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## Introduction

I am interested in RANDOM dynamical systems. One of my motivations is the following theorem regarding Random Relaxed Newton's Methods:
Theorem 1 (Sumi '21)
If we insert randomness into Newton's method in a suitable way, then we can find a root of a given function with probability one, for every but a finite number of initial points.

Original Newton's method may fail if we choose a bad initial point. However, the theorem states that randomness benefits the algorithm.

## Contents

I would like to talk about two topics:

Numerical observations of Random Relaxed Newton's Methods

Theoretical work on stochastic bifurcation of $\left\{z \mapsto z^{2}+c\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$

Numerical observations of Random Relaxed Newton's Methods

Theoretical work on stochastic bifurcation of $\left\{z \mapsto z^{2}+c\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$

## Original Newton's method

For a given polynomial $P$, Newton's map is defined by

$$
N_{P}(x)=x-\frac{P(x)}{P^{\prime}(x)}
$$

Lemma 2 (Dynamics of $N_{P}$ on $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ )

- If $P\left(z^{*}\right)=0$, then $z^{*}$ is an attracting fixed point of $N_{P}$.
- If $z^{*}$ is a simple root, then $z^{*}$ is superattracting.

This explains why Newton's method works well locally. But ...

## Newton's method sometimes fails

If the target is $P(z)=z^{3}-2 z+2$, then $N_{P}$ has a (super)attracting periodic cycle: $0 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$

$P$ has three simple roots in $\mathbb{C}$. A non-black point belongs to a basin of attraction, and the black part is the set of initial points which do not converge to any root.

## Every Newton-like method sometimes fails

This target $P(z)=z^{3}-2 z+2$ is not the only bad example, but there are many. More generally,
Theorem 3 (McMullen)
There is NO algebraic root-finding algorithms which almost always success.

We can overcome this by randomness.

## Relaxed Newton's Methods

Definition 4 (Relaxed Newton's map)
For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, define $N_{P, \lambda}(z)=z-\lambda \frac{P(z)}{P^{\prime}(z)}$.
The original map is $N_{P}=N_{P, 1}$.
Lemma 5
Every root $z^{*}$ is a common attr fxd pt whenever $|1-\lambda|<1$.

We now randomly choose parameters $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$ and define

$$
z_{n+1}=N_{P, \lambda_{n+1}}\left(z_{n}\right)=z_{n}-\lambda_{n+1} \frac{P\left(z_{n}\right)}{P^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)} .
$$

## Sample dynamics for $N_{P, \lambda}$ with $P(z)=z^{3}-2 z+2$



Figure: Original Newton's map has an attracting periodic point 0.




Figure: Three sample paths for Random Relaxed Newton's Methods (with more larger noise from left to right).

## Random Relaxed Newton's Methods

## Setting 6

Choose iid sequences $\lambda_{n}$ following a probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{C}$ which is absolutely continuous wrt 2 -dim Lebesgue measure.
Typical examples of $\mu$ are the uniform distributions on disks $\bar{B}(1, \rho)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|1-\lambda| \leq \rho\}: \mu=\operatorname{Unif}(\bar{B}(1, \rho))$.
Theorem 7 (Sumi '21)
Suppose that $\bar{B}(1,1 / 2) \Subset \operatorname{supp} \mu \Subset \bar{B}(1,1)$. Then for every target polynomial $P$ and for all initial points $z_{0}$ with finitely many exceptions, the random orbit
$N_{P, \lambda_{n}} \circ \cdots \circ N_{P, \lambda_{2}} \circ N_{P, \lambda_{1}}\left(z_{0}\right)$ converges to some root of $P$ with probability 1.

In the following, we assume $\mu=\operatorname{Unif}(\bar{B}(1, \rho))$ for a while.

## Averaged dynamics induced by $\operatorname{Unif}(\bar{B}(1, \rho))$






Figure: Deterministic case $(\rho=0)$. Four attracting basins.





Figure: Random case ( $\rho=0.6$ ). For each initial point, 100 random orbits were computed and counted to see where they converge (or not).

The set of "bad initial points" collapses due to noise.

## Notes from the dynamical viewpoint

Recall that $N_{P, \lambda}(z)=z-\lambda \frac{P(z)}{P^{\prime}(z)}$.
Remark 8 (How does it work?)
Bounded and multiplicative noise keeps the roots of $P$ as attracting fixed points. Conversely, sufficiently large noise affects undesirable attractors.

Question: What is the optimal value for the size of noise?

- If the size of noise is too large, then the speed of local convergence is slow.
- If the size of noise is too small, then the orbit cannot escape from bad attractors.

I would like to know the smallest noise size $\rho$ such that the undesirable attractors disappear.

