{L}^{-1})

$([GL_n]^{-1} = [BGL_n] = \mathbb{L}^{-n^2}(1 + \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}[[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]])$

Conj. (Behrend-Dhillon)

$$[Bun_{G,X}] = \mathbb{L}^{(g-1) \cdot \dim G} \prod_i Z_{mot}(X, \mathbb{L}^{-d_i})$$

(d_i : exponents of $G + 1$, e.g. $2, 3, \dots, n$ for SL_n)

Prop. (B. D.) this is true for $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ and any G , and for $G = SL_n$ and any X .

Case SL_n . Two specializations of the motivic formula:

• $k = \mathbb{C}$, $\mu = \chi_c$ via gauge theory à la Yang-Mills (Atiyah-Bott 1982, Teleman)

computation of $H^*(Bun_{G,X}) = H^*(G)^{\otimes 2g} \otimes H^*(BG) \otimes H^*(\Omega G)$

P_w : weighted Poincaré pol. $\sum_{i,j} \dim(W_i H^j) t^i$

$$P_w(Bun_{G,X}, t) = \prod_2^n \frac{(1 + t^{2i-1})^{2g}}{(1 - t^{2i})(1 - t^{(2i-2)})}$$

- $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, $\mu = \nu$, $K = k(X)$.

$Bun_{G,X} \sim$ transformation groupoid of $G(K)$ on $G(\mathbb{A}_K)/\mathcal{K}$

$$(\mathcal{K} := \prod_x G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}))$$

$$\#Bun_{G,X}(k) = \frac{\text{vol}(G(K)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_K))}{\text{vol}(\mathcal{K})}$$

with Tamagawa measure

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{K}) = q^{(g-1).(n^2-1)} \prod_2^n \zeta_K(i)$$

$$\text{vol}(G(K)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}_K)) = \tau(SL_n) = 1 \text{ (Harder).}$$

- * using Tamagawa numbers, one can show that $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$ is geometr. connected.
- * If G is no longer simply connected?

conj:

$$[\text{Bun}_{G,X}] = \tau(G) \cdot \mathbb{L}^{(g-1) \cdot \dim G} \prod_i Z_{\text{mot}}(X, \mathbb{L}^{-d_i})$$

$$\tau(G) = |\pi_1(G)|$$

is the number of connected components of $\text{Bun}_{G,X}$,

and has a motivic-measure-theoretic interpretation.