## Numerical experiments with different noise size $\rho$






Figure: $\rho=0.1$





Figure: $\rho=0.01$ (need high iterates)





Figure: $\rho=0.005$ (too small!)

## Speed of convergence

Define random variables by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{n} & =N_{P, \bullet_{n}} \circ \cdots \circ N_{P, \bullet_{2}} \circ N_{P, \bullet_{1}}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
T & =T\left(z_{0}, z^{*}, \epsilon\right)=\min \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}:\left|Z_{n}-z^{*}\right|<\epsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and calculate conditioned expectation $\mathbb{E}(T \mid T<\infty)$ for every root $z^{*}$.


Figure: $x$-axis represents $\rho, y$-axis represents $\log _{10} \mathbb{E}(T \mid T<\infty)$ with initial point $z_{0}=0$ and $\epsilon=0.01$

## Other type of noise (w/o mathematical reasoning)



Figure: Real noise where $\lambda_{n} \sim \operatorname{Unif}([0.975,1.025])$





Figure: Real noise where $\lambda_{n} \sim \operatorname{Unif}([0.975,1])$





Figure: "Simulated Annealing" where $\lambda_{n} \sim \operatorname{Unif}\left(\bar{B}\left(1,0.5^{n}\right)\right)$

## Speed of convergence for other types of noise




Figure: Left: Uniform distribution on $[1-\rho, 1+\rho]$,
Right: Simulated Annealing where $\lambda_{n} \sim \operatorname{Unif}\left(\bar{B}\left(1,0.5^{n}\right)\right)$.
$y$-axis represents $\log _{10} \mathbb{E}(T \mid T<\infty)$ with initial point $z_{0}=0$ and
$\epsilon=0.01$

Remark that there is no mathematical guarantee for global convergence so far.

Another target (1): $Q(z)=z^{4}-6 z^{2}+\frac{7-8 \sqrt{13}}{3}$
The deterministic map $N_{Q, 1}$ has two 2-periodic orbits.


Figure: There is symmetry under complex conjugate.

Another target (2): $Q(z)=z^{4}-6 z^{2}+\frac{7-8 \sqrt{13}}{3}$
I omitted the symmetrical figures.


Figure: $\rho=0.05$ (enough large)




Figure: $\rho=0.01$ (too small)

## A quick summary

1. Deterministic Newton's method can have attractors which do not correspond to the root.
2. Random relaxed Newton's methods succeeds with prob 1 because undesirable attractors are broken when sufficiently large noise is inserted ( $\rho>1 / 2$ is enough).
3. It may work even with very small noise (e.g. $\rho=0.01$ ) I want to find the universal and smallest constant $\rho$. The key word might be (deterministic or stochastic) bifurcation.



## Numerical observations of Random Relaxed Newton's Methods

Theoretical work on stochastic bifurcation of $\left\{z \mapsto z^{2}+c\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$

## The family of quadratic polynomials

Newton's maps are rational functions of high degree, but to simplify the discussion we will examine the family of the quadratic polynomials in detail.

$$
f_{c}(z)=z^{2}+c(c \in \mathbb{C})
$$

Why $\left\{f_{c}\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$ ?
Theorem 9 (McMullen '00)
"The Mandelbrot set is universal."
Here, the celebrated Mandelbrot set is the bifurcation structure of this $\left\{f_{c}\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{C}}$.

## Similarity/difference between $N_{P, \lambda}$ and $f_{c}$

The quadratic family $\left\{f_{c}\right\}_{c}$ is a simplified model of other families. In particular,

|  | $\left\{N_{P, \lambda}\right\}_{\lambda}$ | $\left\{f_{c}\right\}_{c}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| degree | $\operatorname{deg} P$ | 2 |
| type of maps | rational | polynomial |
| common fixed point(s) | roots of $P$ | $\infty$ |

Let $P(z)=z^{3}-2 z+2$ and consider $c=-1$.

|  | $N_{P, 1}$ | $f_{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| superattracting cycles | $0 \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$ | $0 \mapsto-1 \mapsto 0$ |

## The filled Julia set

Definition 10
For $c \in \mathbb{C}$, define $f_{c}^{\circ n}=f_{c} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c} \circ f_{c}$ and

$$
K_{c}=\left\{z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty: f_{c}^{\circ n}\left(z_{0}\right) \nrightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)\right\} .
$$




Figure: The black set is $K_{c}(c=0,-1)$.
We call $K_{-1}$ the basilica.

## The Mandelbrot set $\mathcal{M}$

The dynamics is determined by the orbit of $z=0$.
Theorem 11
The set $K_{c}$ is connected if and only if the critical orbit is bounded $f_{c}^{\circ n}(0) \nrightarrow \infty$. Otherwise, $K_{c}$ is totally disconnected.

Definition 12

$$
\mathcal{M}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}: K_{c} \text { is connected }\right\}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}: f_{c}^{\circ n}(0) \nrightarrow \infty\right\}
$$

## Random Julia sets

## Setting 13

Choose iid sequences $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ following the distribution on the disk $\bar{B}(c, \rho)=\left\{c^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}:\left|c^{\prime}-c\right| \leq \rho\right\}$.
Definition 14
For a sequence $\omega=\left(c_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \bar{B}(c, \rho)^{\mathbb{N}}$, define

$$
f_{\omega}^{(n)}:=f_{c_{n}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_{2}} \circ f_{c_{1}} .
$$

Also, we define its random filled Julia set as follows.

$$
K_{\omega}=\left\{z_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty: f_{\omega}^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right) \nrightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)\right\}
$$





## Definition 15 (Fornæss-Sibony '91, Sumi '13)

Suppose that $f_{c}$ has an attracting cycle in $\mathbb{C}($ say $c=-1)$. Then there exists $r_{\text {bif }}(c)>0$ such that

- if $0<\rho<r_{\text {bif }}(c)$, then $\exists T_{\infty}$ a continuous function s.t. the random orbit $f_{c_{n}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_{2}} \circ f_{c_{1}}(z)$
diverges to $\infty$ with probability $T_{\infty}(z)$, and converges to $\exists$ a planar attractor with prob $1-T_{\infty}(z)$.
- if $\rho>r_{\text {bif }}(c)$, then for every $z$, with probability 1 , $f_{c_{n}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_{2}} \circ f_{c_{1}}(z) \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$.



Figure: The function $T_{\infty}(z)$, the probability of random orbits which converge to $\infty\left(\rho<r_{\text {bif }}(c)\right.$ and $\left.\rho>r_{\text {bif }}(c)\right)$

## Main result A: connectedness and bifurcation

Assume a technical condition " $\operatorname{int} \bar{B}(c, \rho)$ contains a superattracting parameter."
Main Result A (W. '22+)
The equivalent statements of the former or the later hold.

1. $\rho \leq r_{\text {bif }}(c)$.
2. $\forall \omega \in \bar{B}(c, \rho)^{\mathbb{N}}$, the random Julia set $K_{\omega}$ is connected.
3. $\forall \omega \in \bar{B}(c, \rho)^{\mathbb{N}}$, the critical orbit $f_{\omega}^{(n)}(0) \nrightarrow \infty$.
$1^{\prime} . \rho>r_{\text {bif }}(c)$.
$2^{\prime}$. For a.e. $\omega \in \bar{B}(c, \rho)^{\mathbb{N}}, K_{\omega}$ is totally disconnected.
$3^{\prime}$. For a.e. $\omega \in \bar{B}(c, \rho)^{\mathbb{N}}$, the critical orbit $f_{\omega}^{(n)}(0) \rightarrow \infty$.
This gives a natural generalization of deterministic theory. Recall:
$\mathcal{M}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}: K_{c}\right.$ is connected $\}=\left\{c \in \mathbb{C}: f_{c}^{\circ n}(0) \nrightarrow \infty\right\}$

## Main result B: quantitative estimates for $r_{\text {bif }}$

 Main Result B (W. '22+)1. For every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $r_{\text {bif }}(c) \leq \operatorname{dist}(c, \partial \mathcal{M})$.
2. If $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 4$, then $r_{\text {bif }}(c)=1 / 4-c$.
3. If $-1 / 2 \leq c<0$, then $r_{\text {bif }}(c) \leq 1 / 4-c-c^{2}$.
4. If $c=-1$, then $0.0386 \cdots \leq r_{\text {bif }}(-1) \leq 0.0399 \cdots$.

2 shows $r_{\text {bif }}(c)=\operatorname{dist}(c, \partial \mathcal{M})$,
3 shows $r_{\text {bif }}(c)<\operatorname{dist}(c, \partial \mathcal{M})$.
In particular,
4 shows $r_{\text {bif }}(c) \ll \operatorname{dist}(c, \partial \mathcal{M})$ !
Also, for $c_{3} \approx-1.7$
we have $r_{\text {bif }}\left(c_{3}\right) \ll \operatorname{dist}\left(c_{3}, \partial \mathcal{M}\right)$.


## Conclusions \& Discussions

1. The Newton's map $N_{P, 1}$ for $P(z)=z^{3}-2 z+2$ has a 2-cycle which does not correspond to any root of $P$.
2. The randomized root-finding algorithm succeeds if the size of noise $\rho$ satisfies $\rho>0.01$, and fail if $\rho<0.005$.
3. This value seems to be related to the "small Mandelbrot set".
4. We can rigorously estimate when the stochastic bifurcation occurs for the quadratic family $f_{c}(z)=z^{2}+c$.
5. Both families (seem to) follow the same mechanism.


